



Towards Oka–Cartan theory for algebras of holomorphic functions on coverings of Stein manifolds. II

Alexander Brudnyi and Damir Kinzebulatov

Abstract. We establish basic results of complex function theory within certain algebras of holomorphic functions on coverings of Stein manifolds (such as algebras of Bohr’s holomorphic almost periodic functions on tube domains or algebras of all fibrewise bounded holomorphic functions arising, e.g., in the corona problem for H^∞). In particular, in this context we obtain results on holomorphic extension from complex submanifolds, properties of divisors, corona-type theorems, holomorphic analogues of the Peter–Weyl approximation theorem, Hartogs-type theorems, characterizations of uniqueness sets, etc. Our proofs are based on analogues of Cartan theorems A and B for coherent-type sheaves on maximal ideal spaces of these algebras proved in Part I.

1. Introduction

In 1930–1950, methods of sheaf theory radically transformed the theory of holomorphic functions of several variables which led to solution of a number of fundamental and long standing problems including problems of holomorphic interpolation, Cousin problems, the Levi problem on characterization of domains of holomorphy, etc. Since then the theory started to play a foundational and unifying role in modern mathematics, with implications for analytic geometry, automorphic forms, Banach algebras, etc. Further development of the theory was motivated, in part, by the problems requiring to study properties of holomorphic functions satisfying additional restrictions (such as uniform boundedness along certain subsets of their domains or certain growth ‘at infinity’). In particular, the principal question arose whether the fundamental problems of the function theory of several complex variables can be solved within a proper subclass of the algebra $\mathcal{O}(X)$ of holomorphic

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 32A38, 32K99.

Keywords: Oka–Cartan theory, algebras of holomorphic functions, coverings of complex manifolds.

functions on a Stein manifold X . In the present paper we address this question for subalgebras of $\mathcal{O}(X)$ subject to the following definition.

Definition 1.1. A holomorphic function f defined on a regular covering $p: X \rightarrow X_0$ of a connected complex manifold X_0 with a deck transformation group G is called a *holomorphic \mathfrak{a} -function* if

- (1) f is bounded on subsets $p^{-1}(U_0)$, $U_0 \Subset X_0$, and
- (2) for each $x \in X$ the function $G \ni g \mapsto f(g \cdot x)$ belongs to a fixed closed unital subalgebra $\mathfrak{a} := \mathfrak{a}(G)$ of the algebra $\ell_\infty(G)$ of bounded complex functions on G (with pointwise multiplication and sup-norm) that is invariant with respect to the action of G on \mathfrak{a} by right translations:

$$u \in \mathfrak{a}, \quad g \in G \quad \implies \quad R_g u \in \mathfrak{a},$$

where $R_g(u)(h) := u(hg)$, $h \in G$.

We endow the subalgebra $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{a}(X) \subset \mathcal{O}(X)$ of holomorphic \mathfrak{a} -functions with the Fréchet topology of uniform convergence on subsets $p^{-1}(U_0)$, $U_0 \Subset X_0$.

The model examples of algebras $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{a}(X)$ are:

- (1) Bohr's holomorphic almost periodic functions on a tube domain $T \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, see Example 1.2 below;
- (2) all fibrewise bounded holomorphic functions on X , see Example 1.4(1) below. (If X_0 is a compact complex manifold, then this algebra coincides with algebra $H^\infty(X)$ of bounded holomorphic functions on X).

See Section 3 for other examples.

In [15] we derived analogues of Cartan's theorems A and B for coherent-type sheaves on the fibrewise compactification $c_\mathfrak{a}X$ of the covering X of a Stein manifold X_0 , a topological space having certain features of a complex manifold (see Section 2 for details). This allows us to transfer in a systematic manner most of the significant results of the classical theory of holomorphic functions on Stein manifolds to holomorphic functions in algebras $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{a}(X)$. In particular, in the present paper we establish:

- results on holomorphic interpolation within algebra $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{a}(X)$ over complex \mathfrak{a} -submanifolds (i.e., complex submanifolds of X determined by holomorphic \mathfrak{a} -functions) (Subsection 2.2),
- tubular neighbourhood theorem for complex \mathfrak{a} -submanifolds (Subsection 2.2),
- properties of holomorphic line \mathfrak{a} -bundles and their divisors (Subsection 2.3),
- characterization of uniqueness sets for functions in $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{a}(X)$ (Subsection 2.4),
- Leray integral representation formulas and Hartogs theorems for functions in $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{a}(X)$ (Subsection 2.5),
- holomorphic Peter–Weyl theorems for $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{a}(X)$ (Subsection 2.5),
- Cartan's theorems A and B for coherent-type sheaves on complex \mathfrak{a} -submanifolds (Subsection 5.4),

- Dolbeault isomorphisms on complex \mathfrak{a} -submanifolds (Subsection 5.5),
- corona theorems for algebras $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(X)$ (Subsection 5.6).

Note that the classical proof of Cartan theorems A and B on complex manifolds does not work in our case, in particular, because of absence of the Oka coherence lemma, and since the fibre $\hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ of the covering $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X \rightarrow X_0$ being an arbitrary compact Hausdorff space does not admit open covers by contractible sets as required for the proof of the classical Cartan lemma. Instead, we develop a new approach to the proof of Cartan theorems A and B, where we use some results from [42] and [41].

Example 1.2 (*Holomorphic almost periodic functions*). The theory of almost periodic functions was created in the 1920s by H. Bohr and nowadays is widely used in various areas of mathematics including number theory, harmonic analysis, differential equations (e.g., KdV equation), etc.

Let us recall the S. Bochner (equivalent) definition of almost periodicity: a function $f \in \mathcal{O}(T)$ on a tube domain $T = \mathbb{R}^n + i\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is open and convex, is called *holomorphic almost periodic* if the family of its translates $\{z \mapsto f(z + s), z \in T\}_{s \in \mathbb{R}^n}$ is relatively compact in the topology of uniform convergence on tube subdomains $T' = \mathbb{R}^n + i\Omega', \Omega' \Subset \Omega$. The principal result of Bohr's theory (see [2]) is the approximation theorem which states that every holomorphic almost periodic function is the uniform limit (on tube subdomains T' of T) of exponential polynomials

$$(1.1) \quad z \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^m c_k e^{i\langle z, \lambda_k \rangle}, \quad z \in T, \quad c_k \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \lambda_k \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $\langle \cdot, \lambda_k \rangle$ is the Hermitian inner product on \mathbb{C}^n .

The classical approach to study of holomorphic almost periodic functions exploits the fact that T is the trivial bundle with base Ω and fibre \mathbb{R}^n (e.g., as in the characterization of almost periodic functions in terms of their Jessen functions defined on Ω , see [51], [43], [38], [48], [20], [52] and references therein). In our approach, we consider T as a regular covering $p: T \rightarrow T_0$ ($:= p(T) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$) with the deck transformation group \mathbb{Z}^n , where $p(z) := (e^{iz_1}, \dots, e^{iz_n})$, $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in T$ (if $n = 1$, then this is a complex strip covering an annulus in \mathbb{C}), and obtain:

Theorem 1.3. *A function $f \in \mathcal{O}(T)$ is almost periodic if and only if $f \in \mathcal{O}_{AP}(T)$.*

(Here $AP = AP(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ is the algebra of von Neumann's almost periodic functions on group \mathbb{Z}^n , see definition in Example 3.1 (2) below.) This result enables us to regard holomorphic almost periodic functions on T as:

- holomorphic sections of a certain holomorphic Banach vector bundle on T_0 ;
- holomorphic-like functions on the fibrewise Bohr compactification c_{APT} of the covering $p: T \rightarrow T_0$.

As a result, we can apply the methods of multidimensional complex function theory (in particular, analytic sheaf theory and Banach-valued complex analysis)

to study holomorphic almost periodic functions. In particular, even in this classical setting, we obtain new results on holomorphic almost periodic interpolation, recovery of almost periodicity of a holomorphic function from that for its trace to a real periodic hypersurface, etc. We also show that some results known for holomorphic almost periodic functions are, in fact, valid for a general algebra $\mathcal{O}_\alpha(X)$.

It is interesting to note that already in his monograph [2], H. Bohr uses equally often the aforementioned ‘‘trivial fibre bundle’’ and ‘‘regular covering’’ points of view on a complex strip. We mention also that the Bohr compactification of a tube domain $\mathbb{R}^n + i\Omega$ in the form $b\mathbb{R}^n + i\Omega$, where $b\mathbb{R}^n$ is the Bohr compactification of group \mathbb{R}^n , was used earlier in [18], [19], [31].

Example 1.4. (1) By definition, every $\mathcal{O}_\alpha(X) \subset \mathcal{O}_{\ell_\infty(G)}(X)$; here G is the deck transformation group of covering $p: X \rightarrow X_0$.

Algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\ell_\infty(G)}(X)$ arises, e.g., in study of holomorphic L^2 -functions on coverings of pseudoconvex manifolds [32], [6], [9], [40], Caratheodory hyperbolicity (the Liouville property) of X [45], [44], corona-type problems for bounded holomorphic functions on X [5]. Earlier, some methods similar to those developed in the present paper were elaborated for algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\ell_\infty(G)}(X)$ in [5]–[8], [10] in connection with corona-type problems for some subalgebras of bounded holomorphic functions on coverings of bordered Riemann surfaces, Hartogs-type theorems, integral representation of holomorphic functions of slow growth on coverings of Stein manifolds, etc.

A confirmation of potential productivity of the sheaf-theoretic approach to corona problem for H^∞ comes from the recent papers [11], [12] on Banach-valued holomorphic functions on the unit disk $\mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{C}$ having relatively compact images.

(2) Let $\alpha := c(G) \subset \ell_\infty(G)$ (with $\text{card } G = \infty$) be the subalgebra of bounded complex functions on G that admit continuous extensions to the one-point compactification of G . Then $\mathcal{O}_c(X)$ consists of holomorphic functions that have fibre-wise limits at ‘infinity’.

For other examples of algebras α and $\mathcal{O}_\alpha(X)$ see Subsections 3.1 and 3.2.

In the formulation of our main results we use the following definitions.

Assume that d is a path metric on X defined by the pullback to X of a (smooth) hermitian metric on X_0 .

A function $f \in C(X)$ is called a *continuous α -function* if it is bounded and uniformly continuous with respect to metric d on subsets $p^{-1}(U_0)$, $U_0 \Subset X_0$, and is such that for each $x \in X$ the function $G \ni g \mapsto f(g \cdot x)$ belongs to α .

We denote by $C_\alpha(X)$ the algebra of continuous α -functions on X . It is easily seen that $C_\alpha(X)$ does not depend on the choice of the hermitian metric on X_0 and $C_\alpha(X) \cap \mathcal{O}(X) = \mathcal{O}_\alpha(X)$.

If $D_0 \Subset X_0$ is a subdomain, we set $D := p^{-1}(D_0) \subset X$ and define $C_\alpha(\bar{D})$ to be the subalgebra of complex functions f on \bar{D} (the closure of D) that are bounded and uniformly continuous with respect to path metric d and such that for each $x \in \bar{D}_0$ functions $G \ni g \mapsto f(g \cdot x)$ belong to α .

Let $\mathcal{L}(B_1, B_2)$ denote the space of bounded linear operators $B_1 \rightarrow B_2$ between complex Banach spaces B_1 and B_2 .

Acknowledgement. We thank Professors T. Bloom, L. Lempert, T. Ohsawa, R. Shafikov and Y.-T. Siu for their interest to this work.

2. Main results

2.1. Analogues of Cartan theorems A and B

Our approach is based on analogues of the Cartan theorems A and B for coherent-type sheaves on the fibrewise compactification $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ of covering $p: X \rightarrow X_0$. We briefly describe its construction postponing details till Section 5 (see also [15]).

Let $M_{\mathfrak{a}}$ denote the maximal ideal space of algebra \mathfrak{a} , i.e., the space of all characters $\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ endowed with weak* topology (of \mathfrak{a}^*). The space $M_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is compact Hausdorff and every element f of \mathfrak{a} determines a function $\hat{f} \in C(M_{\mathfrak{a}})$ by the formula

$$\hat{f}(\eta) := \eta(f), \quad \eta \in M_{\mathfrak{a}}.$$

Since algebra \mathfrak{a} is uniform (i.e., $\|f^2\| = \|f\|^2$) and hence is semi-simple, the homomorphism $\hat{\cdot}: \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow C(M_{\mathfrak{a}})$ (called the *Gelfand transform*) is an isometric embedding (see, e.g., [27]). We have a continuous map $j = j_{\mathfrak{a}}: G \rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{a}}$ defined by associating to each point in G its point evaluation homomorphism in $M_{\mathfrak{a}}$. This map is an injection if and only if algebra \mathfrak{a} separates points of G .

Let $\hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ denote the closure of $j(G)$ in $M_{\mathfrak{a}}$ (also a compact Hausdorff space). If algebra \mathfrak{a} is self-adjoint with respect to complex conjugation, then $\mathfrak{a} \cong C(M_{\mathfrak{a}})$ and hence $\hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}} = M_{\mathfrak{a}}$. In a standard way the action of group G on itself by right multiplication determines the right action of G on $M_{\mathfrak{a}}$, so that $\hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is invariant with respect to this action.

Definition 2.1. The fibrewise compactification $\bar{p}: c_{\mathfrak{a}}X \rightarrow X_0$ is defined to be the fibre bundle with fibre $\hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ associated to the regular covering $p: X \rightarrow X_0$ (regarded as a principal bundle with fibre G).

There exists a continuous map

$$(2.1) \quad \iota = \iota_{\mathfrak{a}}: X \longrightarrow c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$$

induced by the equivariant map j . Clearly, $\iota(X)$ is dense in $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$. If \mathfrak{a} separates points of G , then ι is an injection.

Definition 2.2. A function $f \in C(c_{\mathfrak{a}}X)$ is called *holomorphic* if its pullback $\iota^* f$ is holomorphic on X . The algebra of functions holomorphic on $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ is denoted by $\mathcal{O}(c_{\mathfrak{a}}X)$.

For a subalgebra $\mathfrak{a} \subset \ell_{\infty}(G)$ we have a monomorphism $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(X) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}(c_{\mathfrak{a}}X)$ (see Proposition 5.1 below) which is an isomorphism if \mathfrak{a} is self-adjoint (in this case we can work with algebra $\mathcal{O}(c_{\mathfrak{a}}X)$ instead of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(X)$). See [15] for the description of the complex-analytic structure on $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$.

Analogously to Definition 2.2, we define holomorphic functions on open subsets of $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ and thus obtain the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O} := \mathcal{O}_{c_{\mathfrak{a}}X}$ of germs of holomorphic functions on $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$. Now, a *coherent sheaf* \mathcal{A} on $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ is a sheaf of modules over \mathcal{O} such that every point in $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ has a neighbourhood U over which, for every $N \geq 1$, there is a free resolution of \mathcal{A} of length N , i.e., an exact sequence of sheaves of modules of the form

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{O}^{m_N}|_U \xrightarrow{\varphi_{N-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} \mathcal{O}^{m_2}|_U \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} \mathcal{O}^{m_1}|_U \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} \mathcal{A}|_U \longrightarrow 0$$

(here φ_i , $0 \leq i \leq N - 1$, are homomorphisms of sheaves of modules). If $X = X_0$ and $p = \text{Id}$, then this definition gives the classical definition of a coherent sheaf on a complex manifold X_0 .

Let X_0 be a Stein manifold, \mathcal{A} a coherent sheaf on $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$.

Theorem 2.3 ([15]). *Each stalk ${}_x\mathcal{A}$ ($x \in c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$) is generated by global sections of \mathcal{A} over $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ as an ${}_x\mathcal{O}$ -module (“Cartan-type Theorem A”).*

Theorem 2.4 ([15]). *Čech cohomology groups $H^i(c_{\mathfrak{a}}X, \mathcal{A}) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$ (“Cartan-type Theorem B”).*

The collection of open subsets of X of the form $V = \iota^{-1}(U)$, where $U \subset c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ is open, determines a topology on X , denoted by $\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}$, which is Hausdorff if and only if \mathfrak{a} separates points of G . (A basis of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ consists of interiors of sublevel sets of functions in $C_{\mathfrak{a}}(X)$.) If algebra \mathfrak{a} is self-adjoint, we define spaces of continuous and holomorphic \mathfrak{a} -functions on $V = \iota^{-1}(U) \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ by

$$(2.3) \quad C_{\mathfrak{a}}(V) := \iota^*C(U), \quad \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(V) := \iota^*\mathcal{O}(U).$$

Thus, if $V = X$, $U = c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$, we obtain algebras $C_{\mathfrak{a}}(X)$, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(X)$ as defined in Section 1 and Definition 1.1 (for holomorphic functions this is proved in Proposition 2.3 (2) of [15]; for continuous functions the argument is similar to the one in the proof of the latter proposition).

For subsets $V, W \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ we denote

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(V, W) := \{f \in C(V, W) : f^*h \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(V) \text{ for all } h \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(W)\}.$$

In Subsections 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4 we assume that algebra \mathfrak{a} is self-adjoint.

2.2. Complex \mathfrak{a} -submanifolds and their properties

We now formulate the results on complex submanifolds determined by holomorphic \mathfrak{a} -functions, the corresponding tubular neighbourhood theorem, and the result on interpolation within $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(X)$. We will need:

Definition 2.5. An open cover \mathcal{V} of X is said to be of class $(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{a}})$ if it is the pullback by ι of an open cover of $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ (e.g., $\mathcal{V} = p^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_0)$, where \mathcal{V}_0 is an open cover of X_0 is of class $(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{a}})$).

It is easy to see that any open cover of X by sets in \mathcal{T}_α is a subcover of an open cover of X of class (\mathcal{T}_α) .

Definition 2.6. A closed subset $Z \subset X$ is called a complex α -submanifold of codimension $k \leq n := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} X_0$ if there exists an open cover \mathcal{V} of X of class (\mathcal{T}_α) such that for each $V \in \mathcal{V}$ the closure of $p(V)$ is compact and contained in a coordinate chart on X_0 and either $V \cap Z = \emptyset$ or there are functions $h_1, \dots, h_k \in \mathcal{O}_\alpha(V)$ that satisfy:

- (1) $Z \cap V = \{x \in V : h_1(x) = \dots = h_k(x) = 0\}$;
- (2) the maximum of moduli of determinants of all $k \times k$ submatrices of the Jacobian matrix of the map $x \mapsto (h_1(x), \dots, h_k(x))$ with respect to local coordinates on V pulled back from a coordinate chart on X_0 containing the closure of $p(V)$ is uniformly bounded away from zero on $Z \cap V$.

Some examples of complex α -submanifolds are given in Subsection 3.4 below.

We have analogues of Cartan-type theorems 2.3 and 2.4 on complex α -submanifolds, see Subsection 5.3 and Theorems 5.11, 5.12 below.

Theorem 2.7 (Characterization of complex α -submanifolds). *Suppose that X_0 is a Stein manifold. Then a closed subset $Z \subset X$ is a complex α -submanifold of codimension $k \leq n$ if and only if there exist an at most countable collection of globally defined functions $f_i \in \mathcal{O}_\alpha(X)$, $i \in I$, and an open cover \mathcal{V} of X of class (\mathcal{T}_α) such that*

- (i) $Z = \{x \in X : f_i(x) = 0 \text{ for all } i \in I\}$,
- (ii) *for each $V \in \mathcal{V}$ the closure $p(V)$ is compact and contained in a coordinate chart on X_0 , and either $V \cap Z = \emptyset$ or there are functions f_{i_1}, \dots, f_{i_k} such that $Z \cap V = \{x \in V : f_{i_1} = \dots = f_{i_k} = 0\}$ and the maximum of moduli of determinants of all $k \times k$ submatrices of the Jacobian matrix of the map $x \mapsto (f_{i_1}(x), \dots, f_{i_k}(x))$ with respect to local coordinates on V pulled back from a coordinate chart on X_0 containing the closure of $p(V)$ is uniformly bounded away from zero on $Z \cap V$.*

We prove Theorem 2.7 in Section 9.

Definition 2.8. A function $f \in \mathcal{O}(Z)$ on a complex α -submanifold $Z \subset X$ is called a holomorphic α -function if it admits an extension to a function in $C_\alpha(X)$.

The subalgebra of holomorphic α -functions on Z is denoted by $\mathcal{O}_\alpha(Z)$.

Alternatively, subalgebra $\mathcal{O}_\alpha(Z)$ can be defined in terms of α -currents, see Subsection 4.1.

We have the following analogue of the classical tubular neighbourhood theorem:

Theorem 2.9. *Let X_0 be a Stein manifold, $Z \subset X$ be a complex α -submanifold. Then there exist an open in topology \mathcal{T}_α neighbourhood $\Omega \subset X$ of Z and a family of maps $h_t \in \mathcal{O}_\alpha(\Omega, \Omega)$ continuously depending on $t \in [0, 1]$ such that*

$$h_t|_Z = \text{Id}_Z \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, 1], \quad h_0 = \text{Id}_\Omega \quad \text{and} \quad h_1(\Omega) = Z.$$

Theorem 2.9 gives a linear extension operator $h_1^* : \mathcal{O}_a(Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_a(\Omega)$, $f \mapsto h_1^* f$.

Using Theorem 2.4 we prove the following interpolation result.

Theorem 2.10. *Suppose X_0 is a Stein manifold, $Z \subset X$ is a complex a -submanifold, and $f \in \mathcal{O}_a(Z)$. Then there is $F \in \mathcal{O}_a(X)$ such that $F|_Z = f$.*

We prove Theorems 2.9 and 2.10 in Section 9.

Example 2.11. Suppose that $Z_1, Z_2 \subset T := \mathbb{R}^n + i\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ (where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is open and convex) are non-intersecting smooth complex hypersurfaces that are periodic, possibly with different periods, with respect to the usual action of \mathbb{R}^n on T by translations. Suppose also that the Euclidean distance $\text{dist}(Z_1, Z_2) > 0$. Let $f_1 \in \mathcal{O}(Z_1)$, $f_2 \in \mathcal{O}(Z_2)$ be holomorphic functions periodic with respect to these periods. The union $Z_1 \cup Z_2$ is a complex almost periodic submanifold of T in the sense of Definition 2.6 (cf. Example 1.2), and so by Theorem 2.10 there is a holomorphic almost periodic function $F \in \mathcal{O}_{AP}(T)$ such that $F|_{Z_i} = f_i$, $i = 1, 2$.

2.3. Holomorphic line a -bundles and their divisors

This subsection describes our results on a -divisors.

Let $Z \subset X$ be a complex submanifold. Recall that a continuous line bundle L on Z is given by an open cover $\{U_\alpha\}$ of Z and nowhere zero functions $d_{\alpha\beta} \in C(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)$ (where $d_{\alpha\beta} := 1$ if $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta = \emptyset$) satisfying the 1-cocycle conditions:

$$(2.4) \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \quad d_{\alpha\beta} = d_{\beta\alpha}^{-1} \quad \text{on } U_\alpha \cap U_\beta,$$

$$(2.5) \quad \forall \alpha, \beta, \gamma \quad d_{\alpha\beta} d_{\beta\gamma} d_{\gamma\alpha} = 1 \quad \text{on } U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \cap U_\gamma \neq \emptyset.$$

If all $d_{\alpha\beta} \in \mathcal{O}(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)$, then L is called a holomorphic line bundle.

In a standard way one defines continuous and holomorphic line bundles morphisms (see, e.g., [37]). The categories of continuous and holomorphic line bundles on Z are denoted by $\mathcal{L}^c(Z)$ and $\mathcal{L}(Z)$, respectively.

An *effective (Cartier) divisor* E on Z is given by an open cover $\{U_\alpha\}$ of Z and not identically zero on open subsets of U_α functions $f_\alpha \in \mathcal{O}(U_\alpha)$ such that

$$(2.6) \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \quad f_\alpha = d_{\alpha\beta} f_\beta \quad \text{on } U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \text{ for some } d_{\alpha\beta} \in \mathcal{O}(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta, \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}).$$

Clearly, holomorphic 1-cocycle $\{d_{\alpha\beta}\}$ determines a holomorphic line bundle denoted by L_E .

The collection of effective divisors on Z is denoted by $\text{Div}(Z)$.

Divisors $E = \{(U_\alpha, f_\alpha)\}$ and $E' = \{(V_\beta, g_\beta)\}$ in $\text{Div}(Z)$ are said to be *equivalent* (in $\text{Div}(Z)$) if there exists a refinement $\{W_\gamma\}$ of both covers $\{U_\alpha\}$ and $\{V_\beta\}$ and nowhere zero functions $p_\gamma \in \mathcal{O}(W_\gamma)$ such that

$$(2.7) \quad f_\alpha|_{W_\gamma} = p_\gamma \cdot g_\beta|_{W_\gamma} \quad \text{for } W_\gamma \subset U_\alpha \cap V_\beta.$$

If divisors E, E' are equivalent, then their line bundles $L_E, L_{E'}$ are isomorphic.

Now, let $Z \subset X$ be either a complex a -submanifold or X itself.

Definition 2.12. An open cover of Z is said to be of class (\mathcal{T}_a) if it is the pullback by ι of an open cover of the closure of $\iota(Z)$ in $c_a X$ (cf. Definition 2.5).

Definition 2.13. A continuous line bundle L on Z is called an a -bundle if, in its definition (see (2.4) and (2.5)),

- (1) $\{U_\alpha\}$ is of class (\mathcal{T}_a) ,
- (2) $\forall \alpha, \beta \ d_{\alpha\beta} \in C_a(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)$.

If all $d_{\alpha\beta} \in \mathcal{O}_a(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)$, then L is called a holomorphic line a -bundle.

The categories of continuous and holomorphic line a -bundles on Z are denoted by $\mathcal{L}_a^c(Z)$ and $\mathcal{L}_a(Z)$, respectively.

Definition 2.14. A divisor $E \in \text{Div}(Z)$ is called an *effective a -divisor* if, in its definition (see (2.6)),

- (1) $\{U_\alpha\}$ is of class (\mathcal{T}_a) ,
- (2) $\forall \alpha \ f_\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_a(U_\alpha)$,
- (3) $\forall \alpha, \beta \ f_\alpha = d_{\alpha\beta} f_\beta$ on $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ for some $d_{\alpha\beta} \in \mathcal{O}_a(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)$.

The collection of a -divisors is denoted by $\text{Div}_a(Z)$.

By the definition the line bundle L_E of an a -divisor E is a holomorphic line a -bundle.

Definition 2.15. a -divisors $E = \{(U_\alpha, f_\alpha)\}$ and $E' = \{(V_\beta, g_\beta)\}$ are said to be a -equivalent if, in the above definition of equivalence in $\text{Div}(Z)$ (see (2.7)),

- (1) $\{W_\gamma\}$ is of class (\mathcal{T}_a) ,
- (2) $\forall \gamma \ p_\gamma, p_\gamma^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}_a(W_\gamma)$.

If divisors E and E' are a -equivalent, then their line bundles L_E and $L_{E'}$ are isomorphic in $\mathcal{L}_a(Z)$.

For some algebras a (e.g., algebras of holomorphic almost periodic functions, see Example 1.2 and Subsection 3.2) a -divisors can be equivalently defined in terms of their currents of integration, see Subsection 4.3.

The basic example of an a -divisor is divisor E_f of a function $f \in \mathcal{O}_a(Z)$, called an a -principal divisor. There are, however, divisors in $\text{Div}_a(Z)$ that are not a -principal (see Subsection 3.4(4)); because the Čech cohomology group $H^2(\overline{\iota(Z)}, \mathbb{Z})$, where $\overline{\iota(Z)}$ is the closure of $\iota(Z)$ in $c_a X$, whose elements measure deviations of a -divisors on X from being a -principal is in general non-trivial (see the proof of Theorem 2.20 for details). This naturally leads to the following question, first considered in [21] in the case of holomorphic almost periodic functions:

Suppose that X_0 is Stein and $H^2(Z, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. Does there exist a class of functions $\mathfrak{C}_a \subset \mathcal{O}_{\ell^\infty}(Z)$, $\ell_\infty := \ell_\infty(G)$, such that for each function from \mathfrak{C}_a its divisor is equivalent (in $\text{Div}_{\ell^\infty}(Z)$) to a divisor in $\text{Div}_a(Z)$, and conversely, every divisor in $\text{Div}_a(Z)$ is equivalent to a principal divisor determined by a function in \mathfrak{C}_a ?

If $Z = X = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : a < \operatorname{Im}(z) < b\}$ and $\mathfrak{a} = AP(\mathbb{Z})$ (see Example 1.2), then it was established in [21] that the class

$$\mathfrak{C}_{AP} = \{f \in \mathcal{O}(Z) : |f| \in C_{AP}(Z)\}$$

satisfies this property. (The proof in [21] uses some properties of almost periodic currents.) In Proposition 2.19 we extend this result to \mathfrak{a} -divisors defined on certain one-dimensional complex manifolds Z . In turn, the results in [19] show that for the algebra of holomorphic almost periodic functions $\mathcal{O}_{AP}(Z)$ on a tube domain $Z \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ with $n > 1$ (see Example 1.2) the functions in \mathfrak{C}_{AP} determine only a proper subclass of almost periodic (i.e., AP -) divisors and provide a complete description of this subclass. Using our sheaf-theoretic approach we extend this result in Theorem 2.18 and Proposition 2.19 below.

To formulate the results we require:

Definition 2.16. A line bundle $L \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{a}}(Z)$ is called \mathfrak{a} -semi-trivial if there exists an isomorphism ψ in category $\mathcal{L}_{\ell_\infty}(Z)$ of L onto the trivial line bundle $L_0 \in \mathcal{L}_{\ell_\infty}(Z)$ such that $|\psi|^2 := \psi \otimes \bar{\psi}$ is an isomorphism in category $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{a}}^c(Z)$ of $L \otimes \bar{L}$ onto $L_0 \otimes \bar{L}_0$.

(Here \bar{L} is the bundle defined by complex conjugation of fibres of L .)

This definition is related to the question raised above via the following result (where we do not assume that X_0 is Stein).

Theorem 2.17. *If the line bundle L_E of an \mathfrak{a} -divisor E is \mathfrak{a} -semi-trivial, then E is ℓ_∞ -equivalent to divisor $E_f \in \operatorname{Div}(Z)$ of a function $f \in \mathcal{O}(Z)$ with $|f| \in C_{\mathfrak{a}}(Z)$.*

Suppose that \mathfrak{a} is such that $\hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is a compact topological group and $j(G) \subset \hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is a dense subgroup. Then for $Z = X$ the converse holds:

If $E \in \operatorname{Div}_{\mathfrak{a}}(X)$ is ℓ_∞ -equivalent to $E_f \in \operatorname{Div}(X)$ with $|f| \in C_{\mathfrak{a}}(X)$, then L_E is \mathfrak{a} -semi-trivial.

The second statement of the theorem is valid, e.g., for $\mathfrak{a} = AP(G)$, the algebra of von Neumann almost periodic functions on the deck transformation group G , see Example 3.1 (2) below. In this case $\hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}} := bG$, the Bohr compactification of G .

Now, we characterize the class of \mathfrak{a} -semi-trivial holomorphic line \mathfrak{a} -bundles.

Theorem 2.18. *Suppose X_0 is a Stein manifold. A line bundle $L \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{a}}(Z)$ is \mathfrak{a} -semi-trivial if and only if*

- (1) *L is isomorphic in category $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{a}}(Z)$ to a discrete line \mathfrak{a} -bundle L' (i.e., a bundle determined by a locally constant cocycle), and*
- (2) *L' is trivial in the category of discrete line bundles on Z .*

The argument in the proof of Theorem 2.18 implies that if the line bundle L_E of an \mathfrak{a} -divisor E satisfies condition (1) only, then the current of integration associated with E (see Subsection 4.3) coincides with $\frac{i}{\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log h$, where h is a nonnegative continuous plurisubharmonic \mathfrak{a} -function on Z .

Proposition 2.19. *Suppose X_0 is a Stein manifold and $Z \subset X$ is one-dimensional. Then for a line bundle $L \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{a}}(Z)$ condition (1) of Theorem 2.18 is satisfied. If also $H^1(Z, \mathbb{C}) = 0$, then condition (2) is satisfied as well.*

In particular, conditions (1) and (2) of Theorem 2.18 are satisfied if $Z = X$ is the universal covering of a non-compact Riemann surface X_0 and $\mathfrak{a} \subset \ell_{\infty}(\pi_1(X_0))$ is a self-adjoint closed subalgebra.

The second Cousin problem for algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(X)$ asks about conditions for a divisor in $\text{Div}_{\mathfrak{a}}(X)$ to be \mathfrak{a} -principal. Our next result provides some sufficient conditions for its solvability.

Theorem 2.20. *Let X_0 be a Stein manifold and $E \in \text{Div}_{\mathfrak{a}}(X)$. If X_0 is homotopy equivalent to an open subset $Y_0 \subset X_0$ such that the restriction of E to $Y := p^{-1}(Y_0)$ is \mathfrak{a} -equivalent to an \mathfrak{a} -principal divisor, then E is \mathfrak{a} -equivalent to an \mathfrak{a} -principal divisor as well.*

In particular, the above conditions are satisfied if \mathfrak{a} is such that $\hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is a compact topological group and $j(G) \subset \hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is a dense subgroup, and $\text{supp}(E) \cap Y = \emptyset$; here $\text{supp}(E)$ is the union of zero loci of holomorphic functions determining E .

For the algebra of Bohr's holomorphic almost periodic functions with X and Y being tube domains and $\mathfrak{a} = AP(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ (see Example 1.2) this theorem is due to [21] ($n = 1$) and [18] ($n \geq 1$). The proof in [21] uses Arakelyan's theorem and gives an explicit construction of a holomorphic almost periodic function that determines the principal divisor. Similarly to [18] our proof of Theorem 2.20 is sheaf-theoretic.

The proofs of Theorems 2.17, 2.18, 2.20 and Proposition 2.19 are given in Section 10.

2.4. Uniqueness sets for holomorphic \mathfrak{a} -functions

A classical result by H. Bohr states that if a holomorphic function f on a complex strip $T := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im}(z) \in (a, b)\}$, bounded on closed substrips, is continuous almost periodic on a horizontal line $\mathbb{R} + ic$, $c \in (a, b)$, then f is holomorphic almost periodic on T . In this subsection we extend this result to algebras $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(X)$.

The regular covering $p: X \rightarrow X_0$ is a principal fibre bundle over X_0 with structure group G , hence, for a cover $\{U_{0,\gamma}\}$ of X_0 by open simply connected subsets there exists a locally constant cocycle $\{c_{\delta\gamma}: U_{0,\gamma} \cap U_{0,\delta} \rightarrow G\}$ such that the covering $p: X \rightarrow X_0$ is obtained from the disjoint union $\sqcup_{\gamma} U_{0,\gamma} \times G$ by the identification

$$(2.8) \quad U_{0,\delta} \times G \ni (x, g) \sim (x, g \cdot c_{\delta\gamma}(x)) \in U_{0,\gamma} \times G \quad \text{for all } x \in U_{0,\gamma} \cap U_{0,\delta},$$

where projection p is induced by the projections $U_{0,\gamma} \times G \rightarrow U_{0,\gamma}$ (see, e.g., [37]). Local inverses $\psi_{\gamma}: p^{-1}(U_{0,\gamma}) \rightarrow U_{0,\gamma} \times G$ to the identification map form a system of biholomorphic trivializations of the covering. For a given subset $S \subset G$ denote

$$\Pi_{\gamma}(U_{0,\gamma}, S) := \psi_{\gamma}^{-1}(U_{0,\gamma} \times S).$$

Now, let $U_0 \subset X_0$ be an open simply connected set contained in some U_{0,γ_*} , $Z_0 \subset U_0$ be a uniqueness set for holomorphic functions in $\mathcal{O}(X_0)$, and subsets $L \subset K \subset G$ be such that the closure of $j(L)$ in $\hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is contained in the interior of the closure of $j(K)$ in $\hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ (see Subsection 2.1 for notation) and $\cup_{i=1}^m L \cdot g_i = G$ for some $g_1, \dots, g_m \in G$.

Consider $Z \subset X$ such that

$$p^{-1}(Z_0) \cap \Pi_{\gamma_*}(U_0, K) \subset Z.$$

(In particular, we can take $L = K := G$ and $Z := p^{-1}(Z_0)$.)

We define $C_{\mathfrak{a}}(Z) := C_{\mathfrak{a}}(X)|_Z$.

Theorem 2.21. *If $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\ell_\infty(G)}(X)$ and $f|_Z \in C_{\mathfrak{a}}(Z)$, then $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(X)$.*

We prove Theorem 2.21 in Section 11.

Remark 2.22. (1) As an example of the uniqueness set Z_0 in Theorem 2.21 we can take any real hypersurface in X_0 or, more generally, a set of the form $\{x \in X_0 : \rho_1(x) = \dots = \rho_d(x) = 0\}$, where ρ_1, \dots, ρ_d are real-valued differentiable functions on X_0 and $\partial\rho_1(x_0) \wedge \dots \wedge \partial\rho_d(x_0) \neq 0$ for some $x_0 \in Z_0$ (see, e.g., [3]).

(2) In the settings of the classical Bohr theorem the choice of the objects in Theorem 2.21 can be specified (recall that if $\mathfrak{a} = AP(G)$, then $\hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is a compact topological group, cf. Example 3.3(2) in [15]):

Proposition 2.23. *Suppose that \mathfrak{a} is such that $\hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is a compact topological group and $j(G) \subset \hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is a dense subgroup. Given $K \subset G$ the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) *There exist $g_1, \dots, g_m \in G$ such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^m K \cdot g_i = G$;*
- (b) *The closure of $j(K)$ in $\hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ has a nonempty interior;*
- (c) *There exists a subset $L \subset K$ satisfying conditions of Theorem 2.21.*

The proof of Proposition 2.23 is given in Section 11.

Thus, for such algebras \mathfrak{a} one can take as the set K in Theorem 2.21, e.g., any nonempty subset of the form $\{g \in G : |f(g)| < 1, f \in \mathfrak{a}\}$. For instance, in Bohr's result the line $\mathbb{R} + ic$ can be replaced with a set $S + K$, where $S \Subset T$ is an infinite set (hence, it is a uniqueness set for $\mathcal{O}(T)$) and $K := \{n \in \mathbb{Z} : |E(n)| < 1\} \neq \emptyset$, where E is a univariate exponential polynomial of form (1.1).

2.5. Leray, Hartogs and Peter–Weil-type theorems for algebras $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(X)$

In this subsection we do not assume that algebra \mathfrak{a} is self-adjoint.

1. The following discussion suggests an alternative approach to study of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(X)$. Namely, we have an equivalent presentation of functions in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(X)$ as holomorphic sections of a holomorphic Banach vector bundle $\tilde{p} : C_{\mathfrak{a}}X_0 \rightarrow X_0$ associated to the principal fibre bundle $p : X \rightarrow X_0$ and having fibre \mathfrak{a} defined as follows.

The regular covering $p: X \rightarrow X_0$ is a principal fibre bundle with structure group G (see Subsection 2.4). Then $\tilde{p}: C_{\mathfrak{a}}X_0 \rightarrow X_0$ is a holomorphic Banach vector bundle associated to $p: X \rightarrow X_0$ and having fibre \mathfrak{a} obtained from the disjoint union $\sqcup_{\gamma} U_{0,\gamma} \times \mathfrak{a}$ by the identification

$$(2.9) \quad U_{0,\delta} \times \mathfrak{a} \ni (x, f) \sim (x, R_{c_{\delta\gamma}(x)}(f)) \in U_{0,\gamma} \times \mathfrak{a} \quad \text{for all } x \in U_{0,\gamma} \cap U_{0,\delta}.$$

The projection \tilde{p} is induced by projections $U_{0,\gamma} \times \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow U_{0,\gamma}$.

Let $\mathcal{O}(C_{\mathfrak{a}}X_0)$ be the space of holomorphic sections of $C_{\mathfrak{a}}X_0$. This is a Fréchet algebra with respect to the usual pointwise operations and the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets of X_0 .

Proposition 2.24. $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(X) \cong \mathcal{O}(C_{\mathfrak{a}}X_0)$.

We give proof of Proposition 2.24 in Section 12.

Using Proposition 2.24 we obtain the following result on extension within the class of holomorphic \mathfrak{a} -functions.

Proposition 2.25. *Let M_0 be a closed complex submanifold of a Stein manifold X_0 , $M := p^{-1}(M_0) \subset X$, $D_0 \Subset X_0$ is Levi strictly pseudoconvex (see, e.g., [34]), $D := p^{-1}(D_0)$, and $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M \cap D)$ is bounded. Then there exists a bounded function $F \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(D)$ such that $F|_{M \cap D} = f|_{M \cap D}$.*

Indeed, subalgebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)$ is isomorphic to the algebra $\mathcal{O}(C_{\mathfrak{a}}X)|_{M_0}$ of holomorphic sections of bundle $C_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ over M_0 . Since X_0 is Stein, there exist holomorphic Banach vector bundles $p_1: E_1 \rightarrow X_0$ and $p_2: E_2 \rightarrow X_0$ having complex Banach spaces B_1 and B_2 as their fibres, respectively, such that $E_2 = E_1 \oplus C_{\mathfrak{a}}X_0$ (the Whitney sum) and E_2 is holomorphically trivial, i.e., $E_2 \cong X_0 \times B_2$ (see, e.g., [55]). Thus, any holomorphic section of E_2 can be naturally identified with a B_2 -valued holomorphic function on X_0 . By $q: E_2 \rightarrow C_{\mathfrak{a}}X_0$ and $i: C_{\mathfrak{a}}X_0 \rightarrow E_2$ we denote the corresponding quotient and embedding homomorphisms of the bundles so that $q \circ i = \text{Id}$. (Similar identifications hold for the bundle $C_{\mathfrak{a}}D_0$.) Given a function $f \in \mathcal{O}(C_{\mathfrak{a}}X_0)|_{M_0}$ consider its image $\tilde{f} := i(f)$, a B_2 -valued holomorphic function on M_0 , and apply to it the integral representation formula from [35] asserting the existence of a bounded function $\tilde{F} \in \mathcal{O}(D_0, B_2)$ such that $\tilde{F}|_{M_0 \cap D_0} = \tilde{f}|_{M_0 \cap D_0}$. Finally, we define $F := q(\tilde{F})$.

In fact, this method allows to obtain similar extension results for holomorphic functions on X whose restrictions to each fibre belong to some Banach space, and are possibly unbounded, see [8].

In view of Proposition 2.25, it is natural to ask to what extent Theorems 2.3, 2.4 and 2.10 depend on the assumption that the subalgebra \mathfrak{a} is self-adjoint.

2. Next, we show that the classical Leray integral representation formula can be extended to work within subalgebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(X)$.

For a given $z \in X_0$ by \mathfrak{a}_z we denote the subalgebra of functions $h: p^{-1}(z) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that for all $x \in p^{-1}(z)$ functions $G \ni g \mapsto h(g \cdot x)$ are in \mathfrak{a} , endowed with sup-norm. Clearly, \mathfrak{a}_z is isometrically isomorphic to \mathfrak{a} .

Let

$$D_0 \Subset X_0 \text{ be a subdomain and } D := p^{-1}(D_0).$$

We denote

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{a}}(D) := C_{\mathfrak{a}}(\bar{D}) \cap \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(D)$$

(see Section 1 for definitions). This is a Banach space with respect to sup-norm.

Theorem 2.26. *Let X_0 be a Stein manifold and D_0 , D be as above. There is a family of bounded linear operators $L_z: \mathfrak{a}_z \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{a}}(D)$, $z \in D_0$, holomorphic in z and such that*

- (1) $L_z(h)(x) = h(x)$ for all $h \in \mathfrak{a}_z$, $x \in p^{-1}(z)$;
- (2) $\sup_{z \in D_0} \|L_z\| < \infty$.

We prove Theorem 2.26 in Section 12.

Now, let us recall the classical Leray integral representation formula. For $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{C}^n$ we define $\langle \eta, \xi \rangle := \sum_{k=1}^n \eta_j \xi_j$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\xi) &:= d\xi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\xi_n, \\ \omega'(\eta) &:= \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \eta_k d\eta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\eta_{k-1} \wedge d\eta_{k+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\eta_n. \end{aligned}$$

For a domain $D_0 \Subset \mathbb{C}^n$ we set $Q := D_0 \times \mathbb{C}^n$. Fix $z \in D_0$ and define a hypersurface $P_z \subset Q$ by

$$P_z := \{(\eta, \xi) \in Q : \langle \eta, \xi - z \rangle = 0\}.$$

Let h_z be a $2n - 1$ -dimensional cycle in $Q \setminus P_z$ whose projection to D_0 is homologous to ∂D_0 .

Leray integral representation formula (see, e.g., [35]). For any function $f \in \mathcal{O}(D_0)$,

$$(2.10) \quad f(z) = \frac{(n-1)!}{(2\pi i)^n} \int_{h_z} f(\xi) \frac{\omega'(\eta) \wedge \omega(\xi)}{\langle \eta, \xi - z \rangle^n}.$$

Interpreting $z \mapsto L_z(f|_{p^{-1}(z)})$, $z \in D_0$, $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(D)$, in Theorem 2.26 as an $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{a}}(D)$ -valued holomorphic function on D_0 and using the fact that representation (2.10) is valid for Banach-valued holomorphic functions (because the integral kernel in this formula is continuous and bounded on h_z) we obtain:

Theorem 2.27 (Leray-type integral representation formula). *Let $X_0 \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a Stein domain and $D_0 \Subset X_0$ be a subdomain. Then for any function $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(D)$,*

$$(2.11) \quad f(x) = \frac{(n-1)!}{(2\pi i)^n} \int_{h_z} L_\xi(f|_{p^{-1}(\xi)})(x) \frac{\omega'(\eta) \wedge \omega(\xi)}{\langle \eta, \xi - z \rangle^n}, \quad \text{for all } x \in p^{-1}(z).$$

A similar formula for functions in $\mathcal{O}_{\ell_\infty}(D)$ was first established in [8].

3. Similarly to [7], we obtain the following Hartogs-type theorem.

Theorem 2.28. *Suppose $n := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} X_0 \geq 2$. Let $D_0 \Subset X_0$ be a subdomain with a connected piecewise C^1 boundary ∂D_0 contained in a Stein open submanifold of X_0 and $D := p^{-1}(D_0)$. Assume that $f \in C_{\mathfrak{a}}(\partial D)$ satisfies the tangential Cauchy–Riemann equations on ∂D , i.e., for any smooth $(n, n-2)$ -form ω on X having compact support,*

$$\int_{\partial D} f \bar{\partial} \omega = 0.$$

Then there exists a function $F \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(D) \cap C(\bar{D})$ such that $F|_{\partial D} = f$.

The proof of Theorem 2.28 is given in Section 12.

In particular, Theorem 2.28 implies that if $n \geq 2$, then each continuous almost periodic function on the boundary $\partial T = \mathbb{R}^n + i\partial\Omega$ of a tube domain $T := \mathbb{R}^n + i\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, where $\Omega \Subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a domain with piecewise-smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, satisfying the tangential Cauchy–Riemann equations on ∂T , admits a continuous extension to a holomorphic almost periodic function in $\mathcal{O}_{AP}(T) \cap C(\bar{T})$.

4. Now, we extend Bohr’s approximation theorem for holomorphic almost periodic functions (see Section 1) to an arbitrary subalgebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(X)$.

Let \mathfrak{a}_ι ($\iota \in I$) be a collection of closed subspaces of \mathfrak{a} such that

- (1) \mathfrak{a}_ι are invariant with respect to the action of G on \mathfrak{a} by right translates (i.e., if $f \in \mathfrak{a}_\iota$, then $R_g(f) \in \mathfrak{a}_\iota$ for all $g \in G$),
- (2) the family $\{\mathfrak{a}_\iota : \iota \in I\}$ forms a direct system ordered by inclusion, and
- (3) the linear space $\mathfrak{a}_0 := \bigcup_{\iota \in I} \mathfrak{a}_\iota$ is dense in \mathfrak{a} .

The model examples of subspaces \mathfrak{a}_ι are given in Subsection 3.5 below.

Let $\mathcal{O}_\iota(X)$ be the space of holomorphic functions $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(X)$ such that for every $x \in X$ functions

$$g \mapsto f(g \cdot x), \quad g \in G,$$

belong to \mathfrak{a}_ι . Let $\mathcal{O}_0(X)$ be \mathbb{C} -linear hull of spaces $\mathcal{O}_\iota(X)$ with ι varying over I .

Theorem 2.29. *If X_0 is a Stein manifold, then $\mathcal{O}_0(X)$ is dense in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(X)$.*

We prove Theorem 2.29 in Section 12.

If $\mathfrak{a} = AP(G)$ (see Subsections 3.1 (2) and 3.2), then this theorem may be viewed as a holomorphic analogue of the Peter–Weyl approximation theorem.

3. Examples

3.1. Examples of subalgebras \mathfrak{a}

In addition to $\ell_\infty(G)$, $c(G)$ and $AP(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ (cf. Section 1), we list the following examples of self-adjoint subalgebras of $\ell_\infty(G)$ separating points of G and invariant with respect to the action of G by right translations.

(1) If group G is residually finite (respectively, residually nilpotent), i.e., for any element $t \in G$, $t \neq e$, there exists a normal subgroup $G_t \not\ni t$ such that G/G_t is finite (respectively, nilpotent), we consider the closed algebra $\hat{\ell}_\infty(G) \subset \ell_\infty(G)$ generated by pullbacks to G of algebras $\ell_\infty(G/G_t)$ for all G_t as above.

(2) Recall that a (continuous) bounded function f on a (topological) group G is called *almost periodic* if the families of its left and right translates

$$\{t \mapsto f(st)\}_{s \in G}, \quad \{t \mapsto f(ts)\}_{s \in G}$$

are relatively compact in $\ell_\infty(G)$ (J. von Neumann [47]). (It was proved in [46] that the relative compactness of either the left or the right family of translates already gives the almost periodicity on G .) The algebra of almost periodic functions on G is denoted by $AP(G)$.

The basic examples of almost periodic functions on G are given by the matrix elements of the finite-dimensional irreducible unitary representations of G .

Recall that group G is called *maximally almost periodic* if its finite-dimensional irreducible unitary representations separate points. Equivalently, G is maximally almost periodic iff it admits a monomorphism into a compact topological group.

Any residually finite group belongs to this class. In particular, \mathbb{Z}^n , finite groups, free groups, finitely generated nilpotent groups, pure braid groups, fundamental groups of three dimensional manifolds are maximally almost periodic.

We denote by $AP_0(G) \subset AP(G)$ the space of functions

$$(3.1) \quad t \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^m c_k \sigma_{ij}^k(t), \quad t \in G, \quad c_k \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \sigma^k = (\sigma_{ij}^k),$$

where σ^k ($1 \leq k \leq m$) are finite-dimensional irreducible unitary representations of G . The von Neumann approximation theorem [47] states that $AP_0(G)$ is dense in $AP(G)$.

In particular, the algebra $AP(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ of almost periodic functions on \mathbb{Z}^n contains as a dense subset the subalgebra of exponential polynomials $t \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^m c_k e^{i\langle \lambda_k, t \rangle}$, $t \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $\lambda_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Here $\langle \lambda_k, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the linear functional defined by λ_k .

(3) The algebra $AP_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ of almost periodic functions on \mathbb{Z}^n with rational spectra. This is the subalgebra of $AP(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ generated over \mathbb{C} by functions $t \mapsto e^{i\langle \lambda, t \rangle}$ with $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}^n$.

(4) If group G is finitely generated then, in addition to the subalgebra $c(G) \subset \ell_\infty(G)$ of functions having limits at ‘infinity’, we can define a subalgebra $c_E(G) \subset \ell_\infty(G)$ of functions having limits at ‘infinity’ along each ‘path’.

To make this definition precise, we will need the notion of the end compactification of a connected and locally connected topological space T that admits an exhaustion by compact subsets K_i , $i \in \mathbb{N}$, whose interiors cover T . Recall that the set of ends $E = E_T$ of space T is the inverse limit of an inverse system of discrete spaces $\{\pi_0(T \setminus K_i)\}$, where $\pi_0(T \setminus K_i)$ is the set of connected components of $T \setminus K_i$, and each inclusion $T \setminus K_j \subset T \setminus K_i$, $i \leq j$, induces projection $\pi_0(T \setminus K_j) \rightarrow \pi_0(T \setminus K_i)$. The end compactification \bar{T}_E of T is a compact space

defined as the disjoint union $T \sqcup E_T$ endowed with the weakest topology containing all open subsets of T and all open neighbourhoods of the ends: an open neighbourhood of an end $e = \{e_i \in \pi_0(T \setminus K_i), i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a subset $V \subset T \sqcup E_T$ such that $V \cap E_T$ and $V \cap T$ are open in the corresponding topologies and $e_i \subset V \cap T$ for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$, see [23].

Now, suppose that group G is finitely generated. By \bar{G}_E we denote the end compactification of the Cayley graph \mathcal{C}_G of G . Identifying naturally G with the vertex set of \mathcal{C}_G we define the subalgebra $c_E(G) \subset \ell_\infty(G)$ of functions admitting continuous extensions to \bar{G}_E . For example, if $G = \mathbb{Z}$, then $E = \{\pm\infty\}$, and $c_E(\mathbb{Z})$ consists of functions $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ having limits at $\pm\infty$.

(5) For a finitely generated group G , let $SAP(G) \subset \ell_\infty(G)$ denote the minimal subalgebra containing $AP(G)$ and $c_E(G)$. Elements of $SAP(G)$ are called *semi-almost periodic functions* (this is a variant of definition in [49] for $G = \mathbb{R}$), see Example 3.3 below.

(6) Let N be an infinite subgroup of G and $N \backslash G$ be the set of (right) conjugacy classes. For a given class $Nx \in N \backslash G$ endowed with the discrete topology by $c(Nx)$ we denote the subalgebra of bounded functions $Nx \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that admit extensions to the one-point compactification of Nx . Let $c_N(G) \subset \ell_\infty(G)$ denote the subalgebra consisting of functions h such that

$$h|_{Nx} \in c(Nx) \quad \text{for each } Nx \in N \backslash G.$$

(Thus, h has limits ‘at infinity’ along each conjugacy class.)

Every function $h \in c_N(G)$ can be viewed as a bounded function on $N \backslash G$ with values in Banach algebra $c(N)$, i.e.,

$$h \in \ell_\infty(N \backslash G, c(N)).$$

Instead of $\ell_\infty(N \backslash G, c(N))$ we may consider other Banach algebras of $c(N)$ -valued functions on $N \backslash G$, e.g., $c(N \backslash G, c(N))$, thus obtaining other subalgebras of $\ell_\infty(G)$ satisfying assumptions of Section 1.

3.2. Holomorphic almost periodic functions on coverings of complex manifolds

In [15] we defined holomorphic almost periodic functions on a regular covering $X \rightarrow X_0$ as elements of algebra $\mathcal{O}_{AP}(X)$ (see Subsection 3.1(2) for the definition of algebra $AP = AP(G)$). Equivalently, a function $f \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ is called holomorphic almost periodic if each G -orbit in X has a neighbourhood U that is invariant with respect to the (left) action of G , such that the family of translates $\{z \mapsto f(g \cdot z), z \in U\}_{g \in G}$ is relatively compact in the topology of uniform convergence on U (see [13] for the proof of the equivalence).

This is a variant of definition in [54], where G is taken to be the group of all biholomorphic automorphisms of a complex manifold X (see also [53]).

For instance, if X_0 is a non-compact Riemann surface and $p: X \rightarrow X_0$ is a regular covering with a maximally almost periodic deck transformation group G ,

then functions in $\mathcal{O}_{AP}(X)$ arise, e.g., as linear combinations over \mathbb{C} of matrix entries of fundamental solutions of certain linear differential equations on X (see Subsection 4.4 (2) for details).

We say that the covering $p: X \rightarrow X_0$ has the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ -*Liouville property* if $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(X)$ does not contain non-constant bounded functions.

Recall that a complex manifold X_0 is called *ultralioiuville* if there are no non-constant bounded continuous plurisubharmonic functions on X_0 (e.g., connected compact complex manifolds and their Zariski open subsets are ultralioiuville).

According to [44], if X_0 is ultralioiuville and G is virtually nilpotent (i.e., contains a nilpotent subgroup of finite index), then X has the $\mathcal{O}_{\ell_\infty}$ -Liouville property. For holomorphic almost periodic functions on X this result can be strengthened, see Theorem 2.3 in [13]:

Let $p: X \rightarrow X_0$ be a regular covering of an ultralioiuville complex manifold X_0 . Then:

- (1) *X has the \mathcal{O}_{AP} -Liouville property.*
- (2) *Let $n \geq 2$, $D_0 \Subset X_0$ be a subdomain with a connected piecewise smooth boundary ∂D_0 contained in a Stein open submanifold of X_0 , and $D := p^{-1}(D_0)$. Then $X \setminus D$ has \mathcal{O}_{AP} -Liouville property.*

For instance, consider the universal covering $p: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ of doubly punctured complex plane (here the deck transformation group is free group with two generators). Although there are plenty of non-constant bounded holomorphic functions on \mathbb{D} , all bounded holomorphic almost periodic functions on \mathbb{D} corresponding to this covering are constant because $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ is ultralioiuville.

For other properties of algebra $\mathcal{O}_{AP}(X)$ see Subsection 4.3 below.

3.3. Holomorphic semi-almost periodic functions

Suppose that group G is finitely generated. Elements of algebra $\mathcal{O}_{SAP}(X)$ (see Example 3.1 (5)) are called *holomorphic semi-almost periodic functions*. By Theorem 2.29, the algebra $\mathcal{O}_{SAP}(X)$ is generated by subalgebras $\mathcal{O}_{AP}(X)$ (see Example 3.2) and $\mathcal{O}_{c_E}(X)$ (see Example 3.1 (4)). In the case $T \rightarrow T_0$ is a complex strip covering an annulus T_0 (see Example 1.2), algebra $\mathcal{O}_{SAP}(T)$ is related to the subalgebra of Hardy algebra $H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ of bounded holomorphic functions on the unit disk $\mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{C}$ generated by functions whose moduli have only the first-kind boundary discontinuities (see [14]).

3.4. Examples of complex \mathfrak{a} -submanifolds

We assume that the subalgebra \mathfrak{a} is self-adjoint.

(1) If $Z_0 \subset X_0$ is a complex submanifold of codimension k , then $Z := p^{-1}(Z_0) \subset X$ is a complex \mathfrak{a} -submanifold of codimension k .

(2) The disjoint union of a finite collection of complex \mathfrak{a} -submanifolds Z_i of X separated by functions in $C_{\mathfrak{a}}(X)$ (i.e., for each i there is $f \in C_{\mathfrak{a}}(X)$ such that $f = 1$ on Z_i and $f = 0$ on Z_j for $j \neq i$) is a complex \mathfrak{a} -submanifold.

(3) Let $Z_0 = \{x \in X_0 : f_1(x) = \dots = f_k(x) = 0\}$ for some $f_i \in \mathcal{O}(X_0)$ ($1 \leq i \leq k$) be a complex submanifold of X_0 of codimension k . Set $Z := p^{-1}(Z_0)$. Further, for an open subset $X'_0 \Subset X_0$ and functions $h_1, \dots, h_k \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(X)$ we define $X' := p^{-1}(X'_0)$, $\delta := \sup_{x \in X'} \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} |h_i(x)|$, and

$$Z_h := \{x \in X : p^* f_1(x) + h_1(x) = \dots = p^* f_k(x) + h_k(x) = 0\}.$$

Using the inverse function theorem with continuous dependence on parameter (Theorem 6.2), it is not difficult to see that Z_h is a complex \mathfrak{a} -submanifold of X' provided that $\delta > 0$ is sufficiently small.

(4) A complex \mathfrak{a} -submanifold of X is called *cylindrical* if each open set V in Definition 2.6 has form $V = p^{-1}(V_0)$ for some open $V_0 \subset X_0$ (i.e., it is determined by holomorphic \mathfrak{a} -functions on preimages by p of open subsets of X_0). If all complex \mathfrak{a} -submanifolds of X were cylindrical, a much weaker version of Theorem 2.3 would have sufficed for the proof of the interpolation theorem for $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(X)$ (Theorem 2.10). However, non-cylindrical \mathfrak{a} -submanifolds do exist: in [13] we constructed a non-cylindrical \mathfrak{a} -hypersurface in X in the case $\mathfrak{a} = AP(\mathbb{Z})$ (see Subsection 3.2) and $p: X \rightarrow X_0$ is a regular covering of a Riemann surface X_0 with deck transformation group \mathbb{Z} . We assumed that X_0 has finite type and is a relatively compact subdomain of a larger (non-compact) Riemann surface \tilde{X}_0 whose fundamental group satisfies $\pi_1(\tilde{X}_0) \cong \pi_1(X_0)$ (e.g., the covering of Example 1.2 with $n = 1$, i.e., a complex strip covering an annulus, is a regular covering of this form).

Let us briefly describe this construction.

The covering X of X_0 admits an injective holomorphic map into a holomorphic fibre bundle over X_0 having fibre $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$, $\mathbb{C}^* := \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, defined as follows. First, note that the regular covering $p: X \rightarrow X_0$ admits presentation as a principal fibre bundle with fibre \mathbb{Z} , see (2.8). We choose two characters $\chi_1, \chi_2: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1 \cong \mathbb{R}/(2\pi\mathbb{Z})$ such that the homomorphism $(\chi_1, \chi_2): \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^2 = \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ is an embedding with dense image. Consider the fibre bundle $b_{\mathbb{T}^2}X$ over X_0 with fibre \mathbb{T}^2 associated with the principal fibre bundle $p: X \rightarrow X_0$ via the homomorphism (χ_1, χ_2) . The bundle $b_{\mathbb{T}^2}X$ is embedded into a holomorphic fibre bundle $b_{(\mathbb{C}^*)^2}X$ with fibre $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ associated with the composite of the embedding homomorphism $\mathbb{T}^2 \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ and (χ_1, χ_2) . Now, the covering X of X_0 admits an injective C^∞ map into $b_{\mathbb{T}^2}X$ with dense image and the composite of this map with the embedding of $b_{\mathbb{T}^2}X$ into $b_{(\mathbb{C}^*)^2}X$ is an injective holomorphic map $X \rightarrow b_{(\mathbb{C}^*)^2}X$. Further, the bundle $b_{(\mathbb{C}^*)^2}X$ admits a holomorphic trivialization $\eta: b_{(\mathbb{C}^*)^2}X \rightarrow X_0 \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^2$. We choose $\chi_1(1)$ and $\chi_2(1)$ so close to $1 \in \mathbb{S}^1$ that the image $\eta(b_{\mathbb{T}^2}X) \subset X_0 \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ is sufficiently close to $X_0 \times \mathbb{T}^2$. Thus identifying X (by means of holomorphic injection $X \hookrightarrow b_{(\mathbb{C}^*)^2}X \xrightarrow{\eta} X_0 \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^2$) with a subset of $X_0 \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^2$, we obtain that X is sufficiently close to $X_0 \times \mathbb{T}^2$. Next, we construct a smooth complex hypersurface in $X_0 \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ such that in each cylindrical coordinate chart $U_0 \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ on $X_0 \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ for $U_0 \Subset X_0$ simply connected it cannot be determined as the set of zeros of a holomorphic function on $U_0 \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^2$. Intersecting this hypersurface with X we obtain a non-cylindrical almost periodic hypersurface in X . (To construct such a hypersurface in $X_0 \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^2$, we determine a smooth divisor in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ that has a non-zero Chern class – i.e., it cannot be given by a holomorphic function on $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ –,

and whose support intersects the real torus $\mathbb{T}^2 \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ transversely. Then we take the pullback of this divisor with respect to the projection $X_0 \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^2 \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ to get the desired hypersurface.)

3.5. Examples of spaces \mathfrak{a}_ι in Theorem 2.29

(1) Let $\mathfrak{a} = \ell_\infty(G)$, I be the collection of all subsets of G ordered by inclusion. It is easy to verify that given $\iota \in I$ we can define \mathfrak{a}_ι to be the closed linear subspace spanned by translates $\{R_g(\chi_\iota) : g \in G\}$ of the characteristic function χ_ι of subset ι .

(2) Let $\mathfrak{a} = AP(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ (see Subsection 3.1 (2)). We can take I to be the collection of all finite subsets of \mathbb{R}^n ordered by inclusion and $\mathfrak{a}_\iota(\mathbb{Z}^n) := \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{t \mapsto e^{i\langle \lambda, t \rangle}, \lambda \in \iota, \iota \in I, t \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$.

We can also consider $\mathfrak{a} = AP_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$, the algebra of almost periodic functions on \mathbb{Z}^n having rational spectra (see Subsection 3.1 (3)). Here we take I to be the collection of all finite subsets of \mathbb{Q}^n ordered by inclusion and define spaces $\mathfrak{a}_\iota(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ similarly to the above.

(3) Let $\mathfrak{a} = AP(G)$ (see Subsection 3.1 (2)) and I consist of finite collections of finite-dimensional irreducible unitary representations of group G . We define $\mathfrak{a}_\iota(G)$, where $\iota = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m\} \in I$, to be the linear \mathbb{C} -hull of matrix elements $\sigma_k^{ij} \in AP(G)$ of representations $\sigma_k = (\sigma_k^{ij})$, $1 \leq k \leq m$.

4. Comments

4.1. Equivalent definition of holomorphic \mathfrak{a} -functions

Let $\Lambda_c^{t,s}(X)$ denote the space of smooth (t,s) -forms on X with compact supports endowed with the standard topology (see, e.g., [17]). Recall that continuous linear functionals on $\Lambda_c^{t,s}(X)$ are called $(n-t, n-s)$ -currents.

There is an equivalent definition of holomorphic \mathfrak{a} -functions on a complex \mathfrak{a} -submanifold Z (see Definition 2.8) in terms of currents. Namely, let \mathfrak{a} be self-adjoint, then a function $f \in \mathcal{O}(Z)$ on a complex \mathfrak{a} -submanifold $Z \subset X$ is a holomorphic \mathfrak{a} -function if and only if it is bounded on subsets $Z \cap p^{-1}(U_0)$, $U_0 \Subset X_0$, and the corresponding current c_f ,

$$(4.1) \quad (c_f, \varphi) := \int_Z f \varphi, \quad \varphi \in \Lambda_c^{m,m}(X), \quad m := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} Z,$$

is an \mathfrak{a} -current meaning that for each φ the function $G \ni g \mapsto (c_f, \varphi_g)$ belongs to algebra \mathfrak{a} ; here $\varphi_g(x) := \varphi(g \cdot x)$ ($x \in X$). (The proof follows an argument in Proposition 2.4 of [19].)

In the setting of Example 1.2 (holomorphic almost periodic functions on tube domains) almost periodic currents were studied, e.g., in [22] (see further references therein).

4.2. Cylindrical \mathfrak{a} -divisors

The class of \mathfrak{a} -principal divisors is contained in a larger class of cylindrical \mathfrak{a} -divisors, i.e., \mathfrak{a} -divisors determined by functions $f_\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{a}(U_\alpha)$ with $U_\alpha = p^{-1}(U_{0,\alpha})$ for some open $U_{0,\alpha} \subset X_0$ (see Definition 2.14).

If covering dimension of the maximal ideal space $M_\mathfrak{a}$ of \mathfrak{a} is zero, then every \mathfrak{a} -divisor is \mathfrak{a} -equivalent to a cylindrical \mathfrak{a} -divisor (the latter follows from an equivalent definition of \mathfrak{a} -divisors as divisors on fibrewise compactification $c_\mathfrak{a}X$, see [13]). In particular, all ℓ_∞ -, $\hat{\ell}_\infty(G)$ - (for a residually finite group G), $AP_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -divisors (see (1) and (3) in Subsection 3.1) are ℓ_∞ -, $\hat{\ell}_\infty(G)$ -, $AP_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -equivalent to cylindrical divisors (see Examples 3.3(3) and 3.3(4) in [15]). There are, however, non-cylindrical AP -divisors, see Subsection 4.4 in [13].

For an \mathfrak{a} -divisor E on X , Theorem 2.20 implies the following:

- (a) If there exists a function $f \in \mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{a}(U)$, where $U = p^{-1}(U_0)$, $U_0 \subset X_0$ is open, such that $E|_U$ is determined by f , then E is \mathfrak{a} -equivalent to a cylindrical divisor (see the argument in the proof of Theorem 2.20).
- (b) If $\mathfrak{a} = AP(G)$ and E is not \mathfrak{a} -equivalent to a cylindrical \mathfrak{a} -divisor, then the projection of $\text{supp}(E)$ to X_0 is dense (the converse is not true, see Subsection 3.4(4)).

4.3. Almost periodic divisors

We use notation introduced in Subsection 4.1. Let T_E be the current of integration of a divisor $E \in \text{Div}(X)$, i.e.,

$$(T_E, \varphi) := \int_E \varphi, \quad \varphi \in \Lambda_c^{n-1, n-1}(X)$$

(see, e.g., [17]). One can prove that if $E \in \text{Div}_{AP}(X)$, then current T_E is almost periodic. Conversely, if the current of integration T_E of a divisor $E \in \text{Div}(X)$ is almost periodic, then E is equivalent to an AP -divisor.

4.4. Approximation of holomorphic almost periodic functions

(1) Let $\mathcal{O}_0(T) \subset \mathcal{O}_{AP(\mathbb{Z}^n)}(T)$ be a subspace determined by the choice of spaces $\mathfrak{a}_\iota = \mathfrak{a}_\iota(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ ($\iota \in I$) as in Subsection 3.5(2). We show that exponential polynomials, see (1.1), are dense in $\mathcal{O}_0(T)$.

We denote $e_\lambda(t) := e^{i\langle \lambda, t \rangle}$ ($\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $t \in \mathbb{Z}^n$). Clearly, $e_\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_{\{\lambda\}}(T)$. Now, let $\iota = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m\}$. Since functions e_{λ_k} ($1 \leq k \leq m$) are linearly independent in \mathfrak{a}_ι , there exist linear projections $p_{\iota, \lambda_k} : \mathfrak{a}_\iota \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}_{\{\lambda_k\}}$. Since projections p_{ι, λ_k} , $1 \leq k \leq m$, are invariant with respect to the action of G on itself by right translates, they determine projections $P_{\iota, \lambda_k} : \mathcal{O}_\iota(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\{\lambda_k\}}(T)$. (The latter follows, e.g., from the presentation of functions in $\mathcal{O}_{AP}(T)$ as sections of holomorphic Banach vector bundle $C_{AP}X_0$, see (2.9), where projections P_{ι, λ_k} become bundle homomorphisms $C_{\mathfrak{a}_\iota}X_0 \rightarrow C_{\mathfrak{a}_{\{\lambda_k\}}}X_0$.) Therefore, there exist functions $f_{\lambda_k} \in \mathcal{O}_{\{\lambda_k\}}(T)$, $f_{\lambda_k} := P_{\iota, \lambda_k}(f)$, $1 \leq k \leq m$, such that $f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^m f_{\lambda_k}(z)$, $z \in T$. It is easy to see

that for each f_{λ_k} there exists a function $h_{\lambda_k} \in \mathcal{O}(T_0)$ such that $f_{\lambda_k}/e_{\lambda_k} = p^*h_{\lambda_k}$; hence,

$$(4.2) \quad f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^m (p^*h_{\lambda_k})(z) e^{i\langle \lambda_k, z \rangle}, \quad z \in T.$$

Since the base T_0 of the covering is a relatively compact Reinhardt domain, functions h_{λ_k} admit expansions into Laurent series (see, e.g., [50]):

$$h_k(z) = \sum_{|\alpha|=-\infty}^{\infty} b_{\alpha} z^{\alpha}, \quad z \in T_0, \quad b_t \in \mathbb{C},$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ is a multiindex, $|\alpha| := \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$. Since $p(z) = (e^{iz_1}, \dots, e^{iz_n})$, $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in T$ (see Example 1.2), each $p^*h_{\lambda_k}$ admits an approximation by finite sums

$$(4.3) \quad \sum_{|\alpha|=-M}^M b_{\alpha} e^{i\langle \alpha, z \rangle}, \quad z \in T,$$

converging uniformly on subsets $p^{-1}(W_0) \subset T$, $W_0 \Subset T_0$. Together with (4.2) this implies that exponential polynomials (1.1) are dense in $\mathcal{O}_0(T)$.

A similar argument shows that the algebra of holomorphic almost periodic functions with rational spectra (whose elements admit approximations by exponential polynomials (1.1) with $\lambda_k \in \mathbb{Q}^n$) coincides with algebra $\mathcal{O}_{AP_{\mathbb{Q}}}(T)$ (see Subsection 3.1 (3)).

(2) Let X_0 be a non-compact Riemann surface, $p: X \rightarrow X_0$ be a regular covering with a maximally almost periodic deck transformation group G (for instance, X_0 is hyperbolic, $X = \mathbb{D}$ is its universal covering and $G = \pi_1(X_0)$ is a free (not necessarily finitely generated) group). Functions in $\mathcal{O}_{AP}(X)$ (see Subsection 3.2) arise, e.g., as linear combinations over \mathbb{C} of matrix entries of fundamental solutions of certain linear differential equations on X .

Indeed, let \mathcal{U}_G be the set of finite dimensional irreducible unitary representations $\sigma: G \rightarrow U_m$ ($m \geq 1$), I be the collection of finite subsets of \mathcal{U}_G directed by inclusion, and for each $\iota \in I$ let $AP_{\iota}(G)$ be the (finite-dimensional) subspace generated by matrix elements of the unitary representations $\sigma \in \iota$. Then by Theorem 2.29 the \mathbb{C} -linear hull $\mathcal{O}_0(X)$ of spaces $\mathcal{O}_{\iota}(X)$ is dense in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(X)$ (note that for each $\sigma \in \mathcal{U}_G$ the space $\mathcal{O}_{\{\sigma\}}(X)$ is the \mathbb{C} -linear hull of coordinates of vector-valued functions f in $\mathcal{O}(X, \mathbb{C}^m)$ having the property that $f(g \cdot x) = \sigma(g)f(x)$ for all $g \in G$, $x \in X$). Now, a unitary representation $\sigma: G \rightarrow U_m$, $m \geq 1$, can be obtained as the monodromy of the system $dF = \omega F$ on X_0 , where ω is a holomorphic 1-form on X_0 with values in the space of $m \times m$ complex matrices $M_m(\mathbb{C})$ (see, e.g., [24]). In particular, the system $dF = (p^*\omega)F$ on X admits a global solution $F \in \mathcal{O}(X, GL_m(\mathbb{C}))$ such that $F \circ g^{-1} = F\sigma(g)$ ($g \in G$). By definition, a linear combination of matrix entries of F is an element of $\mathcal{O}_{AP}(X)$.

4.5. Approximation property

Recall that a (complex) Banach space B is said to have the approximation property if for every compact set $K \subset B$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a bounded operator $T = T_{\varepsilon, K} \in \mathcal{L}(B, B)$ of finite rank so that

$$\|Tx - x\|_B < \varepsilon \quad \text{for every } x \in K.$$

For example, space $AP(G)$ of almost periodic functions on a group G (see Subsection 3.1 (2)) has the approximation property with (approximation) operators T in $\mathcal{L}(AP(G), AP_0(G))$ (see, e.g., an argument in [51]).

In Subsection 2.5 suppose additionally to conditions (1)–(3) that

- (4) the spaces \mathfrak{a}_ι , $\iota \in I$, are finite-dimensional, and
- (5) the space \mathfrak{a} has the approximation property with approximation operators $S \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}_0)$ equivariant with respect to the action of G on \mathfrak{a} by right translations, i.e., $S(R_g(f)) = R_g(S(f))$ for all $f \in \mathfrak{a}$, $g \in G$.

One can show that if X_0 is a Stein manifold and $D_0 \Subset X_0$ is a strictly pseudoconvex domain, then the Banach space $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{a}}(D) := \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(D) \cap C_{\mathfrak{a}}(\bar{D})$, $D := p^{-1}(D_0)$, has the approximation property with approximation operators in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{a}}(D), \mathcal{A}_0(D))$ (here $\mathcal{A}_0(D)$ is defined similarly to $\mathcal{O}_0(D)$ in Theorem 2.29).

5. Structure of fibrewise compactification $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$

In the present section we show that if algebra \mathfrak{a} is self-adjoint, then there is an isomorphism between Fréchet algebras $\mathcal{O}(c_{\mathfrak{a}}X)$ (cf. Section 2) and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(X)$; therefore, complex function theories within $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(X)$ and $\mathcal{O}(c_{\mathfrak{a}}X)$ are equivalent.

We refer to Sections 8 and 13 for the proofs of the results formulated in the present section.

5.1. Complex structure

A function $f \in C(U)$ on an open subset $U \subset c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ is called *holomorphic*, i.e., belongs to the space $\mathcal{O}(U)$, if ι^*f is holomorphic on $V := \iota^{-1}(U) \subset X$ in the usual sense (see Subsection 2.1 for notation).

Proposition 5.1. *If \mathfrak{a} is self-adjoint, then $C_{\mathfrak{a}}(V) \cong C(U)$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(V) \cong \mathcal{O}(U)$.*

Let $U_0 \subset X_0$ be open. A function $f \in C(U)$ on an open subset $U \subset U_0 \times \hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is called *holomorphic* if the function \tilde{j}^*f , where $\tilde{j} := \text{Id} \times j : U_0 \times G \rightarrow U_0 \times \hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}}$, is holomorphic on the open subset $\tilde{j}^{-1}(U)$ of the complex manifold $U_0 \times G$ (see Subsection 2.1 for the definition of the map j).

For sets U as above, by $\mathcal{O}(U)$ we denote the algebra of holomorphic functions on U endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets of U . Clearly, $f \in C(c_{\mathfrak{a}}X)$ belongs to $\mathcal{O}(c_{\mathfrak{a}}X)$ if and only if each point in $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ has an open neighbourhood U such that $f|_U \in \mathcal{O}(U)$.

By \mathcal{O}_U we denote the sheaf of germs of holomorphic functions on U .

The category \mathcal{M} of ringed spaces of the form (U, \mathcal{O}_U) , where U is either an open subset of $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ and X is a regular covering of a complex manifold X_0 or an open subset of $U_0 \times \hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ with $U_0 \subset X_0$ open, contains in particular complex manifolds.

Definition 5.2. A morphism of two objects in \mathcal{M} , that is, a map $F \in C(U_1, U_2)$, where $(U_i, \mathcal{O}_{U_i}) \in \mathcal{M}$, $i = 1, 2$, such that $F^*\mathcal{O}_{U_2} \subset \mathcal{O}_{U_1}$, is called a *holomorphic map*.

The collection of holomorphic maps $F : U_1 \rightarrow U_2$, $(U_i, \mathcal{O}_{U_i}) \in \mathcal{M}$, $i = 1, 2$, is denoted by $\mathcal{O}(U_1, U_2)$. If $F \in \mathcal{O}(U_1, U_2)$ has inverse $F^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}(U_2, U_1)$, then F is called a *biholomorphism*.

Further, over each simply connected open subset $U_0 \subset X_0$ there exists a biholomorphic trivialization $\psi = \psi_{U_0} : p^{-1}(U_0) \rightarrow U_0 \times G$ of covering $p : X \rightarrow X_0$ which is a morphism of fibre bundles with fibre G (see Subsection 2.4). Then there exists a biholomorphic trivialization $\bar{\psi} = \bar{\psi}_{U_0} : \bar{p}^{-1}(U_0) \rightarrow U_0 \times \hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ of bundle $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ over U_0 which is a morphism of fibre bundles with fibre $\hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ such that the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p^{-1}(U_0) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \bar{p}^{-1}(U_0) \\ \psi \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{\psi} \\ U_0 \times G & \xrightarrow{\text{Id} \times j} & U_0 \times \hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}} \end{array}$$

is commutative.

For a given subset $S \subset G$ we denote

$$(5.1) \quad \Pi(U_0, S) := \psi^{-1}(U_0 \times S)$$

and identify $\Pi(U_0, S)$ with $U_0 \times S$ where appropriate (here $\Pi(U_0, G) = p^{-1}(U_0)$).

For a subset $K \subset \hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ we denote

$$(5.2) \quad \hat{\Pi}(U_0, K) \ (\hat{\Pi}_{\mathfrak{a}}(U_0, K)) := \bar{\psi}^{-1}(U_0 \times K).$$

A pair of the form $(\hat{\Pi}(U_0, K), \bar{\psi})$ will be called a *coordinate chart* for $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$. Similarly, sometimes we identify $\hat{\Pi}(U_0, K)$ with $U_0 \times K$. If $K \subset \hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is open, then, by our definitions, $\bar{\psi}^* : \mathcal{O}(U_0 \times K) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\hat{\Pi}(U_0, K))$ is an isomorphism of (topological) algebras.

5.2. Basis of topology on $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$

By \mathfrak{Q} we denote the basis of topology of $\hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ consisting of sets of the form

$$(5.3) \quad \left\{ \eta \in \hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}} : \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} |h_i(\eta) - h_i(\eta_0)| < \varepsilon \right\}$$

for all $\eta_0 \in \hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}}$, $h_1, \dots, h_m \in C(\hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}})$, and $\varepsilon > 0$.

The fibrewise compactification $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ is a paracompact Hausdorff space (as a fibre bundle with a paracompact base and a compact fibre); thus, $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ is a normal space.

It is easy to see that the family

$$(5.4) \quad \mathfrak{B} := \left\{ \hat{\Pi}(V_0, L) \subset c_{\mathfrak{a}}X : V_0 \text{ is open simply connected in } X_0 \text{ and } L \in \mathfrak{Q} \right\}$$

forms a basis of topology of $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$.

5.3. Complex submanifolds

To formulate the required definition note that for every $f \in \mathcal{O}(U_0 \times K)$, where $U_0 \subset X_0$, $K \subset \hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ are open, functions $f(\cdot, \omega)$, $\omega \in K$, are in $\mathcal{O}(U_0)$. Indeed, since $f \in \mathcal{O}(U_0 \times K)$, functions $U_0 \ni z \mapsto f(z, j(g))$ ($g \in j^{-1}(K)$) are holomorphic. Then, since $j(j^{-1}(K))$ is dense in K (see Section 2) and f is bounded on each $S \Subset U_0 \times K$, by the Montel theorem $f(\cdot, \omega) \in \mathcal{O}(U_0)$ for all $\omega \in K$.

Definition 5.3. A closed subset $Y \subset c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ is called a *complex submanifold of codimension k* if for every $y \in Y$ there exist its neighbourhood of the form $U = \hat{\Pi}(U_0, K) \subset c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$, where $U_0 \subset X_0$ is open and simply connected, $K \subset \hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is open, and functions $h_1, \dots, h_k \in \mathcal{O}(U)$ such that

- (1) $Y \cap U = \{x \in U : h_1(x) = \dots = h_k(x) = 0\}$;
- (2) the rank of the map $z \mapsto (h_1(z, \omega), \dots, h_k(z, \omega))$ is k at each point $x = (z, \omega) \in Y \cap U$.

The next result describes the local structure of complex submanifolds of $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$.

Proposition 5.4. *Let $Y \subset c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ be a complex submanifold. For every $y \in Y$ there exist an open neighbourhood $V \subset c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ of y , open subsets $V_0 \subset X_0$ and $K \subset \hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}}$, a (closed) complex submanifold Z_0 of V_0 (of the same codimension as Y), and a biholomorphic map $\Phi \in \mathcal{O}(V_0 \times K, V)$ such that $\Phi(V_0 \times (K \cap j(G))) = V \cap \iota(X)$ and $\Phi^{-1}(V \cap Y) = Z_0 \times K$.*

The proof of Proposition 5.4, given in Subsection 6.3, is based on the inverse function theorem with continuous dependence on parameter (Theorem 6.2).

We use Proposition 5.4 to establish the following important fact (see Subsection 2.1 for the definition of a coherent sheaf on $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$).

Proposition 5.5. *The ideal sheaf I_Y of germs of holomorphic functions vanishing on a complex submanifold $Y \subset c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ is coherent.*

Now, we list other properties of complex submanifolds of $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$.

Proposition 5.6. *Any complex submanifold Y of $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ has the following properties:*

- (i) $\iota^{-1}(Y) \subset X$ is a complex submanifold of X of codimension k .
- (ii) $Y \cap \iota(X)$ is dense in Y .

Assertion (i) is immediate from the definition, while assertion (ii) follows from the fact that $\iota(X)$ is dense in X combined with Proposition 5.4.

Proposition 5.7. *If $Z \subset X$ is a complex \mathfrak{a} -submanifold (see Definition 2.6), then the closure of $\iota(Z)$ in $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ is a complex submanifold of $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$.*

Suppose that \mathfrak{a} is self-adjoint. If Y is a complex submanifold of $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$, then $\iota^{-1}(Y) \subset X$ is a complex \mathfrak{a} -submanifold.

Definition 5.8. A function $f \in C(Y)$ is called holomorphic if $\iota^*f \in \mathcal{O}(\iota^{-1}(Y))$. The algebra of holomorphic functions on Y is denoted by $\mathcal{O}(Y)$.

Similarly, we define holomorphic functions $\mathcal{O}(U)$ on an open subset $U \subset Y$ as those continuous functions whose pullbacks by ι are holomorphic in the usual sense.

Proposition 5.9. *Suppose that \mathfrak{a} is self-adjoint, Y is a complex submanifold of $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$. We set $Z := \iota^{-1}(Y)$. Then $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(Z) \cong \iota^*\mathcal{O}(Y)$ (so every function in $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(Z)$, see Definition 2.8, admits a unique extension to a function $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{O}(Y)$ such that $f = \iota^*\hat{f}$).*

5.4. Cartan theorems A and B on complex submanifolds

The notion of a coherent sheaf on $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ (see Subsection 2.1) extends to analytic sheaves on a complex submanifold of $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$. It turns out that if X_0 is a Stein manifold, then for such coherent sheaves we have analogues of Cartan theorems A and B (Theorems 5.11 and 5.12 below).

More precisely, we have the structure sheaf \mathcal{O}_Y of germs of holomorphic functions on a complex submanifold $Y \subset c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ (see Definition 5.8). A *coherent sheaf* \mathcal{A} on Y is a sheaf of modules over \mathcal{O}_Y such that every point in Y has a neighbourhood $V \subset Y$ over which, for every $N \geq 1$, there is a free resolution of \mathcal{A} of length N , i.e., an exact sequence of sheaves of modules of the form

$$\mathcal{O}_Y^{m_N}|_V \xrightarrow{\varphi_{N-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} \mathcal{O}_Y^{m_2}|_V \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} \mathcal{O}_Y^{m_1}|_V \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} \mathcal{A}|_V \longrightarrow 0$$

(here φ_i , $0 \leq i \leq N-1$, are homomorphisms of sheaves of modules).

Given a sheaf of modules \mathcal{A} over \mathcal{O}_Y , we define a sheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ on $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ (called the *trivial extension* of \mathcal{A}) by the formulas

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}|_{c_{\mathfrak{a}}X \setminus Y} := 0, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{A}}|_Y := \mathcal{A}.$$

Using the results in [15], we establish the following.

Theorem 5.10. *If \mathcal{A} is a coherent sheaf on a complex submanifold $Y \subset c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$, then $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is a coherent sheaf on $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$.*

It is immediate that $H^k(Y, \mathcal{A}) \cong H^k(c_{\mathfrak{a}}X, \tilde{\mathcal{A}})$. Therefore, Theorems 2.3, 2.4 and Theorem 5.10 imply the following analogues of Cartan theorems A and B:

Let \mathcal{A} be a coherent sheaf on a complex submanifold $Y \subset c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ with X_0 Stein.

Theorem 5.11. *Each stalk ${}_x\mathcal{A}$ ($x \in Y$) is generated by global sections of \mathcal{A} over Y as an ${}_x\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module (“Cartan-type theorem A”).*

Theorem 5.12. *Čech cohomology groups $H^i(Y, \mathcal{A}) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$ (“Cartan-type theorem B”).*

Let Y be either $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ or a complex submanifold of $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$. The definition of coherence on Y extends directly to open subsets of Y . It is natural to call such subset $W \subset Y$ a *Stein manifold* if all higher cohomology groups of coherent sheaves on W vanish (i.e., Cartan-type theorem B holds on W). One can ask about characterization of Stein open submanifolds $W \subset Y$ (e.g., in terms of appropriately defined plurisubharmonic exhaustion \mathfrak{a} -functions on W).

5.5. Dolbeault-type complex

In this part we describe a Dolbeault-type complex and analogues of Dolbeault isomorphisms used in the proof of Proposition 2.19.

Let $Y \subset c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ be a complex submanifold. We define the *holomorphic tangent bundle* TY of Y as a holomorphic bundle on Y whose pullback by ι to $\iota^{-1}(Y)$ coincides with the holomorphic tangent bundle of the complex submanifold $\iota^{-1}(Y) \subset X$ (see the proof of Theorem 2.9 in Section 9 for existence and uniqueness of TY).

The definition of the antiholomorphic tangent bundle \overline{TY} of Y is analogous.

We define the complexified tangent bundle of Y as the Whitney sum

$$(5.5) \quad T^{\mathbb{C}}Y := TY \oplus \overline{TY}.$$

By $\Lambda_c^m(Y) := \Gamma(Y, \wedge^m(T^{\mathbb{C}}Y)^*)$ we denote the space of continuous sections of the vector bundle $\wedge^m(T^{\mathbb{C}}Y)^*$ ($0 \leq m \leq n := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} X_0$), where $(T^{\mathbb{C}}Y)^*$ is the dual bundle of $T^{\mathbb{C}}Y$. Elements of $\Lambda_c^m(Y)$ will be called continuous m -forms.

By Proposition 5.4, for every point $x \in Y$ there exist a neighbourhood $U \subset c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$, a biholomorphism $\varphi : U \rightarrow U_0 \times K$, where $U_0 \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, $K \subset G_{\mathfrak{a}}$, $K \in \mathfrak{Q}$ (see (5.3)) are open, and a complex submanifold $Y_0 \subset U_0$ such that $\varphi(Y \cap U) = Y_0 \times K$ and $\varphi(Y \cap U \cap \iota(X)) = Y_0 \times (K \cap j(G))$. By $\wedge^m T^{\mathbb{C}}(Y_0 \times K)^*$ we denote the pullback to $Y_0 \times K$ of the bundle $\wedge^m(T^{\mathbb{C}}Y_0)^*$ under the natural projection $Y_0 \times K \rightarrow Y_0$. Since $\varphi^{-1}|_{Y_0 \times (K \cap j(G))} : Y_0 \times (K \cap j(G)) \rightarrow Y \cap U \cap \iota(X)$ is a biholomorphism of usual complex manifolds,

$$(\varphi^{-1}|_{Y_0 \times (K \cap j(G))})^*(\wedge^m(T^{\mathbb{C}}Y)^*) = \wedge^m T^{\mathbb{C}}(Y_0 \times K)^*|_{Y_0 \times (K \cap j(G))}.$$

Since $Y_0 \times (K \cap j(G))$ is dense in $Y_0 \times K$, the latter bundle is dense in the bundle $\wedge^m T^{\mathbb{C}}(Y_0 \times K)^*$. Thus the above identity and the continuity of φ^{-1} imply that $(\varphi^{-1})^*(\wedge^m(T^{\mathbb{C}}Y)^*) = \wedge^m T^{\mathbb{C}}(Y_0 \times K)^*$. In particular, $(\varphi^{-1})^*$ maps $\Lambda_c^m(Y \cap U)$ to $\Lambda_c^m(Y_0 \times K)$, the space of continuous sections of $\wedge^m T^{\mathbb{C}}(Y_0 \times K)^*$. Clearly,

$$(5.6) \quad \Lambda_c^m(Y_0 \times K) = C(Y_0 \times K) \otimes \Lambda_c^m(Y_0),$$

where $\Lambda_c^m(Y_0)$ is the space of continuous m -forms on Y_0 and $C(Y_0 \times K)$ is the space of continuous functions on $Y_0 \times K$ endowed with the Fréchet topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets of $Y_0 \times K$.

By $\Lambda^m(Y) \subset \Lambda_c^m(Y)$ we denote the subspace of C^∞ m -forms, that is, forms ω such that for each “coordinate map” $\varphi : U \rightarrow U_0 \times K$,

$$(5.7) \quad (\varphi^{-1})^*\omega|_{Y \cap U} \in \Lambda^m(Y_0 \times K) := C^\infty(Y_0 \times K) \otimes \Lambda_c^m(Y_0),$$

where $\Lambda^m(Y_0)$ is the space of C^∞ m -forms on Y_0 and $C^\infty(Y_0 \times K) \subset C(Y_0 \times K)$ is the subspace of continuous functions that are C^∞ when viewed as functions on Y_0 taking values in the Fréchet space $C(K)$.

We denote $C^\infty(Y) := \Lambda^0(Y)$.

Lemma 5.13. *$\Lambda^m(Y)$ is correctly defined by (local) conditions (5.7).*

Let $a \in C^\infty(Y_0 \times K)$. We define the differential $da \in \Lambda^1(Y_0 \times K)$ as follows. (To simplify notation, we may assume without loss of generality that Y_0 is an open subset of \mathbb{C}^{n-k} .)

Define $da := \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} \frac{\partial a}{\partial z_i} dz_i$, where $\partial a / \partial z_i \in C^\infty(Y_0 \times K)$ is the derivative of the Fréchet-valued map $z \mapsto a(z, \cdot) \in C(K)$, $z = (z_1, \dots, z_{n-k}) \in Y_0$, with respect to z_i .

We have the operator of differentiation $d : \Lambda^m(Y_0 \times K) \rightarrow \Lambda^{m+1}(Y_0 \times K)$ defined by the formula

$$(5.8) \quad d\left(\sum_{i=1}^l a_i \omega_i\right) := \sum_{i=1}^l a_i d\omega_i + \sum_{i=1}^l da_i \wedge \omega_i, \quad a_i \in C^\infty(Y_0 \times K), \quad \omega_i \in \Lambda^m(Y_0).$$

Now, we define the operator of differentiation $d : \Lambda^m(Y) \rightarrow \Lambda^{m+1}(Y)$:

For each coordinate map $\varphi : U \rightarrow U_0 \times K$ and $\omega \in \Lambda^m(Y)$ the form $d\omega \in \Lambda^{m+1}(Y)$ satisfies

$$(5.9) \quad (\varphi^{-1})^* d\omega = d((\varphi^{-1})^* \omega),$$

where the right-hand side is defined by (5.8).

Existence of $d\omega$ satisfying local conditions (5.9) follows from the facts that due to these conditions $\iota^* \circ d|_{\Lambda^m(Y)|_U} = d \circ \iota^*|_{\Lambda^m(Y)|_U}$, where the d on the right denotes the standard differentiation on the space of differential forms defined on the complex submanifold $\iota^{-1}(Y) \subset X$, and that $\iota(\iota^{-1}(Y))$ is dense in Y (see Proposition 5.4). By the same reason we have $d \circ d = 0$.

Further, (5.5) induces decomposition $(T^{\mathbb{C}}Y)^* = TY^* \oplus \overline{TY}^*$ and, hence,

$$(5.10) \quad \Lambda^m(Y) = \bigoplus_{p+k=m} \Lambda^{p,k}(Y),$$

where

$$\Lambda^{p,k}(Y) := \Gamma(Y, \wedge^p TY^* \otimes \wedge^q \overline{TY}^*) \cap \Lambda^m(Y).$$

Since the pullback by ι of TY^* coincides with the holomorphic cotangent bundle of complex submanifold $\iota^{-1}(Y) \subset X$, the pullback by ι of decomposition (5.10) agrees with the usual type decomposition of differential forms on $\iota^{-1}(Y)$.

Using the natural projections $\pi^{p,k} : \Lambda^m(Y) \rightarrow \Lambda^{p,k}(Y)$ ($m = p + k$), we define

$$\partial := \pi^{p+1,k} \circ d, \quad \bar{\partial} := \pi^{p,k+1} \circ d.$$

Since pullbacks by ι of these operators coincide with their usual counterparts on the complex submanifold $\iota^{-1}(Y) \subset X$ and the image by ι of the latter is dense in Y , we have $\partial \circ \partial = 0$, $\bar{\partial} \circ \bar{\partial} = 0$ and $d = \partial + \bar{\partial}$.

The above definitions and notation transfer naturally to open subsets of Y . In particular, we can define the sheaf $\Lambda^{p,k}$ of germs of C^∞ (p, q) -forms on Y .

Lemma 5.14. *For any open cover \mathcal{U} of Y there is a subordinate C^∞ partition of unity.*

This lemma implies that $\Lambda^{p,k}$ is a fine sheaf, and therefore cohomology groups $H^r(Y, \Lambda^{p,k}) = 0$ for all $r \geq 1$ (see, e.g., [34]).

Let $Z^{p,k} \subset \Lambda^{p,k}$ denote the subsheaf of germs of $\bar{\partial}$ -closed forms. We have the following analogue of $\bar{\partial}$ -Poincaré lemma for sections of $Z^{p,k}$.

Proposition 5.15. *Let $Y \subset c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ be a complex submanifold. For every point $x \in Y$ there are neighbourhoods $W, V \subset Y$, $W \Subset V$, of x such that restriction to W of any $\bar{\partial}$ -closed form in $\Lambda^{p,k+1}(V)$ is $\bar{\partial}$ -exact.*

Let $Z^{p,k}(Y) \subset \Lambda^{p,k}(Y)$ denote the subspace of $\bar{\partial}$ -closed forms. We define the Dolbeault cohomology groups of Y as

$$\begin{aligned} H^{p,k}(Y) &:= Z^{p,k}(Y)/\bar{\partial}\Lambda^{p,k-1}(Y), \quad p \geq 0, \quad k \geq 1, \\ H^{p,0}(Y) &:= Z^{p,0}(Y). \end{aligned}$$

We set $\Omega^p := Z^{p,0}$. Then Ω^p is the sheaf of germs of holomorphic p -forms on Y , i.e., holomorphic sections of the bundle $\wedge^p TY^*$. (Note that $\iota^*\Omega^p$ is the sheaf of germs of usual holomorphic p -forms on the complex submanifold $\iota^{-1}(Y) \subset X$.)

Since $\Lambda^{p,k}$ is a fine sheaf, from Proposition 5.15 and a standard result in Chapter B, §1.3 of [29], we obtain:

Corollary 5.16 (Dolbeault-type isomorphism). $\forall p, k \geq 0$, $H^{p,k}(Y) \cong H^k(Y, \Omega^p)$.

Since Ω^p is the sheaf of germs of sections of a holomorphic vector bundle on Y , it is coherent (see Subsection 5.4). Then the previous corollary and Theorem 5.12 imply

Corollary 5.17. *Suppose that X_0 is a Stein manifold, $Y \subset c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ is a complex submanifold. Then*

$$H^{p,k}(Y) = 0 \quad \text{for all } p \geq 0, \quad k \geq 1$$

(i.e., any $\bar{\partial}$ -closed form in $\Lambda^{p,k}(Y)$ is $\bar{\partial}$ -exact).

Similarly one can define the de Rham cohomology groups of Y and obtain an analogue of the classical de Rham isomorphism (see the proof of Proposition 2.19).

5.6. Characterization of $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ as the maximal ideal space of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(X)$

Now we relate the fibrewise compactification $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ of covering $p: X \rightarrow X_0$ to the maximal ideal space M_X of algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(X)$, i.e., the space of non-zero characters $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ endowed with weak* topology (of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(X)^*$).

Theorem 5.18. *Suppose that algebra \mathfrak{a} is self-adjoint, and X_0 is a Stein manifold. Then M_X is homeomorphic to $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$.*

Since $\iota(X)$ is dense in $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$, and the natural mapping of X into M_X , sending each point of X to its point evaluation homomorphism, coincides with ι under the homeomorphism of Theorem 5.18, we obtain the following corona-type theorem.

Corollary 5.19. *Let \mathfrak{a} be self-adjoint, X_0 be a Stein manifold. Then $\iota(X)$ is dense in M_X .*

6. Proofs: Preliminaries

6.1. Čech cohomology

For a topological space X and a sheaf of abelian groups \mathcal{S} on X by $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{S})$ we denote the abelian group of continuous sections of \mathcal{S} over X .

Let \mathcal{U} be an open cover of X . By $C^i(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{S})$ we denote the space of Čech i -cochains with values in \mathcal{S} , by $\delta: C^i(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow C^{i+1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{R})$ the Čech coboundary operator, by $Z^i(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{S}) := \{\sigma \in C^i(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{S}) : \delta\sigma = 0\}$ the space of i -cocycles, and by $B^i(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{S}) := \{\sigma \in Z^i(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{S}) : \sigma = \delta(\eta), \eta \in C^{i-1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{S})\}$ the space of i -coboundaries (see, e.g., [34] for details). The Čech cohomology groups $H^i(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{S})$, $i \geq 0$, are defined by

$$H^i(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{S}) := Z^i(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{S}) / B^i(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{S}), \quad i \geq 1,$$

and $H^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{S}) := \Gamma(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{S})$.

6.2. $\bar{\partial}$ -equation

Let B be a complex Banach space, $D_0 \subset X_0$ be a strictly pseudoconvex domain. We fix a system of local coordinates on D_0 . Let $\{W_{0,i}\}_{i \geq 1}$ be the cover of D_0 by the coordinate patches. By $\Lambda_b^{(0,q)}(D_0, B)$, $q \geq 0$, we denote the space of bounded continuous B -valued $(0, q)$ -forms on D_0 endowed with norm

$$(6.1) \quad \|\omega\|_{D_0} = \|\omega\|_{D_0, B}^{(0,q)} := \sup_{x \in W_{0,i}, i \geq 1, \alpha} \|\omega_{\alpha,i}(x)\|_B,$$

where $\omega_{\alpha,i}$ (α is a multiindex) are coefficients of forms $\omega|_{W_{0,i}} \in \Lambda_b^{(0,q)}(W_{0,i}, B)$ in local coordinates on $W_{0,i}$.

The next lemma follows easily from results in [35] (proved for $B = \mathbb{C}$) because all integral representations and estimates are preserved when passing to the case of Banach-valued forms.

Lemma 6.1. *There exists a bounded linear operator*

$$R_{D_0, B} \in \mathcal{L}(\Lambda_b^{(0,q)}(D_0, B), \Lambda_b^{(0,q-1)}(D_0, B)), \quad q \geq 1,$$

such that if $\omega \in \Lambda_b^{(0,q)}(D_0, B)$ is C^∞ and $\bar{\partial}$ -closed on D_0 , then $\bar{\partial}R_{D_0, B}(\omega) = \omega$.

6.3. Inverse function theorem with continuous dependence on the parameter

Theorem 6.2. Let K be a topological space, $B_1, B_2 \Subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be open balls centered at the origin. Fix a point $(x_0, \eta_0) \in B_1 \times K$. Suppose that a continuous map $G: B_1 \times K \rightarrow B_2$ satisfies

- (1) $G(\cdot, \eta): B_1 \rightarrow B_2$ is holomorphic for every $\eta \in K$,
- (2) the Jacobian matrix $D_x G(x_0, \eta_0)$ is non-degenerate.

Then there exist an open subset $W \subset B_2 \times K$ and a continuous map $H: W \rightarrow B_1$ such that

- (a) $(G(x_0, \eta_0), \eta_0) \in W$,
- (b) $H(\cdot, \eta)$ is holomorphic on $W \cap (B_2 \times \{\eta\})$ for all η for which this set is non-empty,
- (c) $G(H(z, \eta), \eta) = z$ for all $(z, \eta) \in W$.

Theorem 6.2 follows easily from the contraction principle with continuous dependence on parameter, see e.g., Chapter XVI of [39].

As an application of Theorem 6.2 we prove Proposition 5.4 on the local structure of complex submanifolds of $c_{\mathfrak{a}} X$.

Proof of Proposition 5.4. Let $Y \subset c_{\mathfrak{a}} X$ be a complex submanifold and $y_0 \in Y$. In notation of Definition 5.3, there exists a neighbourhood $U := \hat{\Pi}(U_0, L) \subset c_{\mathfrak{a}} X$ of y_0 , where $U_0 \subset X_0$ is a simply connected coordinate chart and $L \subset \hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is open, such that $Y \cap U = \{y \in U : h_1(y) = \dots = h_k(y) = 0\}$ with $h_i \in \mathcal{O}(U)$ ($1 \leq i \leq k$) satisfying non-degeneracy condition (2) of the definition.

Since sets U and $U_0 \times L$ are biholomorphic (see Subsection 5.1), in what follows we identify them. Next, since functions h_i satisfy the non-degeneracy condition of Definition 5.3, we may choose coordinates x_1, \dots, x_n on U_0 so that the Jacobian matrix $D_x G(x_0, \eta_0)$, $y := (x_0, \eta_0)$, of the map

$G(x, \eta) := (h_1(x, \eta), \dots, h_k(x, \eta), x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n)$, $x := (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $(x, \eta) \in U_0 \times L$, is non-degenerate. Also, we may assume without loss of generality that $U_0 = B_1$ and $G(B_1, \eta) \subset B_2$ for all $\eta \in L$, where $B_i \Subset \mathbb{C}^n$, $i = 1, 2$, are open balls centered at the origin. Hence, we can apply Theorem 6.2. In its notation, shrinking W , if necessary, we may assume that $W = V_0 \times K$ for some open $V_0 \subset B_2$, $K \subset L$ which we take as the required sets in the formulation of Proposition 5.4. We also take $\Phi(z, \eta) := (H(z, \eta), \eta)$, $(z, \eta) \in V_0 \times K$, and $V := \Phi(V_0 \times K) \subset U$. By definition, $\Phi \in \mathcal{O}(V_0 \times K, V)$ (see Subsection 5.1). Further, since $(G \circ \Phi(z), \eta) = (z, \eta)$ for all $(z, \eta) \in V_0 \times K$,

$$(h_i \circ \Phi)(z_1, \dots, z_n, \eta) = z_i, \quad (z, \eta) \in V_0 \times K, \quad z = (z_1, \dots, z_n), \quad 1 \leq i \leq k.$$

Therefore, $\Phi^{-1}(V \cap Y) = Z_0 \times K$, where $Z_0 := \{(0, \dots, 0, z_{k+1}, \dots, z_n) \in V_0 : (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in V_0\}$ is a complex submanifold of codimension k .

By our construction we also have $\Phi(V_0 \times (K \cap j(G))) = V \cap \iota(X)$.

The proof of the proposition is complete. \square

7. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Fix some $\Omega' \Subset \Omega$ and denote $T' := \mathbb{R}^n + i\bar{\Omega}' \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. We endow T' with the Euclidean metric induced from \mathbb{C}^n .

We will need the following definition.

Definition 7.1. A function $f \in C(T')$ is called *continuous almost periodic* if the family of its translates $\{T' \ni z \mapsto f(z + t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^n}$ is relatively compact in $C_b(T')$ (the space of bounded continuous functions on T' endowed with sup-norm).

Proposition 7.2 (see, e.g., [1]). *Any continuous almost periodic function on T' is bounded and uniformly continuous.*

By $APC(T')$ we denote the Banach algebra of continuous almost periodic functions on T' endowed with sup-norm.

We set

$$p(z) := (e^{iz_1}, \dots, e^{iz_n}), \quad z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in T', \quad \text{and} \quad T'_0 := p(T').$$

Then Banach algebra $C_{AP}(T')$, $AP := AP(\mathbb{Z}^n)$, $\mathbb{Z}^n \cong p^{-1}(x_0)$ ($x_0 \in X_0$), associated to covering $p: T' \rightarrow T'_0$ and endowed with sup-norm, is well defined (see Section 1).

To prove the theorem it suffices to show that $APC(T') = C_{AP}(T')$. (Because the space of *holomorphic almost periodic functions* on T consists of all functions in $\mathcal{O}(T)$ whose restrictions to each tube subdomain $T' \subset T$ are in $APC(T')$, and $\mathcal{O}_{AP}(T) := \mathcal{O}(T) \cap \{f \in C(T) : f|_{T'} \in C_{AP}(T') \text{ for each } T' \subset T\}$.)

First, let $f \in APC(T')$, i.e., for any sequence $\{t_k\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ there exists a subsequence of $\{T' \ni z \mapsto f(z + t_{k_l})\}$ that converges uniformly on T' . In particular, it follows that for each fixed $z_0 \in T'$ and a sequence $\{d_k\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ the family of translates $\{\mathbb{Z}^n \ni g \mapsto f(z_0 + g + d_k)\}$ has a convergent subsequence which implies (since $C_{AP}(T')$ is a metric space) that it is relatively compact in the topology of uniform convergence on \mathbb{Z}^n . This means that the function $\mathbb{Z}^n \ni g \mapsto f(z_0 + g)$ belongs to $AP(\mathbb{Z}^n)$. Also, by Proposition 7.2 function f is bounded and uniformly continuous on T' . Hence, by definition, $f \in C_{AP}(T')$.

Now, let $f \in C_{AP}(T')$. We must show that $f \in APC(T')$. To this end we fix some sequence $\{t_k\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $\mu: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$ be the natural projection. Since $\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$ is compact, $\{\mu(t_k)\}$ has a convergent subsequence. We may assume without loss of generality that $\{\mu(t_k)\}$ itself converges and has limit 0. Hence, there exists a sequence $\{d_k\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $|t_k - d_k| \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Since f is uniformly continuous on T' , functions

$$h_k(z) := |f(z + t_k) - f(z + d_k)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{uniformly on } T' \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence, it suffices to show that sequence $\{T' \ni z \mapsto f(z + d_k)\}$ has a convergent subsequence.

Let $C := \{z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in T' : 0 \leq \operatorname{Re}(z_i) \leq 1, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. Since $f \in C_{AP}(T')$, for each fixed $w \in C$ the family of translates $\{\mathbb{Z}^n \ni g \mapsto f(w + g + d_k)\}$

is relatively compact in the topology of uniform convergence on \mathbb{Z}^n . Let $S \subset C$ be a countable dense subset. Using Cantor's diagonal argument we find a subsequence $\{d_{k_l}\}$ of $\{d_k\}$ such that for each $w \in S$ the family of translates $\{\mathbb{Z}^n \ni g \mapsto f(w + g + d_{k_l})\}$ converges in the topology of uniform convergence on \mathbb{Z}^n .

Now, since f is uniformly continuous on T' , for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $w_1, w_2 \in C$ satisfying $|w_1 - w_2| < \delta$ and all $h \in \mathbb{Z}^n$,

$$|f(w_1 + h) - f(w_2 + h)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

Since C is compact, it can be covered by finitely many δ -neighbourhoods of points, say, w_1, \dots, w_p , in S . Then we can find $N \in \mathbb{N}$ so that for all $l, m > N$, w_j , $1 \leq j \leq p$, and $g \in \mathbb{Z}^n$,

$$|f(w_j + g + d_{k_l}) - f(w_j + g + d_{k_m})| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

The last two inequalities together with the triangle inequality imply that for all $l, m > N$, $z \in C$ and $g \in \mathbb{Z}^n$,

$$|f(z + g + d_{k_l}) - f(z + g + d_{k_m})| < \varepsilon.$$

Since $\{z + g : z \in C, g \in \mathbb{Z}^n\} = T'$, the latter implies that for all $l, m > N$ and $z \in T'$,

$$|f(z + d_{k_l}) - f(z + d_{k_m})| < \varepsilon.$$

Thus $\{T' \ni z \mapsto f(z + d_{k_l})\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the topology of uniform convergence on T' , i.e., it converges uniformly on T' .

The proof is complete. \square

8. Proofs of Propositions 5.1, 5.5, 5.7, 5.9 and 5.15

8.1. Proof of Proposition 5.1

The proof follows straightforwardly from (2.3) and the fact that V is dense in U (because $\iota(X)$ is dense in $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$, cf. Section 2).

8.2. Proof of Proposition 5.5

According to Proposition 5.4, it suffices to prove coherence of the ideal sheaf I_Z ($\subset \mathcal{O}_{V_0 \times K}$) of the complex submanifold $Z := Z_0 \times K$ of $V_0 \times K$, where $V_0 \subset X_0$ and $K \subset \hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ are open, $K \in \mathfrak{Q}$ is an element of the basis of topology \mathfrak{Q} of $\hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ (see Subsection 5.2) and $Z_0 \subset V_0$ is a complex submanifold. Here $\mathcal{O}_{V_0 \times K}$ denotes the structure sheaf of $V_0 \times K$ (see Subsection 5.1); also, by \mathcal{O}_{V_0} we denote the structure sheaf of V_0 and by $I_{Z_0} \subset \mathcal{O}_{V_0}$ the ideal sheaf of $Z_0 \subset V_0$.

By Cartan's theorem (see, e.g., [33]) every point in V_0 has a neighbourhood over which I_{Z_0} has a free resolution. Replacing V_0 by a smaller subset, if necessary, we may assume without loss of generality that such resolution is defined over V_0 :

$$(8.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{V_0}^{m_N} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{N-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} \mathcal{O}_{V_0}^{m_1} \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} I_{Z_0} \rightarrow 0.$$

Further, by the classical Cartan theorem B (see, e.g., [33]), every point in V_0 has a neighbourhood $U_0 \subset V_0$ biholomorphic to an open polydisk in \mathbb{C}^n such that the sequence of sections induced by (8.1)

$$(8.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \Gamma(U_0, \mathcal{O}_{V_0}^{m_N}) \xrightarrow{\bar{\varphi}_{N-1}} \cdots \rightarrow \Gamma(U_0, \mathcal{O}_{V_0}^{m_1}) \xrightarrow{\bar{\varphi}_0} \Gamma(U_0, I_{Z_0}) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

For an open subset $L \subset K$, $L \in \mathfrak{Q}$, by $C(L)$ we denote the Fréchet space of complex continuous functions on L endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets $N_k \subset L$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, that form an exhaustion of L , i.e., $N_k \subset N_{k+1}$ for all k and $\cup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} N_k = L$ (such sets exist by Lemma 7.4(1) in [15]). We endow the space $\mathcal{O}(U_0 \times L)$ defined in Subsection 5.1 with the topology of uniform convergence on subsets $W_{0,k} \times N_k$, where $\{W_{0,k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an exhaustion of U_0 by compact subsets, which makes it a Fréchet space. Then we have

$$(8.3) \quad \Gamma(U_0 \times L, \mathcal{O}_{V_0 \times K}) =: \mathcal{O}(U_0 \times L) \cong C(L) \otimes \mathcal{O}(U_0) := C(L) \otimes \Gamma(U_0, \mathcal{O}_{V_0}),$$

where \otimes stands for the completion of the symmetric tensor product in the corresponding Fréchet space.

Next, we may assume without loss of generality that V_0 is an open polydisk in \mathbb{C}^n and Z_0 is the intersection of a complex subspace of \mathbb{C}^n with V_0 . Then using the Taylor series expansion of a holomorphic function on $U_0 \times L$ vanishing on $Z_0 \times K$ (i.e., an element of $\Gamma(U_0 \times L, I_{Z_0 \times K})$), we easily obtain that

$$(8.4) \quad \Gamma(U_0 \times L, I_{Z_0 \times K}) \cong C(L) \otimes \Gamma(U_0, I_{V_0}).$$

By Theorem B in [16] the operation \otimes is an exact functor. Thus, from (8.2), (8.3) and (8.4) we obtain that every point in $V_0 \times K$ has a neighbourhood of the form $U_0 \times L$ over which the sequence of sections

$$(8.5) \quad 0 \rightarrow \Gamma(U_0 \times L, \mathcal{O}_{V_0 \times K}^{m_N}) \xrightarrow{\hat{\varphi}_{N-1}} \cdots \rightarrow \Gamma(U_0 \times L, \mathcal{O}_{V_0 \times K}^{m_1}) \xrightarrow{\hat{\varphi}_0} \Gamma(U_0 \times L, I_{Z_0 \times K}) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, where morphisms $\hat{\varphi}_i$ are defined on the corresponding symmetric tensor products by the formula

$$\hat{\varphi}_i \left(\sum_{i=1}^l f_i \otimes g_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^l f_i \otimes \bar{\varphi}_i(g_i), \quad f_i \in C(L), \quad g_i \in \Gamma(U_0, \mathcal{O}_{V_0}^{m_{i+1}}),$$

and then extended to $C(L) \otimes \Gamma(U_0, \mathcal{O}_{V_0}^{m_{i+1}})$ by continuity. Hence, the sequence of sheaves generated by (8.4)

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{V_0 \times K}^{m_N} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{V_0 \times K}^{m_1} \rightarrow I_{Z_0 \times K} \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. This shows that the sheaf I_Z is coherent. \square

8.3. Proof of Proposition 5.7

Let us prove the first assertion.

Let $Y \subset c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ be the closure of $\iota(Z)$, where $Z \subset X$ is a complex \mathfrak{a} -submanifold, in $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$. We fix a point $y \in Y$ and use notation of Definition 2.6. Since the open cover \mathcal{V} in the definition of Z is of class $(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{a}})$ (and, hence, is the pullback by ι of an open cover of $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$, see Definition 2.5), there exist an open subset $V \in \mathcal{V}$, $V = \iota^{-1}(U)$ for an open neighbourhood $U \subset c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ of y , and functions $h_i \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(V)$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, determining $Z \cap V$, i.e., satisfying conditions (1), (2) of Definition 2.6. By Proposition 5.1 there exist (uniquely determined) functions $\hat{h}_i \in \mathcal{O}(U)$ such that $h_i = \iota^*\hat{h}_i$ for all i . It follows from condition (2) of Definition 2.6 and the fact that $\iota(V)$ is dense in U that functions \hat{h}_i satisfy condition (2) of Definition 5.3 at points of $U \cap Y$. Therefore, since $y \in Y$ is arbitrary, to complete the proof it suffices to show that $U \cap Y = \hat{Y}_U$, where $\hat{Y}_U \subset U$ denotes the common zero locus of functions $\hat{h}_i|_U$, $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Indeed, using the argument of the proof of Proposition 5.4 and shrinking U , if necessary, we obtain that there exists a biholomorphism $\Phi \in \mathcal{O}(U_0 \times K, U)$, where $U_0 \subset X_0$, $K \subset \hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ are open, and a closed submanifold $Z_0 \subset U_0$ such that $\Phi^{-1}(\hat{Y}_U) = Z_0 \times K$ and $\Phi(U_0 \times (K \cap j(G))) = U \cap \iota(Z)$. In particular, since $h_i = \iota^*\hat{h}_i$ for all i , we have $\Phi(Z_0 \times (K \cap j(G))) = U \cap \iota(Z)$. Hence, since $Z_0 \times (K \cap j(G))$ is dense in $Z_0 \times K$ (see subsection 2.1), $U \cap \iota(Z)$ is dense in \hat{Y}_U , i.e., $U \cap Y = \hat{Y}_U$, as required. The proof of the first assertion is complete.

The second assertion follows easily from Definitions 2.6, 5.3, and (2.3). \square

8.4. Proof of Proposition 5.9

First, let f be a holomorphic \mathfrak{a} -function on $Z := \iota^{-1}(Y)$ in the sense of Definition 2.8, i.e., there is a function $F \in C_{\mathfrak{a}}(X)$ such that $F|_Z = f$. By Proposition 5.1 there exists a function $\hat{F} \in C(c_{\mathfrak{a}}X)$ such that $\iota^*\hat{F} = F$. We set $\hat{f} := \hat{F}|_Y$. Since $\iota^*\hat{f} = f$, we obtain $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{O}(Y)$ (see Definition 5.8), as required.

Now, let $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{O}(Y)$. Since $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ is a normal space, by the Tietze–Urysohn extension theorem there exists a function $\hat{F} \in C(c_{\mathfrak{a}}X)$ such that $\hat{F}|_Y = \hat{f}$. By definition (cf. (2.3)) $F := \iota^*\hat{F}$ belongs to $C_{\mathfrak{a}}(X)$. Since $F|_Z = f$, function f ($= \iota^*\hat{f}$) is a holomorphic \mathfrak{a} -function on Z in the sense of Definition 2.8. \square

8.5. Proof of Proposition 5.15

For a point $x \in Y$, consider its open neighbourhood V for which there exists a biholomorphic map $\varphi : V \rightarrow Z_0 \times K$, where $Z_0 \subset \mathbb{C}^p$ is an open ball and $K \subset \hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is open (see Proposition 5.4). We choose an open neighbourhood $W \Subset V$ of x so that $\varphi(W) = Z'_0 \times K'$, where $Z'_0 \Subset Z_0$ is an open ball of the same center as Z_0 and $K' \Subset K$ is an open subset. Then under the identification of V with $Z_0 \times K$ by φ the restriction to W of the space of C^∞ $\bar{\partial}$ -closed $(p, k+1)$ -forms on V is identified with a subspace of the space of C^∞ $\bar{\partial}$ -closed $(p, k+1)$ -forms on Z'_0 with values in the Banach space $C_b(K')$ of bounded continuous functions on K endowed with

sup-norm (see Subsection 5.5 for the corresponding definitions). According to Lemma 6.1, such Banach-valued forms on Z'_0 are $\bar{\partial}$ -exact. This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

9. Proofs of Theorems 2.7, 2.9 and 2.10

9.1. Proof of Theorem 2.7

Our proof is based on Theorem 2.3 and the equivalence of notions of a complex \mathfrak{a} -submanifold of X and a complex submanifold of $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ (see Subsection 5.3 for the corresponding definitions and results).

Thus, it suffices to prove that given a complex submanifold $Y \subset c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ of codimension k there exists an at most countable collection of functions $f_i \in \mathcal{O}(c_{\mathfrak{a}}X)$, $i \in I$, such that

- (i) $Y = \{y \in c_{\mathfrak{a}}X : f_i(y) = 0 \text{ for all } i \in I\}$, and
- (ii) for each $y_0 \in Y$ there exist a neighbourhood $W = \hat{\Pi}(W_0, L)$ (see (5.2) for notation) and functions f_{i_1}, \dots, f_{i_k} such that $Y \cap W = \{y \in U : f_{i_1}(y) = \dots = f_{i_k}(y) = 0\}$ and the rank of map $z \mapsto (f_1(z, \omega), \dots, f_k(z, \omega))$, $(z, \omega) \in W$, is maximal at each point of $Y \cap W$.

By Proposition 5.5 the ideal sheaf I_Y of Y is coherent, hence by Theorem 2.3, there exists an at most countable collection of sections $f_i \in \Gamma(c_{\mathfrak{a}}X, I_Y)$ ($\subset \mathcal{O}_{c_{\mathfrak{a}}X}$), $i \in I$, that generate I_Y at each point of $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$. (This collection is at most countable because any open cover of $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ admits an at most countable refinement as fibres of the bundle $\bar{p} : c_{\mathfrak{a}}X \rightarrow X_0$ are compact and any open cover of complex manifold X_0 admits an at most countable refinement.) Therefore condition (i) is valid for this collection of functions. In addition, for every point $y_0 \in Y$ there exist a neighbourhood $U = \hat{\Pi}(U_0, K)$ of y_0 , sections f_{i_1}, \dots, f_{i_m} and functions $u_{jl} \in \mathcal{O}(c_{\mathfrak{a}}X)$, $1 \leq j \leq k$, $1 \leq l \leq m$, such that

$$(9.1) \quad h_j = u_{j1}f_{i_1} + \dots + u_{jm}f_{i_m}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k,$$

where h_j are generators of $I_Y|_U$ from Definition 5.3 (modulo a biholomorphic transformation of Proposition 5.4 we may identify h_j with z_j , the j -th coordinate of $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$).

Equation (9.1) implies that $Y \cap U = \{y \in U : f_{i_1}(y) = \dots = f_{i_m}(y) = 0\}$.

Next, let ∇h_j , ∇f_{i_l} denote the vector-valued functions $\nabla_z h_j(z, \omega)$, $\nabla_z f_{i_l}(z, \omega)$, $(z, \omega) \in U$. Then

$$\nabla h_j = u_{j1}\nabla f_{i_1} + \dots + u_{jm}\nabla f_{i_m} \quad \text{on } Y \cap U, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k.$$

Since $(\nabla h_j)_{j=1}^k$ has rank k on U , we obtain that $k \leq m$, and $(u_{jl})_{1 \leq j \leq k, 1 \leq l \leq m}$, $(\nabla f_{i_l})_{l=1}^m$ have rank k at each point of U . Thus there exist two subfamilies of vector-valued functions $(u_{jl_1})_{j=1}^k, \dots, (u_{jl_k})_{j=1}^k$ and $\nabla \tilde{f}_{l_1}, \dots, \nabla \tilde{f}_{l_k}$, $\tilde{f}_l := f_{i_l}$, that are linearly independent at y_0 . Now, we apply the holomorphic inverse function theorem (see Theorem 6.2) to the matrix identity (9.1) to find a neighbourhood

$W = \hat{\Pi}(W_0, L) \Subset U$ of y_0 such that functions $\tilde{f}_l|_W$, $l \neq l_i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, belong to the ideal in $\mathcal{O}(W)$ generated by $\tilde{f}_{l_1}|_W, \dots, \tilde{f}_{l_k}|_W$, and the rank of map $z \mapsto (\tilde{f}_{l_1}(z, \omega), \dots, \tilde{f}_{l_k}(z, \omega))$, $(z, \omega) \in W$, is maximal at each point of $Y \cap W$. Clearly, $Y \cap W = \{y \in U : \tilde{f}_{l_1}(y) = \dots = \tilde{f}_{l_k}(y) = 0\}$.

This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

9.2. Proof of Theorem 2.9

The proof follows the lines of the proof of the classical tubular neighbourhood theorem (see, e.g., [26]).

We use notation and results of Section 5. Clearly, Theorem 2.9 is a corollary of:

Theorem 9.1. *Let X_0 be a Stein manifold, and $Y \subset c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ a complex submanifold (see Subsection 5.3). Then there exists an open neighbourhood $\Omega \subset c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ of Y and maps $h_t \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega, \Omega)$ continuously depending on $t \in [0, 1]$, such that $h_t|_Y = \text{Id}_Y$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$, $h_0 = \text{Id}_{\Omega}$ and $h_1(\Omega) = Y$.*

Proof. In the proof of Theorem 9.1 we use the following notation and definitions.

Let U be an open subset of $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ or of a complex submanifold $Y \subset c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$. In the category of ringed spaces (U, \mathcal{O}_U) (see Subsections 5.1, 5.3) we define in a standard way holomorphic vector bundles on U , their subbundles, the Whitney sum of bundles, holomorphic bundle morphisms, etc (see [37] for similar definitions).

Now, we define the (holomorphic) tangent bundle $Tc_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ on $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ as the pull-back \bar{p}^*TX_0 of the (holomorphic) tangent bundle TX_0 of X_0 . We denote by $T_x c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ the fibre of $Tc_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ at $x \in c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$.

Next, we define a Hermitian metric on $Tc_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ as the pullback by \bar{p} of a (complete) Hermitian metric on TX_0 .

Let $Y \subset c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ be a complex submanifold. Every point $x \in Y$ has a neighbourhood $U = \hat{\Pi}(U_0, K) \subset c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$, where $U_0 \subset X_0$, $K \subset \hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ are open, so that $Y \cap U$ is the set of common zeros of functions $h_1, \dots, h_k \in \mathcal{O}(U)$ such that the maximum of moduli of determinants of square submatrices of the Jacobian matrix of the map $z \mapsto (h_1(z, \omega), \dots, h_k(z, \omega))$, $(z, \omega) \in U$, is uniformly bounded away from zero (see Definition 5.3). We define the tangent bundle TY of Y as the subbundle of $Tc_{\mathfrak{a}}X|_Y$ whose fibres are orthogonal to the local vector fields $(z, \omega) \mapsto D_z h_1(z, \omega), \dots, D_z h_k(z, \omega)$, $(z, \omega) \in Y \cap U$. Namely, in local coordinates $(z, \omega) \in U$ the metric has a form

$$ds^2(z, \omega) = \sum_{l,j} g_{lj}(z) dz_l \otimes d\bar{z}_j, \quad g_{lj}(z) := \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_l}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \right)_{(z, \omega)};$$

hence, if vector fields $D_z h_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq k$) are given by

$$D_z h_i(z, \omega) = \sum_l a_{li}(z, \omega) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_l},$$

then $T_{(z, \omega)}Y$ consists of vectors $\sum_l b_l \frac{\partial}{\partial z_l}$ such that $\sum_{l,j} a_{li}(z, \omega) \bar{b}_j g_{lj}(z) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$.

It is easily seen that ι^*TY coincides with the holomorphic tangent bundle of the complex submanifold $\iota^{-1}(Y) \subset X$. Since $\iota(\iota^{-1}(Y))$ is dense in Y , the bundle TY is uniquely defined by the latter condition (see Subsection 5.5).

Consequently, we obtain the notion of the (holomorphic) normal bundle $NY \subset Tc_{\mathfrak{a}}X|_Y$ of Y .

The tangent bundle TX_0 is generated by finitely many holomorphic vector fields $V_{0,k}$, $1 \leq k \leq m$, which determine holomorphic local flows $\varphi_k : O_k \rightarrow X_0$, where O_k is an open neighbourhood of $\{0\} \times X_0$ in $\mathbb{C} \times X_0$ such that the differential of the map

$$F_0(t, \cdot) := (\varphi_m(s_m, \cdot) \circ \cdots \circ \varphi_1(s_1, \cdot)) : X_0 \rightarrow X_0, \quad t = (s_1, \dots, s_m),$$

at $t = 0$ is non-degenerate. The map F_0 is defined and holomorphic in a neighbourhood W_0 of $\{0\} \times X_0$ in $\mathbb{C}^m \times X_0$.

We will need notation and results of Section 4 in [15]. There, we have established that $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ (as a set) is the disjoint union of connected complex manifolds X_H ($H \in \Upsilon$) each is a covering of X_0 . Using the lifting property, for every $H \in \Upsilon$ we can lift F_0 to a unique map $\tilde{F}_H(t, \cdot) : W_H \rightarrow X_H$ that is defined and holomorphic on the neighbourhood $W_H := (\text{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^m} \times p_H)^{-1}(W_0)$ of $\{0\} \times X_H$ in $\mathbb{C}^m \times X_H$, where $p_H : X_H \rightarrow X_0$ is the covering projection. It is not difficult to show that these maps constitute a holomorphic map

$$\tilde{F} : W \rightarrow c_{\mathfrak{a}}X,$$

where $W := (\text{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^m} \times \bar{p})^{-1}(W_0)$ is a neighbourhood of $\{0\} \times c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ in $\mathbb{C}^m \times c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$. (Alternatively, one can define the map \tilde{F} using the covering homotopy theorem and the local structure of $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$, see Subsection 5.1. Note that in local coordinates lifted from X_0 the map \tilde{F} looks exactly the same as F_0 .)

Next, for a fixed $x \in c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ we consider a linear map

$$\theta_x = \partial_t|_{t=0} \tilde{F}(t, x) : \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow T_x c_{\mathfrak{a}}X.$$

We denote by θ the corresponding holomorphic bundle morphism $\mathbb{C}^m \times c_{\mathfrak{a}}X \rightarrow Tc_{\mathfrak{a}}X$.

Since vector fields $\bar{p}^*V_{0,j}$ span $Tc_{\mathfrak{a}}X$, the maps θ_x are surjective, for every $x \in c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$. Since $TY \subset Tc_{\mathfrak{a}}X|_Y$, we can define a holomorphic vector bundle over Y ,

$$E' := \theta^*(TY) \subset Y \times \mathbb{C}^m.$$

Lemma 9.2. *There exists a holomorphic vector bundle E over Y such that*

$$E' \oplus E = Y \times \mathbb{C}^m.$$

Proof. We have an exact sequence of holomorphic vector bundles over Y

$$(9.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow E' \rightarrow Y \times \mathbb{C}^m \xrightarrow{q} E'' \rightarrow 0$$

(here E'' is the quotient bundle) which induces an exact sequence of Čech cohomology groups with values in the sheaves of germs of holomorphic sections of the corresponding holomorphic vector bundles

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \Gamma(Y, \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(E'', E')) &\rightarrow \Gamma(Y, \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(E'', Y \times \mathbb{C}^m)) \rightarrow \Gamma(Y, \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(E'', E'')) \\ &\xrightarrow{\delta} H^1(Y, \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(E'', E')) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

Recall that sequence (9.2) splits if and only if $\delta(I) = 0$, where $I: E'' \rightarrow E''$ is the identity homomorphism (see, e.g., Ch. 1, 4.1d-f in [37]). Since the sheaf $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(E'', E')$ is locally free, Theorem 5.12 implies that $H^1(Y, \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(E'', E')) = 0$. Hence there is a holomorphic homomorphism $u: E'' \rightarrow Y \times \mathbb{C}^m$ such that $q \circ u = \text{Id}$, i.e., $Y \times \mathbb{C}^m = E' \oplus E$ with $E := u(E'')$. \square

It follows from this lemma that the restriction $\theta: E \rightarrow Tc_{\mathfrak{a}}X|_E$ is an injective holomorphic bundle morphism such that $Tc_{\mathfrak{a}}X|_Y = TY \oplus \theta(E)$. Therefore, we have:

Lemma 9.3. $\theta|_E$ determines a holomorphic isomorphism between the bundles E and NY .

Further, we define

$$F := \tilde{F} \circ (\theta|_E)^{-1}: NY \rightarrow c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$$

and show that there exists a neighbourhood V of the zero section of NY that is mapped by F biholomorphically to a neighbourhood of Y in $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$. Using this and replacing V by a smaller neighbourhood of the zero section of NY with convex fibres over Y , we can define the required maps h_t by dilations along images of the fibres of this smaller neighbourhood under F . This would complete the proof of the theorem.

We prove that F is a biholomorphism near the zero section of NY in two steps.

(1) First, we show that F is a local biholomorphism, i.e., every point of the zero section of NY has a neighbourhood V such that the restriction $F|_V$ determines a biholomorphism between V and $F(V) \subset c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$.

Indeed, by Proposition 5.4 for every $x \in Y$ one can find its neighbourhood $U_x \subset c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ biholomorphic to $U_0 \times K$, where $U_0 \subset X_0$, $K \subset \hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ are open, such that (a) $Y \cap U$ is biholomorphic to $Y_0 \times K$ for $Y_0 \subset U_0$ a complex submanifold of U_0 ; (b) $NY|_{Y \cap U} \cong NY_0 \times K$; (c) $F: NY_0 \times K \rightarrow U_0 \times K$ is determined by a collection of maps $NY_0 \rightarrow U_0$ continuously depending on variable in K such that the maximum of moduli of determinants of square submatrices of their Jacobian matrices are uniformly bounded away from zero.

The required result now follows from the inverse function theorem with continuous dependence on parameter (Theorem 6.2).

(2) Now, we show that there is a neighbourhood V of the zero section of NY such that $F|_V$ is an injection; since F is holomorphic, this would imply the required.

We have defined F in such a way that it maps the fibres of E that lie over the points of $Y \cap X_H$ into X_H , for every $H \in \Upsilon$ (see the definition of \tilde{F} above).

Let ρ_H be the path metric determined by the pullback to X_H of the (complete) Hermitian metric on X_0 (that we fixed previously). We define a pseudo-metric ρ on $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ by

$$\rho(x_1, x_2) := \rho_H(x_1, x_2) < \infty \text{ if } x_1, x_2 \in X_H, \quad \rho(x_1, x_2) := \infty \text{ otherwise.}$$

Let $\|\cdot\|_x$ denote the norm on the fibres of bundle NY determined by the restriction to NY of the Hermitian metric on $Tc_{\mathfrak{a}}X$, defined above. For $y \in Y$ by v_y we denote an element of N_yY . For $x \in Y$ we set

$$V_{\delta}(x) := \{v_y \in NY : \rho(x, y) < \delta, \|v_y\|_y < \delta\}.$$

Using the construction of part (1) based on the inverse function theorem with continuous dependence on parameter, one can easily show that there is a positive function $b \in C(Y)$ such that

$$(9.3) \quad \rho(x, F(v_x)) \leq b(x)\|v_x\|_x$$

for all v_x in a neighbourhood of the zero section of NY . Also, using the assertion of part (1), one can show that there is a positive function $r \in C(Y)$ such that $F|_{V_{r(x)}(x)}$ is a biholomorphism for all $x \in Y$. Now, we set

$$V := \left\{v_y \in NY : \|v_y\|_y < \frac{r(y)}{2 \max\{1, b(y)\}}\right\}.$$

This is an open neighbourhood of the zero section of NY . Let us show that $F|_V$ is injective. Indeed, assume that $v_x, v_y \in V$ and $F(v_x) = F(v_y)$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $r(y) \leq r(x)$. Thus, using the triangle inequality and (9.3) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(x, y) &\leq \rho(x, F(v_x)) + \rho(F(v_x), F(v_y)) + \rho(y, F(v_y)) \\ &= \rho(x, F(v_x)) + \rho(y, F(v_y)) \leq \frac{1}{2}r(x) + \frac{1}{2}r(y) \leq r(x). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $v_x, v_y \in V_{r(x)}(x)$. Since $F|_{V_{r(x)}(x)}$ is a biholomorphism, we arrive to a contradiction with the assumption $F(v_x) = F(v_y)$. Therefore, $F|_V$ is injective.

The proof of the theorem is complete. \square

Remark 9.4. In the classical tubular neighbourhood theorem the neighbourhood of a closed submanifold is chosen to be a Stein open submanifold (see, e.g. [26]). The following question naturally arises: is it possible to choose Ω in Theorem 9.1 to be a Stein open submanifold of $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ (see the definition in Subsection 5.4)?

9.3. Proof of Theorem 2.10

In view of Propositions 5.7 and 5.9, and (2.3), Theorem 2.10 follows from:

Theorem 9.5. *Let X_0 be a Stein manifold, $Y \subset c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ be a complex submanifold, $f \in \mathcal{O}(Y)$. Then there exists a function $F \in \mathcal{O}(c_{\mathfrak{a}}X)$ such that $F|_Y = f$.*

Proof. We will need:

Lemma 9.6. *Let $f \in \mathcal{O}(Y)$. For every point $y_0 \in Y$, there exist a neighbourhood $V \subset c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ of y_0 and a function $F_V \in \mathcal{O}(V)$ such that $F_V|_{V \cap Y} = f|_{V \cap Y}$.*

Proof of Lemma 9.6. By Proposition 5.4, there exist an open neighbourhood $V \subset c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ of y_0 , open subsets $V_0 \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, $K \subset \hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}}$, and a biholomorphic map $\Phi \in \mathcal{O}(V_0 \times K, V)$ such that

$$\Phi^{-1}(V \cap Y) = Z_0 \times K, \quad \text{where } Z_0 = \{(0, \dots, 0, z_{k+1}, \dots, z_n) : (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in V_0\}.$$

Let $\tilde{f} := \Phi^* f \in \mathcal{O}(Z_0 \times K)$. We define

$$\tilde{F}_V(z_1, \dots, z_n, \omega) := \tilde{f}(z_{k+1}, \dots, z_n, \omega), \quad (z_1, \dots, z_n, \omega) \in V_0 \times K,$$

and $F_V := (\Phi^{-1})^* \tilde{F}_V$. \square

Now, by Lemma 9.6 there exist an open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_j\}$ of $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ and functions $f_j \in \mathcal{O}(U_j)$ such that $f_j|_{Y \cap U_j} = f|_{Y \cap U_j}$ if $Y \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$; if $Y \cap U_j = \emptyset$, we define $f_j := 0$. Then $\{g_{ij} := f_i - f_j \text{ on } U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset\}$ is a 1-cocycle with values in sheaf I_Y of ideals of Y . By Proposition 5.5 sheaf I_Y is coherent, so by Theorem 2.4 $H^1(c_{\mathfrak{a}}X, I_Y) = 0$. Thus, $\{g_{ij}\}|_{\mathcal{V}}$ represents 0 in $H^1(\mathcal{V}, I_Y)$ for a refinement \mathcal{V} of \mathcal{U} . To avoid abuse of notation we may assume without loss of generality that $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{U}$. Therefore, we can find holomorphic functions $h_j \in \Gamma(U_j, I_Y)$ such that $g_{ij} = h_i - h_j$ on $U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$. Now, we define $F|_{U_j} := f_j - h_j$ for all j . \square

10. Proofs of Theorems 2.17, 2.18, 2.20 and Proposition 2.19

In the proofs we use the following results.

(1) Let $\{U_\alpha\}$ be an open cover of $Z \subset X$ and L and L' be line bundles on Z in one of the categories introduced in Subsection 2.3 defined on $\{U_\alpha\}$ by cocycles $d_{\alpha\beta}$ and $d'_{\alpha\beta}$, respectively. Recall that an isomorphism between L and L' is given by nowhere zero functions h_α on U_α (of the same category as $d_{\alpha\beta}$, $d'_{\alpha\beta}$) such that $d'_{\alpha\beta} = h_\alpha d_{\alpha\beta} h_\beta^{-1}$ on $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ for all α, β .

(2) In the proofs below we work with the Čech cohomology groups of sheaves on X or complex \mathfrak{a} -submanifolds of X associated to presheaves of functions defined on subsets of X open in topology $\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ or their intersections with the submanifolds. By definition (see, e.g., [33]), these groups are inverse limits of the Čech cohomology groups defined on open covers of class $(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{a}})$ of X or of its complex \mathfrak{a} -submanifolds (see Definitions 2.5 and 2.12).

(3) Recall (cf. (2.3)) that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(V) = \iota^* \mathcal{O}(U)$, where $V = \iota^{-1}(U) \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}$, $U \subset c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ are open. In particular, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}} = \iota^* \mathcal{O}$, where \mathcal{O} is the structure sheaf of $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$, and $\iota: X \rightarrow c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ is the canonical map (see Section 2). Since $\iota(X)$ is dense in $c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$, spaces $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(V)$ and $\mathcal{O}(U)$ are isomorphic. It follows from the definition of cohomology groups that $H^p(X, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}) = H^p(c_{\mathfrak{a}}X, \mathcal{O})$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$. A similar argument yields $H^p(Z, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}) = H^p(Y, \mathcal{O})$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, where $Y \subset c_{\mathfrak{a}}X$ is a complex submanifold and $Z := \iota^{-1}(Y) \subset X$.

10.1. Proof of Theorem 2.17

Let us prove the first assertion.

Let $E = \{f_\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_\alpha(U_\alpha)\}$ so that L_E is determined by the cocycle $\{d_{\alpha\beta} := f_\alpha f_\beta^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}_\alpha(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)\}$. By Definition 2.16 there exist nowhere zero functions $h_\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{\ell_\infty}(U_\alpha)$ with $|h_\alpha| \in C_\alpha(U_\alpha)$ such that $d_{\alpha\beta} = h_\alpha^{-1}h_\beta$ for all α, β , see (1) in the beginning of Section 10. We define $f := f_\alpha h_\alpha$ on U_α . This is a function in $\mathcal{O}(Z)$ such that $|f|_{U_\alpha} = |f_\alpha||h_\alpha| \in C_\alpha(U_\alpha)$ for each α . One can easily show using a partition of unity on the complex submanifold $Y \subset c_\alpha X$ such that $Z = \iota^{-1}(Y)$ (see Subsection 5.3) that the latter implies $|f| \in C_\alpha(Z)$. By our construction, divisor $E_f \in \text{Div}(X)$ is ℓ_∞ -equivalent to E .

Conversely, suppose that \mathfrak{a} is such that $\hat{G}_\mathfrak{a}$ is a compact topological group and $j(G) \subset \hat{G}_\mathfrak{a}$ is a dense subgroup, and let $E = \{f_\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_\alpha(U_\alpha)\} \in \text{Div}_\mathfrak{a}(X)$. By our assumption there exist nowhere zero functions $h_\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{\ell_\infty}(U_\alpha)$ with $h_\alpha^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}_{\ell_\infty}(U_\alpha)$ such that $f|_{U_\alpha} = h_\alpha f_\alpha$ for all α (see Definition 2.15). It is clear that the family $\{h_\alpha\}$ determines an ℓ_∞ -isomorphism of the line \mathfrak{a} -bundle $L_E := \{(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta, d_{\alpha\beta} := f_\alpha f_\beta^{-1})\}$ of E onto the trivial bundle $\{(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta, 1)\}$ (see (1) in the beginning of Section 10); to conclude that L_E is \mathfrak{a} -semi-trivial it remains to show that $|h_\alpha|, |h_\alpha|^{-1} \in C_\alpha(U_\alpha)$ for all α (see Definition 2.16).

By Proposition 5.1 there exist open subsets $V_\alpha \subset c_\alpha X$ and functions $\hat{f}_\alpha \in \mathcal{O}(V_\alpha)$ such that $U_\alpha = \iota^{-1}(V_\alpha)$ and $f_\alpha = \iota^* \hat{f}_\alpha$ for all α ; also, there exists a function $F \in C(c_\alpha X)$ such that $|f| = \iota^* F$. We will show that there exist positive functions $g_\alpha \in C(V_\alpha)$ such that $\iota^* g_\alpha = |h_\alpha|$. Then by (2.3) $|h_\alpha|, |h_\alpha|^{-1} \in C_\alpha(U_\alpha)$.

Let us fix α . First, note that since the required inclusion is a local property, we may assume without loss of generality that V_α is biholomorphic to $V_0 \times K$, where $V_0 \subset X_0$ is an open coordinate chart and $K \subset \hat{G}_\mathfrak{a}$ is open, $K \in \mathfrak{Q}$ (see Subsection 5.1). In particular, we can identify V_α with $V_0 \times K$.

The proof of the required inclusion consists of three parts.

(1) Let us show that $\hat{f}_\alpha(\cdot, \eta) \not\equiv 0$ for every $\eta \in K$.

By definition, the family of functions $\hat{f} := \{\hat{f}_\alpha\}$ determines a not identically zero holomorphic section of a holomorphic line bundle \hat{L}_E on $c_\alpha X$ (see Subsection 2.3). Based on results in [15] we have:

$c_\alpha X = \sqcup_{H \in \Upsilon} \iota_H(X_H)$, where $X_H = X$, $\iota_H : X_H \rightarrow c_\alpha X$ is holomorphic (see Subsection 5.1) and $\iota_H(X_H)$ is dense in $c_\alpha X$ for every $H \in \Upsilon$ (cf. Subsection 4.1 and Example 4.2 in [15]).

In particular, since $\iota_H(X_H)$ is dense in $c_\alpha X$, assuming that section $\hat{f} \equiv 0$ on $\iota_H(X_H)$ for some $H \in \Upsilon$ we obtain $\hat{f} \equiv 0$ on $c_\alpha X$, a contradiction.

Suppose, on the contrary, that $\hat{f}_\alpha(\cdot, \eta) \equiv 0$ for some $\eta \in K$. Then there exists a unique $H \in \Upsilon$ such that $V_0 \times \{\eta\}$ ($\cong \hat{\Pi}(V_0, \{\eta\})$) $\subset \iota_H(X_H)$. We set $\hat{f}_\eta := \iota_H^* \hat{f}$. This is a holomorphic section of holomorphic line bundle $\iota_H^* \hat{L}_E$ on X_H . By our assumption \hat{f}_η is zero on an open subset of X_H ($= X$). Since \hat{f}_η is holomorphic and X_H is connected, $\hat{f}_\eta \equiv 0$; hence $\hat{f}|_{\iota_H(X_H)} \equiv 0$, a contradiction, i.e., $\hat{f}_\alpha(\cdot, \eta) \not\equiv 0$.

(2) Next, we show that $F|_{V_\alpha}(\cdot, \eta) \not\equiv 0$ for every $\eta \in K$.

Assume on the contrary that there exists $\eta_0 \in K$ such that $F|_{V_\alpha}(\cdot, \eta_0) \equiv 0$.

By part (1), $\hat{f}_\alpha(\cdot, \eta_0) \not\equiv 0$, so we can choose an open $V'_0 \Subset V_0$ such that $|\hat{f}_\alpha(\cdot, \eta_0)| \geq c > 0$ on V'_0 . Now, under the identification V_α with $V_0 \times K$ the set $U_\alpha = \iota^{-1}(V_\alpha)$ is identified with $U_\alpha = V_0 \times L$, where $L := j^{-1}(K) \subset G$, and so $\iota|_{U_\alpha} = \text{Id}_{V_0} \times j|_L$. Since $F|_{V_\alpha}$ is continuous and $j(L)$ is dense in K (see Subsection 2.1), there exists a net $\{g_\gamma\} \subset L$ such that the net $\{j(g_\gamma)\} \subset K$ converges to η_0 . By continuity $\hat{f}_\alpha(\cdot, j(g_\gamma))$ converges to $\hat{f}_\alpha(\cdot, \eta_0)$ uniformly on V'_0 (so we may assume without loss of generality that $|\hat{f}_\alpha(\cdot, j(g_\gamma))| \geq c/2 > 0$ for all γ), while $F|_{V_\alpha}(\cdot, j(g_\gamma))$ converges to 0 uniformly on V'_0 . Since $|f|_{U_\alpha} = |h_\alpha| |f_\alpha|$, where $|f| = \iota^* F$, $f_\alpha = \iota^* \hat{f}$, the latter implies that $|h_\alpha(\cdot, g_\gamma)| \rightarrow 0$ uniformly over V'_0 . We will show that this leads to a contradiction with our assumption.

Indeed, due to results of Subsection 4.1 of [15] there exists an equivariant with respect to right actions of G continuous proper map $\kappa: \hat{G}_{\ell_\infty} \rightarrow \hat{G}_\alpha$. Set $K' := \kappa^{-1}(K)$. Passing to the corresponding subnets, if necessary, we may assume without loss of generality that there exists a net $\{\xi_\gamma\} \subset K'$ having limit $\xi_0 \in K'$ such that $\kappa(\xi_0) = \eta_0$ and $\kappa(\xi_\gamma) = j(g_\gamma)$ for all γ . Further, since by our assumption $h_\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{\ell_\infty}(U_\alpha)$, by Proposition 5.1 there exists a function $\tilde{h}_\alpha \in \mathcal{O}(V_0 \times K')$ such that $(\text{Id}_{V_0} \times j_{\ell_\infty})^* \tilde{h}_\alpha = h_\alpha$ (see Subsection 2.1 for notation). Now, since $|h_\alpha(\cdot, g_\gamma)| \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on V'_0 , we obtain that $|\tilde{h}_\alpha(\cdot, \xi_\gamma)| \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on V'_0 ; so by continuity $\tilde{h}_\alpha(\cdot, \xi_0) \equiv 0$ on V'_0 . However, by (2.3) function $h_\alpha^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}_{\ell_\infty}(U_\alpha)$ admits a continuous extension to $V_0 \times K'$ such that its product with \tilde{h}_α is identically 1 (because $h_\alpha h_\alpha^{-1} \equiv 1$ on U_α and $(\text{Id}_{V_0} \times j_{\ell_\infty})(U_\alpha)$ is dense in $V_0 \times K'$). This contradicts the identity $\tilde{h}_\alpha(\cdot, \xi_0) \equiv 0$ on V'_0 and completes the proof of step (2).

(3) Finally, we show that there exists a positive function $g_\alpha \in C(V_\alpha)$, $V_\alpha = V_0 \times K$, such that $\iota^* g_\alpha = |h_\alpha|$.

Let $\mathcal{Z} \subset V_\alpha$ be the union of zero loci of functions $F|_{V_\alpha}$ and $|\hat{f}_\alpha|$. By parts (1) and (2), we obtain that the open set $\mathcal{Z}^c := V_\alpha \setminus \mathcal{Z}$ is dense in V_α and, moreover, $F|_{V_\alpha}/|\hat{f}_\alpha|$ and $|\hat{f}_\alpha|/F|_{V_\alpha}$ are continuous on \mathcal{Z}^c . We set $\tilde{\kappa} := (\text{Id}_{V_0} \times \kappa): V_0 \times \hat{G}_{\ell_\infty} \rightarrow V_0 \times \hat{G}_\alpha$. By the definition pullbacks by $\tilde{\kappa}$ of $(F/|\hat{f}_\alpha|)|_{\mathcal{Z}^c}$ and $(|\hat{f}_\alpha|/F)|_{\mathcal{Z}^c}$ to $\tilde{\kappa}^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}^c) \subset V_0 \times K'$ coincide with $|\tilde{h}_\alpha|$ and $|\tilde{h}_\alpha|^{-1}$ there (see part (2)). This, the fact that the open set $\tilde{\kappa}^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}^c)$ is dense in $V_0 \times K'$ and the definition of $\tilde{\kappa}$ imply that $|\tilde{h}_\alpha|$ is constant on fibres of $\tilde{\kappa}$. Since $\tilde{\kappa}$ is a proper continuous map and $V_0 \times K$, $V_0 \times K'$ are locally compact Hausdorff spaces, the latter implies that there exists a positive function $g_\alpha \in C(V_0 \times K)$ such that $\tilde{\kappa}^* g_\alpha = |\tilde{h}_\alpha|$. By the definition $g_\alpha = F|_{V_\alpha}/|\hat{f}_\alpha|$ on \mathcal{Z}^c . This yields $\iota^* g_\alpha = |h_\alpha|$, as required. \square

10.2. Proof of Theorem 2.18

Suppose that conditions (1) and (2) are satisfied. Let us show that the holomorphic line \mathfrak{a} -bundle L is \mathfrak{a} -semi-trivial.

Suppose that L is defined by a holomorphic 1-cocycle $\{c_{\alpha\beta}\}$ on an open cover $\{U_\alpha\}$ of Z of class $(\mathcal{T}_\mathfrak{a})$. In what follows, we may need to pass several times to refinements of class $(\mathcal{T}_\mathfrak{a})$ of the cover $\{U_\alpha\}$. To avoid abuse of notation we may assume without loss of generality that $\{U_\alpha\}$ is acyclic with respect to the corresponding sheaves so that according to the classical Leray lemma we can work only with cover $\{U_\alpha\}$.

By (1) we can find functions $c_\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_\alpha(U_\alpha)$ such that $c_\alpha^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}_\alpha(U_\alpha)$ and $c_\alpha^{-1}c_{\alpha\beta}c_\beta = d_{\alpha\beta}$ is locally constant on $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ for all α, β ; hence, $\{d_{\alpha\beta}\}$ determines an equivalent discrete \mathfrak{a} -bundle L' on Z . Now, we have polar representation

$$d_{\alpha\beta} = |d_{\alpha\beta}|e^{il_{\alpha\beta}} \quad \text{for all } \alpha, \beta.$$

Then $\{|d_{\alpha\beta}|\} \in Z^1(\{U_\alpha\}, \mathbb{R}_+)$, $\{e^{il_{\alpha\beta}}\} \in Z^1(\{U_\alpha\}, \mathbb{U}_1)$, where \mathbb{U}_1 is the 1-dimensional unitary group, are multiplicative locally constant cocycles.

Since $|d_{\alpha\beta}| \neq 0$ are locally constant and belong to $\mathcal{O}_\alpha(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)$, functions $\log|d_{\alpha\beta}| \in \mathcal{O}_\alpha(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)$ as well and form an additive holomorphic 1-cocycle on $\{U_\alpha\}$. We can resolve this cocycle by Theorem 5.12 (see definitions in the beginning of Section 10), i.e., there exist functions $g_\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_\alpha(U_\alpha)$ such that $e^{g_\alpha} \cdot e^{-g_\beta} = |d_{\alpha\beta}|$ for all α, β .

Further, by condition (2) bundle L' is trivial in the category of discrete line bundles on Z . This implies existence of functions $e^{il_\alpha} \in \mathcal{O}(U_\alpha)$, where l_α are real-valued locally constant, such that

$$e^{il_{\alpha\beta}} = e^{il_\alpha} \cdot e^{-il_\beta} \quad \text{on } U_\alpha \cap U_\beta.$$

Now, we define

$$\psi_\alpha := e^{-g_\alpha} \cdot e^{-il_\alpha} \cdot c_\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{\ell_\infty}(U_\alpha).$$

Then $d_{\alpha\beta} = \psi_\alpha \psi_\beta^{-1}$, so the family of functions $\{\psi_\alpha\}$ determines an isomorphism in category $\mathcal{L}_{\ell_\infty}(Z)$ of L onto the trivial line bundle (see (1) in the beginning of Section 10). Moreover, $|\psi_\alpha| = e^{-\operatorname{Re} g_\alpha} |c_\alpha|$, $|\psi_\alpha|^{-1} = e^{\operatorname{Re} g_\alpha} |c_\alpha^{-1}| \in C_\alpha(U_\alpha)$ for all α , as required.

Conversely, suppose that the holomorphic line \mathfrak{a} -bundle L is \mathfrak{a} -semi-trivial. Let us show that conditions (1) and (2) are satisfied. As before, we assume that L is determined by a cocycle $\{c_{\alpha\beta} \in \mathcal{O}_\alpha(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)\}$ on cover $\{U_\alpha\}$ of Z . By Definition 2.16 there exist nowhere zero functions $\psi_\alpha \in \mathcal{O}(U_\alpha)$ with $|\psi_\alpha|, |\psi_\alpha|^{-1} \in C_\alpha(U_\alpha)$ such that $\psi_\alpha c_{\alpha\beta} \psi_\beta^{-1} \equiv 1$ on $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \neq \emptyset$ (see (1) in the beginning of Section 10).

We will use notation and results of Subsection 5.5. Denote

$$\Lambda_\alpha^{p,k}(U_\alpha) := \iota^* \Lambda^{p,k}(V_\alpha), \quad Z_\alpha^{p,k}(U_\alpha) := \iota^* Z^{p,k}(V_\alpha),$$

where $V_\alpha \subset Y$ is open and such that $U_\alpha = \iota^{-1}(V_\alpha)$, $Y \subset c_\alpha X$ is the closure of $\iota(Z)$ (a complex submanifold of $c_\alpha X$, see Proposition 5.7), $\Lambda^{p,k}(Y)$ is the space of (p, k) -forms on Y and $Z^{p,k}(Y)$ is the space of $\bar{\partial}$ -closed form on Y . Also, denote $C_\alpha^\infty(U_\alpha) := \iota^* C^\infty(V_\alpha)$.

Let us show that $|\psi_\alpha|, |\psi_\alpha|^{-1} \in C_\alpha^\infty(U_\alpha)$. We may assume without loss of generality that $U_\alpha = U_0 \times j^{-1}(K)$, $V_\alpha = U_0 \times K$, where $U_0 \subset \mathbb{C}^m$, $m := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} Z$, is an open ball and $K \subset \hat{G}_\alpha$, $K \in \mathfrak{Q}$, see (5.3), is open (see Proposition 5.4 and Subsection 5.1). Then there exist $\tilde{\psi}_\alpha, \tilde{\psi}_\alpha^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}(U_0 \times K')$, $K' := \kappa^{-1}(K) \subset \hat{G}_{\ell_\infty}$, such that $(\operatorname{Id}_{U_0} \times j_{\ell_\infty})^*(\tilde{\psi}_\alpha)^{\pm 1} = (\psi_\alpha)^{\pm 1}$ (see part (2) in the proof of Theorem 2.17 and Subsection 5.1) which can be viewed as holomorphic functions on U_0 taking values in the Fréchet space $C(K')$. In particular, these are $C(K')$ -valued C^∞ functions. Further, since $|\psi_\alpha|, |\psi_\alpha|^{-1} \in C_\alpha(U_\alpha)$, there exist nowhere zero functions $\hat{\psi}_\alpha$,

$\hat{\psi}_\alpha^{-1} \in C(U_0 \times K)$ whose pullbacks by $\text{Id}_{U_0} \times \kappa$ to $U_0 \times K'$ coincide with $\tilde{\psi}_\alpha$ and $\tilde{\psi}_\alpha^{-1}$, respectively. The last two facts imply easily that $\hat{\psi}_\alpha, \hat{\psi}_\alpha^{-1} \in C^\infty(U_0 \times K)$ (see Subsection 5.5 for the definition). Pullbacks of $\hat{\psi}_\alpha, \hat{\psi}_\alpha^{-1}$ by $\iota := \text{Id}_{U_0} \times j$ are functions $|\psi_\alpha|, |\psi_\alpha|^{-1}$. Thus these functions are in $C_a^\infty(U_\alpha)$.

Now, since $\hat{\psi}_\alpha, \hat{\psi}_\alpha^{-1}$ are nowhere zero, $\log|\psi_\alpha| \in C_a^\infty(U_\alpha)$; hence, forms $\partial(\log|\psi_\alpha|)$ belong to $\Lambda_a^{1,0}(U_\alpha)$ and satisfy $\bar{\partial}\partial(\log|\psi_\alpha|) = 0$, that is, $\partial(\log|\psi_\alpha|) \in Z_a^{1,0}(U_\alpha)$. Identifying U_α with $U_0 \times j^{-1}(K)$ by a biholomorphism (see Proposition 5.4) we obtain that $\partial(\log|\psi_\alpha|)$ is the pullback by ι of the d -closed holomorphic 1-form $\partial(\log\hat{\psi}_\alpha)$ on U_0 with values in the Fréchet space $C(K)$ (see Subsection 5.5 for notation). Integrating the latter form along rays in U_0 emanating from the center and taking the pullback of the obtained function by ι we obtain a function $u_\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_a(U_\alpha)$ such that $\partial u_\alpha = \partial(\log|\psi_\alpha|)$. Hence, $\log|\psi_\alpha| - u_\alpha = \bar{v}_\alpha$ for some $v_\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_a(U_\alpha)$. We define $b_\alpha := u_\alpha + v_\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_a(U_\alpha)$. Then $\log|\psi_\alpha| = \operatorname{Re} b_\alpha$. Now, set

$$d_{\alpha\beta} := e^{b_\alpha} c_{\alpha\beta} e^{-b_\beta} \in \mathcal{O}_a(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta) \quad \text{for all } \alpha, \beta.$$

Then $|d_{\alpha\beta}| = |\psi_\alpha c_{\alpha\beta} \psi_\beta^{-1}| \equiv 1$, i.e., $\{d_{\alpha\beta}\}$ is a locally constant 1-cocycle on the cover $\{U_\alpha\}$ of Z with values in the unitary group \mathbb{U}_1 . Therefore condition (1) is satisfied.

Further, $\psi_\alpha e^{-b_\alpha} d_{\alpha\beta} \psi_\beta^{-1} e^{b_\beta} \equiv 1$ for all α, β and $|\psi_\alpha e^{-b_\alpha}| \equiv 1$ on U_α , that is, functions $\psi_\alpha e^{-b_\alpha}$ are locally constant for all α . Hence the discrete line a -bundle $L' := \{(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta, d_{\alpha\beta})\}$ is trivial in the category of discrete line bundles on Z , i.e., condition (2) is satisfied as well.

The proof of the theorem is complete. \square

10.3. Proof of Proposition 2.19

We use notation and results of Subsection 5.5. Suppose that algebra a is self-adjoint. Then $Z = \iota^{-1}(Y)$ for a complex submanifold Y of $c_a X$ (see Definition 2.6 and Subsection 5.3). We set $\Lambda_a^{p,k}(Z) := \iota^* \Lambda^{p,k}(Y)$, $Z_a^{p,k}(Z) := \iota^* Z^{p,k}(Y)$, and define

$$H_a^{p,k}(Z) := Z_a^{p,k}(Z) / \bar{\partial} \Lambda_a^{p,k-1}(Z), \quad p \geq 0, \quad k \geq 1, \quad H_a^{p,0}(Z) := Z_a^{p,0}(Z).$$

These spaces of forms and cohomology groups are isomorphic to their counterparts on Y , so we have analogues of Proposition 5.15 and Corollaries 5.16, 5.17 on Z (see (2) and (3) in the beginning of Section 10).

We will also need an analogue of the de Rham complex on Y .

Let $Z^m(Y) \subset \Lambda^m(Y)$ denote the subspace of d -closed forms. Define

$$\begin{aligned} H^m(Y) &:= Z^m(Y) / d\Lambda^{m-1}(Y), \quad p \geq 0, \quad m \geq 1, \\ H^0(Y) &:= Z^0(Y). \end{aligned}$$

(“de Rham cohomology groups of Y ”). Now, set $\Lambda_a^m(Z) := \iota^* \Lambda^m(Y)$, $Z_a^m(Z) := \iota^* Z^m(Y)$,

$$H_a^m(Z) := Z_a^{m-1}(Z) / d\Lambda_a^m(Z), \quad p \geq 0, \quad m \geq 1, \quad H_a^0(Z) := Z_a^0(Z).$$

Then $H_a^m(Z)$ and $H^m(Y)$ are isomorphic.

Let us denote by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ the sheaf associated to the presheaf of functions $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(U)$, $U \subset Z$, $U \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ (see Definition 2.12). Let $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathfrak{a}}$, $\mathbb{R}_{\mathfrak{a}} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ denote subsheaves of locally constant functions with values in groups \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{R} , respectively. Using an argument similar to that of the proof of Proposition 5.15, where instead of Lemma 6.1 we use the Poincaré d -lemma for Banach-valued d -closed forms on a ball (see Subsection 8.5), one obtains an analogue of the d -Poincaré lemma on Y (i.e., a d -closed C^∞ m -form, $m \geq 1$, on an open subset of Y is locally d -exact). Then since sheaves Λ^m of germs of C^∞ m -forms on Y are fine, see Lemma 5.14, by a standard result about cohomology groups of sheaves admitting acyclic resolutions (see, e.g., Ch. B, §1.3, of [34]), we obtain

$$(10.1) \quad H_{\mathfrak{a}}^m(Z) \cong H^m(Z, \mathbb{R}_{\mathfrak{a}}), \quad m \geq 0.$$

Finally, by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}^* \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ we denote a multiplicative subsheaf associated to the presheaf of functions $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(U)$, $U \subset Z$, $U \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}$, such that $f^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(U)$ as well.

Proof of Proposition 2.19. First, we show that condition (1) of Theorem 2.18 is satisfied.

We have an exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\mathfrak{a}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}} \xrightarrow{e^{2\pi i \cdot}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}^* \rightarrow 0$$

which induces an exact sequence of cohomology groups

$$\cdots \rightarrow H^1(Z, \mathbb{Z}_{\mathfrak{a}}) \rightarrow H^1(Z, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}) \rightarrow H^1(Z, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}^*) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^2(Z, \mathbb{Z}_{\mathfrak{a}}) \rightarrow \cdots.$$

By definition the class of holomorphic \mathfrak{a} -bundles isomorphic to the line \mathfrak{a} -bundle $L := L_E$ of a divisor $E \in \text{Div}_{\mathfrak{a}}(Z)$ determines an element of group $H^1(Z, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}^*)$; its image under δ in $H^2(Z, \mathbb{Z}_{\mathfrak{a}})$ is denoted by $\delta(L)$ and is called the Chern class of L . On a suitable open cover $\{U_\alpha\}$ of Z of class $(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{a}})$ element $\delta(L)$ is defined by a locally constant 2-cocycle $\{m_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^L\} \in Z^2(\{U_\alpha\}, \mathbb{Z}_{\mathfrak{a}})$ given by the formula (see, e.g., [30])

$$m_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^L = \frac{1}{2\pi i} (\log c_{\alpha\beta} + \log c_{\beta\gamma} + \log c_{\gamma\alpha}) \quad \text{on } U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \cap U_\gamma,$$

where L is determined on $\{U_\alpha\}$ by 1-cocycle $\{c_{\alpha\beta} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}^*(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)\}$.

Let $c(L)$ denote the image of $\delta(L)$ in $H^2(Z, \mathbb{R}_{\mathfrak{a}})$ under the natural homomorphism $H^2(Z, \mathbb{Z}_{\mathfrak{a}}) \rightarrow H^2(Z, \mathbb{R}_{\mathfrak{a}})$. We identify the last group with $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^2(Z)$, see (10.1). Since $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} Z = 1$, element $c(L)$ is determined by a d -closed $(1, 1)$ -form $\eta \in Z_{\mathfrak{a}}^2(Z)$.

Lemma 10.1. $\eta = d\lambda$ for some $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\mathfrak{a}}^1(Z)$.

Proof. Since Z is 1-dimensional, $\bar{\partial}\eta = 0$. Hence, by the analogue of Corollary 5.17 on Z we have $\eta = \bar{\partial}\lambda$ for some $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\mathfrak{a}}^{1,0}(Z)$. We have $\partial\lambda = 0$, as $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{a}}^{2,0}(Z) = 0$, so $d\lambda = (\bar{\partial} + \partial)\lambda = \bar{\partial}\lambda = \eta$, as required. \square

The lemma implies that $c(L) = 0$. Replacing cover $\{U_\alpha\}$ by its refinement of class (\mathcal{T}_α) , if necessary, we may assume without loss of generality that there exists a locally constant 1-cochain $\{s_{\alpha\beta} \in C_\alpha(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta, \mathbb{R}_\alpha)\}$ on $\{U_\alpha\}$ such that, for all α, β, γ ,

$$m_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^L = s_{\alpha\beta} + s_{\beta\gamma} + s_{\gamma\alpha} \quad \text{on } U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \cap U_\gamma.$$

Then $\{\log c_{\alpha\beta} - 2\pi i \cdot s_{\alpha\beta}\} \in Z^1(\{U_\alpha\}, \mathcal{O}_\alpha)$. According to Theorem 2.4 this cocycle represents 0 in $H^1(Z, \mathcal{O}_\alpha)$ (as $H^1(Z, \mathcal{O}_\alpha) = H^1(Y, \mathcal{O})$, see discussion in the beginning of Section 10). Again, passing to a refinement of cover $\{U_\alpha\}$ of class (\mathcal{T}_α) , if necessary, we may assume without loss of generality that this cocycle can be resolved on $\{U_\alpha\}$, that is, there exist $h_\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_\alpha(U_\alpha)$ such that

$$\log c_{\alpha\beta} - 2\pi i \cdot s_{\alpha\beta} = h_\alpha - h_\beta \quad \text{on } U_\alpha \cap U_\beta.$$

We set $d_{\alpha\beta} := e^{-h_\alpha} c_{\alpha\beta} e^{h_\beta}$ on $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$. Then cocycle $\{d_{\alpha\beta}\}$ determines a discrete line \mathfrak{a} -bundle L' isomorphic to L . Therefore, condition (1) of Theorem 2.18 is satisfied.

Now, we show that under the additional hypothesis $H^1(Z, \mathbb{C}) = 0$ condition (2) of Theorem 2.18 is satisfied as well.

First, note that $H^2(Z, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. Indeed, Z is a complex submanifold of a Stein manifold X , and hence itself is a Stein manifold. Therefore, since $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} Z = 1$, Z is homotopically equivalent to a 1-dimensional CW-complex, which implies the required.

A discrete line bundle on Z is determined (up to an isomorphism in the corresponding category) by an element of group $H^1(Z, \mathbb{C}^*)$, where $\mathbb{C}^* := \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Therefore, to show that the discrete line \mathfrak{a} -bundle L' is trivial in the category of discrete bundles on Z , it suffices to show that $H^1(Z, \mathbb{C}^*) = 0$. In turn, the exact sequence of locally constant sheaves $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\exp} \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow 0$ on Z induces an exact sequence of cohomology groups

$$\cdots \rightarrow H^1(Z, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^1(Z, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^1(Z, \mathbb{C}^*) \rightarrow H^2(Z, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \cdots.$$

Since $H^1(Z, \mathbb{C}) = H^2(Z, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, group $H^1(Z, \mathbb{C}^*) = 0$, as required. \square

10.4. Proof of Theorem 2.20

Since X_0 is homotopy equivalent to open subset $Y_0 \subset X_0$, $\pi_1(X_0) = \pi_1(Y_0)$ and the space $c_\alpha X$ is homotopy equivalent to open subset $c_\alpha Y \subset c_\alpha X$, $Y := p^{-1}(Y_0) \subset X$ (for $\mathfrak{a} = \ell_\infty$ the proof is given in Proposition 4.2 of [10]; the proof in the general case repeats it word by word).

We retain notation of Subsection 10.3. For the exact sequence of locally constant sheaves on X

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\alpha \xrightarrow{e^{2\pi i \cdot}} \mathcal{O}_\alpha^* \rightarrow 0$$

consider the induced exact sequences of cohomology groups

$$\cdots \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}_\alpha) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_\alpha) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_\alpha^*) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}_\alpha) \rightarrow \cdots.$$

We have similar exact sequences over $Y = p^{-1}(Y_0)$ so that the embedding $Y \hookrightarrow X$ induces a commutative diagram of these sequences.

Since X_0 is a Stein manifold, $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_a) = H^1(c_a X, \mathcal{O}) = 0$ by Theorem 2.4. Thus, δ is an injection. Also, since $c_a X$ is homotopy equivalent to $c_a Y$, by the homotopy invariance for cohomology of locally constant sheaves (see, e.g., Chapter II.11 of [4]),

$$H^k(Y, \mathbb{Z}_a) = H^k(c_a Y, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^k(c_a X, \mathbb{Z}) = H^k(X, \mathbb{Z}_a), \quad k \geq 0$$

(see definitions of the corresponding cohomology groups in the beginning of Section 10).

Let $c_L \in H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_a^*)$ be the cohomology class determined by the line a -bundle $L = L_E$ of the a -divisor E . We show that $\delta(c_L) = 0$; since δ is an injection, this would imply that L is isomorphic to the trivial line a -bundle, and hence E is a -equivalent to an a -principal divisor.

Indeed, the restriction $\delta(c_L)|_Y \in H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z}_a)$ of $\delta(c_L)$ to Y is, by definition, the Chern class of the restriction $L|_Y$. Since $E|_Y$ is a -equivalent to an a -principal divisor on Y , the line a -bundle $L|_Y$ is isomorphic to the trivial line a -bundle in $\mathcal{L}_a(Y)$, so we have $\delta(c_L)|_Y = 0$. Since the restriction homomorphism $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}_a) \rightarrow H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z}_a)$ is an isomorphism (see above), $\delta(c_L) = 0$, as required.

Let us prove the second assertion of the theorem. Assume that a is such that \hat{G}_a is a compact topological group and $j(G) \subset \hat{G}_a$ is a dense subgroup, and $\text{supp}(E) \cap Y = \emptyset$. We retain notation and results of parts (1) and (2) of the proof of Theorem 2.17. By definition divisor E determines a holomorphic line bundle \hat{L}_E on $c_a X$ and a holomorphic section s of \hat{L}_E such that s is not identically zero on each ‘slice’ $\iota_H(X_H) \subset c_a X$ and $\iota^* \hat{L}_E = L_E$. If $\text{supp}(s) \subset c_a X$ is zero loci of s , then $\iota^{-1}(\text{supp}(s)) = \text{supp}(E)$. Let us show that $\text{supp}(s) \cap c_a Y = \emptyset$. Indeed, assuming the contrary we find a point $x \in \iota_H(X_H) \cap c_a Y$ for some $H \in \Upsilon$ such that $s(x) = 0$. Since $c_a Y \subset c_a X$ is open, there exists an open neighbourhood of x which is contained in $c_a Y$. Without loss of generality we may identify this neighbourhood with $V_0 \times K$, where $V_0 \subset Y_0$ is an open coordinate chart and $K \subset \hat{G}_a$ is open, $K \in \mathfrak{Q}$ (see Subsection 5.1). Then $s(z, \eta) = 0$ for some $(z, \eta) \in V_0 \times K$. Let $S \subset K$ be a dense subset such that $j^{-1}(S) \subset G$, the deck transformation group of X (see Subsection 2.1 for notation). By definition, $\iota^{-1}(V_0 \times S) \subset Y$. Also, $s(\cdot, \xi) \in \mathcal{O}(V_0)$ for all $\xi \in K$ and $s(\cdot, \eta)$ is not identically zero. Since $s \in C(V_0 \times K)$, by the Montel theorem there exists a sequence $\{s(\cdot, \xi_j)\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{\xi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset S$, converging to $s(\cdot, \eta)$ uniformly on compact subsets of V_0 . Then according to the Hurwitz theorem (on zeros of a sequence of univariate holomorphic functions uniformly converging to a nonidentically zero holomorphic function), there exists $(w, \xi_i) \in V_0 \times S$ such that $s(w, \xi_i) = 0$. This implies that $\iota^{-1}((w, \xi_i)) \in \text{supp}(E) \cap Y$, a contradiction proving the required claim.

Thus we obtain that $s|_{c_a Y}$ is nowhere zero, i.e., $\hat{L}_E|_{c_a Y}$ is holomorphically trivial. In turn, $\iota^*(\hat{L}_E|_{c_a Y}) := (L_E)|_Y = L_{E|_Y}$ is the trivial a -bundle on Y . Hence, the restriction of E to Y is a -equivalent to an a -principal divisor. The first part of the theorem then implies that E is a -equivalent to an a -principal divisor on X .

The proof of the theorem is complete. □

11. Proofs of Theorem 2.21 and Proposition 2.23

Proof of Theorem 2.21. We will use notation and results of Subsection 5.1 and Example 4.4 in [15].

Using the axiom of choice we construct a (not necessarily continuous) right inverse $\lambda : \hat{G}_\alpha \rightarrow \hat{G}_{\ell_\infty}$ to κ , i.e., $\kappa \circ \lambda = \text{Id}$. Given a subset $K \subset G$, by $\hat{K}_\alpha \subset \hat{G}_\alpha$ and $\hat{K}_{\ell_\infty} \subset \hat{G}_{\ell_\infty}$ we denote the closures of sets $j_\alpha(K)$ and $j_{\ell_\infty}(K)$ in \hat{G}_α and \hat{G}_{ℓ_∞} , respectively.

For $\Pi(U_0, K) := \Pi_{\gamma_*}(U_0, K)$ we have a commutative diagram

$$(11.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \Pi(U_0, K) & \xrightarrow{\text{Id} \times j_\alpha} & \hat{\Pi}_\alpha(U_0, \hat{K}_\alpha) & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & \hat{\Pi}_{\ell_\infty}(U_0, \hat{K}_{\ell_\infty}) \\ \uparrow = & & \uparrow \kappa & & \\ \Pi(U_0, K) & \xrightarrow{\text{Id} \times j_{\ell_\infty}} & \hat{\Pi}_{\ell_\infty}(U_0, \hat{K}_{\ell_\infty}) & & \end{array}$$

All maps, except possibly for λ , are continuous.

We will need the following results.

Lemma 11.1. *Under the hypotheses of the theorem, there exists a unique function $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{O}(\hat{\Pi}_\alpha(U_0, \hat{K}_\alpha))$ such that*

$$(11.2) \quad f|_{\Pi(U_0, K)} = (\text{Id} \times j_\alpha)^* \hat{f}.$$

Proof. Since $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\ell_\infty}(X)$, there exists a function $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{O}(\hat{\Pi}_{\ell_\infty}(U_0, \hat{K}_\alpha))$ such that $f|_{\Pi(U_0, K)} = (\text{Id} \times j_{\ell_\infty})^* \tilde{f}$. We set $\hat{f} := (\text{Id} \times \lambda)^* \tilde{f} : \hat{\Pi}_\alpha(U_0, \hat{K}_\alpha) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Clearly, (11.2) is satisfied. Identifying $\hat{\Pi}_\alpha(U_0, \hat{K}_\alpha)$ with $U_0 \times \hat{K}_\alpha$ (see (5.2)), we obtain that $\hat{f}(\cdot, \omega) \in \mathcal{O}(U_0)$ for all $\omega \in \hat{K}_\alpha$. It remains to show that \hat{f} is continuous. Since $f \in C_\alpha(Z)$, there exists a function $F \in C(\hat{\Pi}_\alpha(Z_0, \hat{K}_\alpha))$ such that $f|_{\Pi(Z_0, K)} = (\text{Id} \times j_\alpha)^* F$. Also, since $(\text{Id} \times j_\alpha)(\Pi(Z_0, K))$ is dense in $\hat{\Pi}_\alpha(Z_0, \hat{K}_\alpha)$ and diagram (11.1) is commutative,

$$(11.3) \quad \hat{f}|_{\hat{\Pi}_\alpha(Z_0, \hat{K}_\alpha)} = F.$$

We identify $\hat{\Pi}_\alpha(U_0, \hat{K}_\alpha)$ with $U_0 \times \hat{K}_\alpha$, and $\hat{\Pi}_\alpha(Z_0, \hat{K}_\alpha)$ with $Z_0 \times \hat{K}_\alpha$. Suppose that \hat{f} is discontinuous, i.e., there exists a net $\{(z_\alpha, \omega_\alpha)\} \subset U_0 \times \hat{K}_\alpha$, $(z_\alpha, \omega_\alpha) \rightarrow (z, \omega) \in U_0 \times \hat{K}_\alpha$, such that $\lim_\alpha \hat{f}(z_\alpha, \omega_\alpha)$ exists but does not coincide with $\hat{f}(z, \omega)$. Using the Montel theorem we find a subnet $\{\hat{f}(\cdot, \omega_{\alpha_\beta})\}$ of the net $\{\hat{f}(\cdot, \omega_\alpha)\} \subset \mathcal{O}(U_0)$ which converges to a function g . Since $\hat{f}|_{Z_0 \times \hat{K}_\alpha}$ is continuous and Z_0 is a uniqueness set for functions in $\mathcal{O}(U_0)$, $g = \hat{f}(\cdot, \omega)$. But $g(z) = \lim_\alpha \hat{f}(z_\alpha, \omega_\alpha)$, a contradiction showing that $\hat{f} \in C(\hat{\Pi}_\alpha(Z_0, \hat{K}_\alpha))$. Hence, $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{O}(\hat{\Pi}_\alpha(Z_0, \hat{K}_\alpha))$. \square

Lemma 11.2. *We have $\cup_{i=1}^m \hat{L}_\alpha \cdot j_\alpha(g_i) = \hat{G}_\alpha$.*

(Recall that \hat{L}_α is the closure of $j_\alpha(L)$ in \hat{G}_α .)

Proof. Indeed, $\cup_{i=1}^m \hat{L}_a \cdot j_a(g_i)$ is a closed subset of \hat{G}_a containing $j_a(G)$, as by the assumption of the theorem $\cup_{i=1}^m L \cdot g_i = G$. Since set $j_a(G)$ is dense in \hat{G}_a , we obtain that $\cup_{i=1}^m \hat{L}_a \cdot j_a(g_i) = \hat{G}_a$. \square

We now complete the proof of Theorem 2.21 by means of an analytic continuation-type argument.

Let us consider the open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_{0,\gamma}\}$ of X_0 and the corresponding system of trivializations $\psi_\gamma: p^{-1}(U_{0,\gamma} \times G) \rightarrow U_{0,\gamma} \times G$ of the covering $p: X_0 \rightarrow X$ introduced in Subsection 2.4. (Recall that $\Pi_\gamma(U_{0,\gamma}, S) := \psi_\gamma^{-1}(U_0 \times S)$, $S \subset G$). This system determines a system of trivializations $\bar{\psi}_\gamma: \hat{\Pi}_{a,\gamma}(U_{0,\gamma}, L) \rightarrow U_{0,\gamma} \times L$, $L \subset \hat{G}_a$, of the fibrewise compactification $\bar{p}: c_a X \rightarrow X_0$, see Subsection 5.1. Passing to a refinement of \mathcal{U} , if necessary, we may and will assume without loss of generality that all nonempty sets $U_{0,\gamma} \cap U_{0,\delta}$ are connected and simply connected, and that $U_0 = U_{0,\gamma_*}$ for γ_* from the statement of the theorem.

First, we will prove that

$$(*) \quad \text{there exists a function } \hat{f}_{U_0} \in \mathcal{O}(\bar{p}^{-1}(U_0)) \text{ such that } \iota^* \hat{f}_{U_0} = f|_{p^{-1}(U_0)}.$$

Let us fix $1 \leq i \leq m$.

Lemma 11.3. *There exist families $\{U_{0,\gamma_l}\}_{l=1}^{s(i)} \subset \mathcal{U}$ and $\{K_l\}_{l=1}^{s(i)} \subset G$ such that*

- (1) $\gamma_1 = \gamma_{s(i)} = \gamma_*$, $K_1 := K$ and $K_{s(i)} = K \cdot g_i$,
- (2) $U_{0,\gamma_l} \cap U_{0,\gamma_{l+1}} \neq \emptyset$ for all $1 \leq l \leq s(i) - 1$, and
- (3) $\Pi_{\gamma_l}(U_{0,\gamma_l} \cap U_{0,\gamma_{l+1}}, K_l) = \Pi_{\gamma_{l+1}}(U_{0,\gamma_l} \cap U_{0,\gamma_{l+1}}, K_{l+1})$ for all $1 \leq l \leq s(i) - 1$.

Proof. Take $x_0 \in U_0$ and define $y_0 := \psi_{\gamma_*}^{-1}(x_0, 1)$. Since covering $p: X \rightarrow X_0$ is regular, there exists a continuous path joining y_0 and $g_i \cdot y_0$ obtained as the lift of a loop $\gamma_0: [0, 1] \rightarrow X_0$ with basepoint x_0 . Then there exist a partition $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_{s(i)} = 1$ of $[0, 1]$ and a family $\{U_{0,\gamma_l}\}_{l=1}^{s(i)} \subset \mathcal{U}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_0([0, 1]) &\subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{s(i)} U_{0,\gamma_i}; \quad U_{0,\gamma_1} = U_{0,\gamma_{s(i)}} := U_0 (= U_{0,\gamma_*}); \\ \gamma_0([t_i, t_{i+1}]) &\subset U_{0,\gamma_{i+1}} \quad \forall i \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we define $K_1 = K$ and $K_{l+1} := K_l \cdot c_{\gamma_l \gamma_{l+1}}$ for all $1 \leq l \leq s(i) - 1$ (note that $U_{0,\gamma_l} \cap U_{0,\gamma_{l+1}} \neq \emptyset$ by our construction), where $\{c_{\delta\gamma}\}$ is the 1-cocycle on \mathcal{U} determining covering $p: X \rightarrow X_0$ (see Subsection 2.4). Clearly, conditions (1)–(3) are satisfied. \square

Further, using Lemma 11.1 we can find a function $\hat{f}_1 \in \mathcal{O}(\hat{\Pi}_{a,\gamma_1}(U_{0,\gamma_1}, \hat{K}_{1a}))$ such that $\iota^* \hat{f}_1 = f$ on $\Pi_{\gamma_1}(U_{0,\gamma_1}, K_1)$. Since the open set $U_{0,\gamma_1} \cap U_{0,\gamma_2} (\neq \emptyset)$ is a uniqueness set for functions in $\mathcal{O}(U_{0,\gamma_2})$, we can apply Lemma 11.1 to $f|_{\Pi_{\gamma_2}(U_{0,\gamma_2}, K_2)}$ to find a function $\hat{f}_2 \in \mathcal{O}(\hat{\Pi}_{a,\gamma_2}(U_{0,\gamma_2}, \hat{K}_{2a}))$ such that $\iota^* \hat{f}_2 = f$ on $\Pi_{\gamma_2}(U_{0,\gamma_2}, K_2)$. (Indeed, as the set Z in the lemma we can take $\Pi_{\gamma_2}(V, K_2)$, where V is a compact subset of $U_{0,\gamma_1} \cap U_{0,\gamma_2}$ with nonempty interior. Then $f = \iota^* \hat{f}_1$ on Z and the continuous function \hat{f}_1 defined on compact subset $\hat{\Pi}_{a,\gamma_1}(U_{0,\gamma_1}, \hat{K}_{1a})$ of $c_a X$ admits a continuous extension to $c_a X$ by the Tietze–Urysohn theorem. Thus, $f \in C_a(Z)$,

as required in the lemma.) We repeat this construction for $3 \leq l \leq s(i)$ to obtain functions $\hat{f}_l \in \mathcal{O}(\hat{\Pi}_{\mathfrak{a}, \gamma_l}(U_{0, \gamma_l}, \hat{K}_{l\mathfrak{a}}))$ such that $\iota^* \hat{f}_l = f$ on $\Pi_{\gamma_l}(U_{0, \gamma_l}, K_l)$. Using these arguments for all $1 \leq i \leq m$ we obtain functions $\hat{f}_{s(i)} \in \mathcal{O}(\hat{\Pi}_{\mathfrak{a}, \gamma_*}(U_{0, \gamma_*}, \hat{K}_{\mathfrak{a}} \cdot j_{\mathfrak{a}}(g_i)))$ such that $\iota^* \hat{f}_{s(i)} = f|_{\Pi_{\gamma_*}(U_{\gamma_*}, K \cdot g_i)}$.

Let $K_{\mathfrak{a}}^\circ$ denote the interior of $\hat{K}_{\mathfrak{a}}$. Then $K_{\mathfrak{a}}^\circ \cdot j_{\mathfrak{a}}(g_i)$ is the interior of $\hat{K}_{\mathfrak{a}} \cdot j_{\mathfrak{a}}(g_i)$ for all $i \geq 1$. We have $\hat{f}_{s(i)} = \hat{f}_{s(j)}$ on $\hat{\Pi}_{\mathfrak{a}, \gamma_*}(U_{0, \gamma_*}, K_{\mathfrak{a}}^\circ \cdot j_{\mathfrak{a}}(g_i)) \cap \hat{\Pi}_{\mathfrak{a}, \gamma_*}(U_{0, \gamma_*}, K_{\mathfrak{a}}^\circ \cdot j_{\mathfrak{a}}(g_j)) \neq \emptyset$ since by our construction these functions are continuous and coincide on dense subset $\iota(\Pi_{\gamma_*}(U_{0, \gamma_*}, K \cdot g_i) \cap \Pi_{\gamma_*}(U_{0, \gamma_*}, K \cdot g_j))$ of the latter set. Finally, by Lemma 11.2, $\cup_{i=1}^m \hat{L}_{\mathfrak{a}} \cdot j_{\mathfrak{a}}(g_i) = \hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}}$, and since $\hat{L}_{\mathfrak{a}} \subset K_{\mathfrak{a}}^\circ$ by the assumption of the theorem, we have $\cup_{i=1}^m K_{\mathfrak{a}}^\circ \cdot j_{\mathfrak{a}}(g_i) = \hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}}$. This shows that $\bar{p}^{-1}(U_0) = \cup_{i=1}^m \hat{\Pi}_{\mathfrak{a}, \gamma_*}(U_{0, \gamma_*}, K_{\mathfrak{a}}^\circ \cdot j_{\mathfrak{a}}(g_i))$. Therefore $\hat{f}_{U_0}|_{\hat{\Pi}_{\mathfrak{a}, \gamma_*}(U_{0, \gamma_*}, K_{\mathfrak{a}}^\circ \cdot j_{\mathfrak{a}}(g_i))} := \hat{f}_{s(i)}$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, is a function in $\mathcal{O}(\bar{p}^{-1}(U_0))$ satisfying (*) as required. By definition (cf. (2.3)), $f|_{p^{-1}(U_0)} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(p^{-1}(U_0))$.

Let $W_0 \subset X_0$ be the maximal connected open subset which consists of unions of elements of the cover \mathcal{U} and such that $f|_{p^{-1}(W_0)} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(p^{-1}(W_0))$. (Existence of W_0 follows from Zorn's lemma; also, $U_0 \subset W_0$.) Let us show that $W_0 = X_0$. Assuming the contrary, we find (because of connectedness of X_0) a subset $U'_0 \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $W_0 \cap U'_0 \neq \emptyset$ and W_0 is a proper subset of the open connected set $W_0 \cup U'_0$. Now in conditions of Theorem 2.21 we replace U_0 , Z and K by sets U'_0 , $Z' := p^{-1}(Z'_0)$, where $Z'_0 \Subset U'_0 \cap U_0$ is compact with nonempty interior (hence, a uniqueness set for functions in $\mathcal{O}(U'_0)$), and $K' := G$, respectively. Since $f|_{p^{-1}(U_0)} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(p^{-1}(U_0))$, we have $f|_{Z'} \in C_{\mathfrak{a}}(Z')$. Therefore claim (*) in this setting gives a function $\hat{f}_{U'_0} \in \mathcal{O}(\bar{p}^{-1}(U'_0))$ such that $\iota^* \hat{f}_{U'_0} = f|_{p^{-1}(U'_0)}$, i.e., $f|_{p^{-1}(U'_0)} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(p^{-1}(U'_0))$. Since $f|_{p^{-1}(W_0)} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(p^{-1}(W_0))$, this implies that $f|_{p^{-1}(W_0 \cup U'_0)} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(p^{-1}(W_0 \cup U'_0))$ contradicting the maximality of W_0 . Thus, $W_0 = X_0$ and $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(X)$.

The proof of the theorem is complete. \square

Proof of Proposition 2.23. (a) \Rightarrow (b). Suppose that there exist $g_1, \dots, g_m \in G$ such that $\cup_{i=1}^m K \cdot g_i = G$. Let us show that the closure $\hat{K}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ of $j(K)$, $j := j_{\mathfrak{a}}$, in $\hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ has a nonempty interior $\hat{K}_{\mathfrak{a}}^\circ$. Indeed, by Lemma 11.2, $\cup_{i=1}^m \hat{K}_{\mathfrak{a}} \cdot j(g_i) = \hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}}$. Assuming that $\hat{K}_{\mathfrak{a}} \neq \hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ (in this case the statement is trivial) we may choose $1 \leq k \leq m-1$ such that $K' = \cup_{i=1}^k \hat{K}_{\mathfrak{a}} \cdot j(g_i)$ does not cover $\hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ but $\cup_{i=1}^{k+1} \hat{K}_{\mathfrak{a}} \cdot j(g_i) = \hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}}$. Thus the complement of K' is a nonempty open subset of $\hat{K}_{\mathfrak{a}} \cdot j(g_{k+1})$. This implies that $\hat{K}_{\mathfrak{a}}^\circ \neq \emptyset$.

(b) \Rightarrow (c). Let $U \subset \hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ be open. Since $j(G)$ is a dense subgroup of the compact topological group $\hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}}$, the set $\cup_{g \in G} U \cdot j(g)$ coincides with $\hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}}$. (For otherwise, there exists $v \in \hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ such that the closure in $\hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ of the set $\{v \cdot j(g)\}_{g \in G}$ is a proper subset of $\hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ which contradicts the density of $j(G)$ in $\hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}}$.) Thus there exist $g_1, \dots, g_m \in G$ such that $\cup_{i=1}^m U \cdot j(g_i) = \hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}}$. This implies that $\cup_{i=1}^m j^{-1}(U) \cdot g_i = G$.

Now, suppose that $K \subset G$ is such that $\hat{K}_{\mathfrak{a}}^\circ \neq \emptyset$. Choose an open set $U \Subset \hat{K}_{\mathfrak{a}}^\circ$ and define $L := j^{-1}(U) \subset G$. The previous argument shows that the pair $L \subset K$ satisfies conditions of Theorem 2.21.

(c) \Rightarrow (a). Follows from the definitions. \square

12. Proofs of Proposition 2.24 and Theorems 2.26, 2.28 and 2.29

12.1. Proof of Proposition 2.24

It is easy to see that any function $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(X)$ is locally Lipschitz with respect to metric d (see Section 1), i.e.,

$$(12.1) \quad |f(x_1, g) - f(x_2, g)| \leq Cd((x_1, g), (x_2, g)) = Cd_0(x_1, x_2)$$

for all $(x_1, g), (x_2, g) \in W_0 \times G \cong p^{-1}(W_0)$, where $W_0 \Subset X_0$ is a simply connected coordinate chart. (Here C depends on d_0 and W_0 only.) We set $f_{x_0} := f|_{p^{-1}(x_0)} \in \mathfrak{a}$, $x_0 \in X_0$, and define

$$\tilde{f}(x_0) := f_{x_0}, \quad x_0 \in X_0.$$

Then \tilde{f} is a section of bundle $C_{\mathfrak{a}}X_0$. Using (12.1) for any linear functional $\varphi \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ we have $\varphi(\tilde{f}(x)(g)) := \varphi(f(x, g)) \in \mathcal{O}(W_0)$, $g \in G$, $x \in W_0 \Subset X_0$, a simply connected coordinate chart, see [44] or [8] for similar arguments. Thus \tilde{f} is a holomorphic section of $C_{\mathfrak{a}}X_0$. Reversing these arguments we obtain that any holomorphic section of $C_{\mathfrak{a}}X_0$ determines a holomorphic \mathfrak{a} -function on X . \square

12.2. Proof of Theorem 2.26

Let B be a (complex) Banach space. We define

$$\mathcal{A}(D_0, B) := C(\bar{D}_0, B) \cap \mathcal{O}(D_0, B).$$

Consider a family of bounded linear operators $\mathcal{L}_z^B : B \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(D_0, B)$, $z \in D_0$, holomorphic in z such that $\mathcal{L}_z^B(b) = b$ for every $b \in B$ and $\sup_{z \in D_0} \|\mathcal{L}_z^B\| = 1$ defined by the formula

$$\mathcal{L}_z^B(b)(x) := b \quad \text{for all } x \in D_0.$$

We use notation and results of Subsection 2.5.1. Namely, we identify functions in algebra \mathfrak{a}_z , $z \in D_0$, with sections over z of the holomorphic Banach vector bundle $\tilde{p} : C_{\mathfrak{a}}X_0 \rightarrow X_0$ associated to the principal fibre bundle $p : X \rightarrow X_0$ and having fibre \mathfrak{a} , and functions in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(D)$ with holomorphic sections of $\mathcal{O}(C_{\mathfrak{a}}X_0)|_{D_0}$. Recall that there is a holomorphic Banach vector bundle E such that $C_{\mathfrak{a}}X_0 \oplus E = X_0 \times B$ for some Banach space B . By $q : X_0 \times B \rightarrow C_{\mathfrak{a}}X_0$ and $i : C_{\mathfrak{a}}X_0 \rightarrow X_0 \times B$, $q \circ i = \text{Id}$, we denote the corresponding bundle morphisms. Now, for every $h \in \mathfrak{a}_z$ we define

$$L_z(h) := (q \circ \mathcal{L}_z^B \circ i)(h) \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{a}}(D).$$

Clearly, the family $\{L_z\}_{z \in D_0}$ satisfies conditions (1), (2) of Theorem 2.26. \square

12.3. Proof of Theorem 2.28

The arguments below are analogous to those in [7].

Using the construction of Subsection 2.5.1 we identify functions in $C_{\mathfrak{a}}(X)$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(X)$ with continuous and holomorphic sections of the holomorphic Banach vector bundle $\tilde{p}: C_{\mathfrak{a}}X_0 \rightarrow X_0$ associated to the principal fibre bundle $p: X \rightarrow X_0$ and having fibre \mathfrak{a} . Further, there exist holomorphic Banach vector bundles $p_1: E_1 \rightarrow X_0$ and $p_2: E_2 \rightarrow X_0$ with fibres B_1 and B_2 such that $E_2 = E_1 \oplus C_{\mathfrak{a}}X_0$ and E_2 is holomorphically trivial, i.e., $E_2 \cong X_0 \times B_2$ (see, e.g., [55]); so continuous and holomorphic sections of E_2 can be identified with B_2 -valued continuous and holomorphic functions on X_0 . By $q: E_2 \rightarrow C_{\mathfrak{a}}X_0$ and $i: C_{\mathfrak{a}}X_0 \rightarrow E_2$ we denote the corresponding quotient and embedding homomorphisms of the bundles so that $q \circ i = \text{Id}$.

As before we identify function f satisfying the hypothesis of Theorem 2.28 with a continuous section of $C_{\mathfrak{a}}X_0$ over ∂D_0 . Then $h := i(f) \in C(\partial D_0, B_2)$. Since $f \in C_{\mathfrak{a}}(\partial D)$ satisfies the tangential Cauchy–Riemann equations, h satisfies the weak tangential Cauchy–Riemann equations on ∂D_0 :

$$\int_{\partial D_0} (\varphi \circ h) \bar{\partial} \omega = 0,$$

for any smooth form $\omega \in \Lambda^{n,n-2}(X_0)$ having compact support and any $\varphi \in B_2^*$. Hence, applying the Hartogs-type theorem of [36] to functions $\varphi \circ h$ we obtain that there exists a function $H \in \mathcal{O}(D_0, B_2^{**}) \cap C(\bar{D}_0, B_2^{**})$, where the second dual B_2^{**} of B_2 is considered with weak* topology, such that $H|_{\partial D_0} = h$ (here B_2 is naturally identified with its isometric copy in B_2^{**}).

Now, we use the integral representation result of Corollary 5.4 in [28], asserting that there exist a compact subset $S \subset \bar{D}_0 \setminus D_0$, a positive Radon measure μ on S and a function Q on $D_0 \times S$ such that (a) $Q(\cdot, y)$ is holomorphic for all $y \in S$; (b) $Q(x, \cdot)$ is μ -integrable for all $x \in D_0$; (c) $x \mapsto \int_S |Q(x, y)| d\mu(y)$ is continuous; (d) for any function $w \in \mathcal{O}(D_0) \cap C(\bar{D}_0)$

$$w(x) = \int_S Q(x, y) f(y) d\mu(y) \quad \text{for all } x \in D_0.$$

Using the Bochner integration we define

$$(12.2) \quad H'(x) := \int_M Q(x, y) h(y) d\mu(y), \quad x \in D_0.$$

Then $H' \in C(D_0, B_2)$. Since the Bochner integral commutes with the action of bounded linear functionals, $\varphi \circ H' = \varphi \circ H$ on D_0 for all $\varphi \in B_2^*$. Thus, $H' = H$ on D_0 and so $H \in \mathcal{O}(D_0, (B_2, w)) \cap C(\bar{D}_0, (B_2, w))$, where (B_2, w) is B_2 equipped with weak topology, and $H \in \mathcal{O}(D_0, B_2)$.

Now, the required holomorphic extension of f is given by $F := q(H')$. Indeed, by our construction $F|_{D_0} \in \mathcal{O}(D_0, C_{\mathfrak{a}}X_0)$. By Proposition 2.24, $F|_{D_0}$ can be viewed as a function in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}(D)$. Further, since map q is continuous also if we equip fibres of the corresponding bundles with weak topologies, F is a continuous section of $(C_{\mathfrak{a}}X_0, w)$ over \bar{D}_0 , i.e., of $C_{\mathfrak{a}}X_0$ with fibres endowed with weak topology. Using presentation (2.9) of $C_{\mathfrak{a}}X_0$ and evaluation functionals at points of G , we easily

obtain from the weak continuity of F that considered as a function on X it is continuous up to the boundary. Hence, $F \in \mathcal{O}_a(D) \cap C(\bar{D})$ and $F|_{\partial D} = f$, as required. \square

12.4. Proof of Theorem 2.29

Let D_0 be a relatively compact subdomain of X_0 , $D := p^{-1}(D_0)$. We set $\mathcal{A}_a(D) := \mathcal{O}_a(D) \cap C_a(\bar{D})$. By $\mathcal{A}_\iota(D)$ we denote the space of holomorphic functions $f \in \mathcal{A}_a(D)$ such that for every $x_0 \in \bar{D}_0$ the function $g \mapsto f(g \cdot x)$ ($g \in G$, $x \in p^{-1}(x_0)$) is in \mathfrak{a}_ι , and by $\mathcal{A}_0(D)$ the \mathbb{C} -linear hull of spaces $\mathcal{A}_\iota(D)$, $\iota \in I$.

Theorem 2.29 is a corollary of the following result.

Theorem 12.1. *If X_0 is a Stein manifold and $D_0 \subset X_0$ is a strictly pseudoconvex domain, then $\mathcal{A}_0(D)$ is dense in $\mathcal{A}_a(D)$.*

First, we deduce Theorem 2.29 from Theorem 12.1 and then prove the latter.

By $C_{\mathfrak{a}_\iota}X_0$ ($\iota \in I$) we denote the holomorphic Banach vector bundle associated to the principal fibre bundle $p: X \rightarrow X_0$ and having fibre \mathfrak{a}_ι (see (2.9)). For a given open subset $D_0 \subset X_0$ by $\mathcal{O}(D_0, C_{\mathfrak{a}_\iota}X_0)$ we denote the space of holomorphic sections of bundle $C_{\mathfrak{a}_\iota}X_0$ over D_0 endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets of D_0 which makes it a Fréchet space. We have an isomorphism of Fréchet spaces

$$(12.3) \quad \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}_\iota}(D) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{O}(D_0, C_{\mathfrak{a}_\iota}X_0)$$

(the proof repeats literally that of Proposition 2.24).

Let X_0 be a Stein manifold, $Y_0 \Subset X_0$ be open such that \bar{Y}_0 is holomorphically convex, and $D_0 \subset X_0$ be an open neighbourhood of \bar{Y}_0 . We set $Y := p^{-1}(Y_0)$.

Proposition 12.2. *Let $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}_\iota}(D)$. For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $h \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}_\iota}(X)$ such that $\sup_{z \in Y} |f(z) - h(z)| < \varepsilon$.*

Proof. We need the following approximation result established in Theorem C of [16].

Let B be a complex Banach space and $\mathcal{O}(X_0, B)$ the space of B -valued holomorphic functions on X_0 .

(\diamond) Let $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{O}(D_0, B)$. For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\hat{h} \in \mathcal{O}(X_0, B)$ such that $\sup_{z \in Y_0} \|\hat{f}(z) - \hat{h}(z)\|_B < \varepsilon$.

Further, since X_0 is a Stein manifold, there exist holomorphic Banach vector bundles $p_1: E_1 \rightarrow X_0$ and $p_2: E_2 \rightarrow X_0$ with fibres B_1 and B_2 such that $E_2 = E_1 \oplus C_{\mathfrak{a}_\iota}X_0$ and E_2 is holomorphically trivial, i.e., $E_2 \cong X_0 \times B_2$ (cf. the proof of Theorem 2.28). Thus, any holomorphic section of E_2 can be naturally identified with a B_2 -valued holomorphic function on X_0 . By $q: E_2 \rightarrow C_{\mathfrak{a}_\iota}X_0$ and $i: C_{\mathfrak{a}_\iota}X_0 \rightarrow E_2$ we denote the corresponding quotient and embedding homomorphisms of these bundles so that $q \circ i = \text{Id}$. Given a function $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}_\iota}(D)$ by $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{O}(D_0, C_{\mathfrak{a}_\iota}X_0)$ we denote its image under isomorphism (12.3).

Set $\tilde{f} := i(\hat{f}) \in \mathcal{O}(D_0, B_2)$. By (\diamond) , for every $\tilde{\varepsilon} > 0$ there exists a function $\tilde{h} \in \mathcal{O}(X_0, B_2)$ such that $\sup_{z \in Y_0} \|\tilde{f}(z) - \tilde{h}(z)\|_{B_2} < \tilde{\varepsilon}$. We define $\hat{h} := q(\tilde{h}) \in \mathcal{O}(X_0, C_{\alpha_\varepsilon} X_0)$ and by $h \in \mathcal{O}_{\alpha_\varepsilon}(X)$ denote the image of \hat{h} under the inverse isomorphism in (12.3). The continuity of i and q and the compactness of \bar{Y}_0 now imply that $\sup_{z \in Y} |f(z) - h(z)| < C\tilde{\varepsilon}$ for some $C > 0$ independent of \hat{f} and $\tilde{\varepsilon}$. \square

Using this proposition we complete the proof of Theorem 2.29 as follows.

Let $f \in \mathcal{O}_\alpha(X)$. It suffices to show that for a sequence $Y_{0,1} \Subset \dots \Subset Y_{0,k} \Subset \dots$ of open subsets of X_0 such that $\cup_k Y_{0,k} = X_0$ and for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist functions $h_k \in \mathcal{O}_0(X)$ such that $\sup_{x \in Y_k} |f(x) - h_k(x)| < \varepsilon/k$, where $Y_k := p^{-1}(Y_{0,k})$. Since X_0 is a Stein manifold, we may assume without loss of generality that each $\bar{Y}_{0,k}$ is holomorphically convex. Then there is a strictly pseudoconvex open neighbourhood $D_{0,k} \Subset X_0$ of $\bar{Y}_{0,k}$, $k \geq 1$ (see, e.g., [35]). Since restriction $f|_{\bar{D}_k} \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha(D_k)$, $D_k := p^{-1}(D_{0,k})$, by Theorem 12.1 there exist functions $h'_k \in \mathcal{A}_0(D_k)$ such that $\sup_{x \in D_k} |f(x) - h'_k(x)| < \varepsilon/(2k)$, $k \geq 1$. By the definition of space $\mathcal{A}_0(D_k)$, there exists $\iota_k \in I$ such that $h'_k \in \mathcal{A}_{\iota_k}(D_k)$. Now, by Proposition 12.2, there exists $h_k \in \mathcal{O}_{\alpha_{\iota_k}}(X)$ such that $\sup_{x \in Y_k} |h'_k(x) - h_k(x)| < \varepsilon/(2k)$. Thus, $\sup_{x \in Y_k} |f(x) - h_k(x)| < \varepsilon/k$. Since $\mathcal{O}_{\alpha_{\iota_k}}(X) \subset \mathcal{O}_0(X)$, this implies the required result modulo Theorem 12.1. \square

Proof of Theorem 12.1. By $\mathcal{A}(D_0, C_\alpha X_0)$ and $\mathcal{A}(D_0, C_{\alpha_\varepsilon} X_0)$ we denote spaces of sections of bundles $C_\alpha X_0|_{\bar{D}_0}$ and $C_{\alpha_\varepsilon} X_0|_{\bar{D}_0}$ continuous over \bar{D}_0 and holomorphic on D_0 . We equip $\mathcal{A}(D_0, C_\alpha X_0)$ with norm $\|f\| := \sup_{x \in \bar{D}_0} \|f(x)\|_\alpha$ which makes it a Banach space. Then $\mathcal{A}(D_0, C_{\alpha_\varepsilon} X_0)$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{A}(D_0, C_\alpha X_0)$. Also, we define linear space

$$\mathcal{A}_0(D_0, C_\alpha X_0) := \bigcup_{\iota \in I} \mathcal{A}(D_0, C_{\alpha_\iota} X_0).$$

We have natural isomorphisms of vector spaces defined similarly to that of Proposition 2.24:

$$(12.4) \quad \mathcal{A}_{\alpha_\varepsilon}(D) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{A}(D_0, C_{\alpha_\varepsilon} X_0), \quad \mathcal{A}_0(D) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{A}_0(D_0, C_\alpha X_0)$$

(the proof is analogous to the proof of Proposition 2.24). In view of (12.4), Theorem 12.1 can be restated as follows:

- (*) Suppose that X_0 is a Stein manifold and $D_0 \Subset X_0$ is a strictly pseudoconvex subdomain. Then $\mathcal{A}_0(D_0, C_\alpha X_0)$ is dense in $\mathcal{A}(D_0, C_\alpha X_0)$.

For the proof of this claim we need the following results.

As before, we define

$$\mathcal{A}(D_0, B) := \mathcal{O}(D_0, B) \cap C(\bar{D}_0, B)$$

and endow this space with norm $\|f\|_{\bar{D}_0} := \sup_{x \in \bar{D}_0} \|f(x)\|_B$. The next result follows easily from a similar result in [35] (in case $B = \mathbb{C}$) since all integral formulas and estimates used in its proof are preserved when passing to Banach-valued forms.

Lemma 12.3. *Let $K \subset \mathcal{A}(D_0, B)$ be compact. For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist an open neighbourhood $D'_0 \Subset X_0$ of \bar{D}_0 and a bounded linear operator $A_{K,\varepsilon} = A_{D_0,K,\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}(D_0, B), \mathcal{A}(D'_0, B))$ such that $\|f - Af|_{\bar{D}_0}\|_{\bar{D}_0} < \varepsilon$ for each $f \in K$.*

We prove assertion $(*)$ in three steps.

(1) Let $f \in \mathcal{A}(D_0, C_{\mathfrak{a}} X_0)$. Using the construction of Subsection 2.5.1 (cf. the proof of Theorem 2.28) and Lemma 12.3, we may assume without loss of generality that $f = f'|_{\bar{D}_0}$, where $f' \in \mathcal{O}(D'_0, C_{\mathfrak{a}} X_0)$ and $D'_0 \Subset X_0$ is an open neighbourhood of \bar{D}_0 .

We have to show that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a section $F \in \mathcal{A}_0(D_0, C_{\mathfrak{a}} X_0)$ such that $\sup_{x \in \bar{D}_0} \|f(x) - F(x)\|_{\mathfrak{a}} < \varepsilon$.

(2) Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_k\}_{k=1}^M$, where each $U_k \Subset D'_0$ is open biholomorphic to a polydisk in \mathbb{C}^n , and $D_0 \Subset \cup_{k=1}^M U_k$.

Lemma 12.4. *For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist a subspace $\mathfrak{a}_{\iota_\varepsilon} \subset \mathfrak{a}$ ($\iota_\varepsilon \in I$) and sections $F_{\varepsilon,k} \in \mathcal{A}(U_k, C_{\mathfrak{a}_{\iota_\varepsilon}} X_0)$ such that*

$$(12.5) \quad \|f'(x) - F_{\varepsilon,k}(x)\|_{\mathfrak{a}} < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } x \in U_k, \quad 1 \leq k \leq M.$$

Proof. Since each U_k , $1 \leq k \leq M$, is simply connected, the bundles $C_{\mathfrak{a}} X_0$ and $C_{\mathfrak{a}_\iota} X_0$ ($\iota \in I$) admit holomorphic trivializations over U_k . Using these trivializations we identify sections of these bundles over U_k with \mathfrak{a} -valued and \mathfrak{a}_ι -valued functions on U_k .

By our assumption, for every $1 \leq k \leq M$ there exists a biholomorphism between U_k and an open polydisk $\Delta \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ centered at 0. Without loss of generality we may assume that $f'_k := f'|_{U_k}$ is defined over an open neighbourhood of $\bar{\Delta}$. Then f'_k can be identified by means of the corresponding holomorphic trivialization of bundle $C_{\mathfrak{a}} X_0$ with a holomorphic \mathfrak{a} -valued function defined on an open neighbourhood of $\bar{\Delta}$.

For a given function $h \in \mathcal{O}(\Delta, \mathfrak{a})$ by $T_0^N h$ we denote its Taylor polynomial of degree N at $x = 0$. Choose N so large that

$$\|f'_k(x) - T_0^N f'_k(x)\|_{\mathfrak{a}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{for all } x \in \Delta, \quad 1 \leq k \leq M,$$

where $T_0^N f'_k(x) := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} a_{k,\alpha} x^\alpha$, $a_{k,\alpha} \in \mathfrak{a}$, and α is a multi-index. Since \mathfrak{a}_0 is dense in \mathfrak{a} , for every $\delta > 0$ and all $1 \leq k \leq M$, $|\alpha| \leq N$, there exist $a_{k,\alpha}^\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{a}_0$ such that $\|a_{k,\alpha} - a_{k,\alpha}^\varepsilon\|_{\mathfrak{a}} < \delta$. We choose $\delta > 0$ to be sufficiently small so that

$$\sup_{x \in \Delta} \left\| \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} a_{k,\alpha} x^\alpha - F_{\varepsilon,k}(x) \right\|_{\mathfrak{a}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2},$$

where $F_{\varepsilon,k}(x) := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} a_{k,\alpha}^\varepsilon x^\alpha$. Therefore,

$$\|f'_k(x) - F_{\varepsilon,k}(x)\|_{\mathfrak{a}} < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } x \in \Delta, \quad 1 \leq k \leq M.$$

By definition, there exists $\iota_\varepsilon \in I$ such that $\mathfrak{a}_{\iota_\varepsilon}$ contains all $a_{k,\alpha}^\varepsilon$ ($1 \leq k \leq M$, $|\alpha| \leq N$); hence $F_{\varepsilon,k} \in \mathcal{A}(\Delta, \mathfrak{a}_{\iota_\varepsilon})$. \square

(3) We also need the following result.

Lemma 12.5. *In notation of Lemma 12.4, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a section $F \in \mathcal{A}(D_0, C_{\alpha_{\varepsilon}} X_0) \subset \mathcal{A}_0(D_0, C_{\alpha} X_0)$ such that*

$$\|F(x) - F_{\varepsilon,k}(x)\|_{\alpha} < C\varepsilon \quad \text{for all } x \in U_k \cap \bar{D}_0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq M,$$

for some $C > 0$ independent of section $f' \in \mathcal{A}_0(D'_0, C_{\alpha} X_0)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$.

Proof. There exists an open neighbourhood $D''_0 \Subset D'_0$ of \bar{D}_0 such that $D''_0 \Subset \cup_{k=1}^M U_k$. We may assume without loss of generality that D''_0 is strictly pseudoconvex. Let B be a complex space. By $\Lambda_b^{(0,q)}(D''_0, B)$, $q \geq 0$, we denote the space of bounded continuous B -valued $(0, q)$ -forms on D''_0 endowed with norm $\|\cdot\|_{D''_0, B}^{(0,q)}$ defined by formula (6.1) with respect to local coordinates on the cover $\{U_k\}_{k=1}^M$ of D''_0 .

Next, we define a holomorphic 1-cocycle as follows. If $U_k \cap U_l \neq \emptyset$, then

$$g_{kl} := F_{\varepsilon,k}|_{U_k \cap U_l \cap D''_0} - F_{\varepsilon,l}|_{U_k \cap U_l \cap D''_0} \in \mathcal{A}(U_k \cap U_l \cap D''_0, C_{\alpha_{\varepsilon}} X_0),$$

and $g_{kl} := 0$ if $U_k \cap U_l \cap D''_0 = \emptyset$.

Let $\{\rho_k\}_{k=1}^M \subset C^\infty(X_0)$ be a collection of nonnegative functions such that $\text{supp}(\rho_k) \Subset U_k$, $1 \leq k \leq M$, and $\sum_{k=1}^M \rho_k \equiv 1$ on \bar{D}_0'' .

We set $\tilde{g}_l := \sum_{k=1}^M \rho_k g_{kl} \in C^\infty(U_l \cap D''_0)$ so that $g_{kl} = \tilde{g}_k - \tilde{g}_l$ on $U_k \cap U_l \cap D''_0$. Then the family $\{\partial\tilde{g}_l\}$ determines a $\bar{\partial}$ -closed $(0, 1)$ -form ω on D''_0 , $\omega := \bar{\partial}\tilde{g}_l$ on $U_l \cap D''_0$, taking values in bundle $C_{\alpha_{\varepsilon}} X_0$.

Recall (Subsection 2.5) that since X_0 is a Stein manifold, there exists a holomorphic Banach vector bundle E such that $C_{\alpha_{\varepsilon}} X_0 \oplus E = X_0 \times B$ for some Banach space B . By $q : X_0 \times B \rightarrow C_{\alpha_{\varepsilon}} X_0$ and $i : C_{\alpha} X_0 \rightarrow X_0 \times B$, $q \circ i = \text{Id}$, we denote the corresponding bundle morphisms.

Let $\tilde{\omega} := i(\omega) \in \Lambda_b^{(0,1)}(D''_0, B)$. Since q is a holomorphic bundle morphism, $\tilde{\omega}$ is $\bar{\partial}$ -closed. Moreover, according to Lemma 12.4,

$$\sup_{x \in U_k \cap U_l \cap D''_0} \|g_{kl}(x)\|_{\alpha} < 2\varepsilon \quad \text{for all } k, l.$$

Therefore by the construction of ω and by continuity of i and the fact that $D''_0 \Subset X_0$ we obtain that for some $c > 0$ independent of ω ,

$$\|\tilde{\omega}\|_{D''_0, B}^{(0,1)} \leq c\varepsilon.$$

Then by Lemma 6.1 there exists a function $\tilde{\eta} \in \Lambda_b^{0,0}(D''_0, B)$ such that $\bar{\partial}\tilde{\eta} = \tilde{\omega}$ and

$$\|\tilde{\eta}\|_{D''_0, B}^{(0,0)} \leq C_1 \|\tilde{\omega}\|_{D''_0, B}^{(0,1)} \leq C_1 c\varepsilon$$

for some $C_1 > 0$ independent of ω . We set $\eta := q(\tilde{\eta}) \in C^1(D''_0, C_{\alpha_{\varepsilon}} X_0)$. Since q is a holomorphic bundle morphism and $D''_0 \Subset X_0$,

$$\bar{\partial}\eta = \omega \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{x \in D''_0} \|\eta(x)\|_{\alpha} \leq C_2 C_1 c\varepsilon$$

for some $C_2 > 0$ independent of ω .

Since $D_0 \Subset D_0''$, the restriction $\eta|_{\bar{D}_0}$ is continuous on \bar{D}_0 . We define

$$F|_{U_k \cap \bar{D}_0} := F_{\varepsilon,k}|_{U_k \cap \bar{D}_0} - \tilde{g}_k|_{U_k \cap \bar{D}_0} + \eta|_{U_k \cap \bar{D}_0}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq M.$$

It follows that $F \in \mathcal{A}(D_0, C_{\alpha_\varepsilon} X_0)$ and

$$\sup_{x \in \bar{D}_0} \|F - F_{\varepsilon,k}\|_{\mathfrak{a}} \leq 2M\varepsilon + C_2 C_1 c \varepsilon =: C \varepsilon,$$

as required. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Assertion (*) now follows from Lemmas 12.4 and 12.5. The proof of Theorem 12.1 is complete. \square

13. Proofs of Theorems 5.10, 5.18 and Lemmas 5.13, 5.14

13.1. Proof of Theorem 5.10

We will use notation and results of Subsection 5.1.

By definition, every point in Y has a neighbourhood $V \subset Y$ over which, for every $N \geq 1$, there exists a free resolution

$$(13.1) \quad \mathcal{O}_Y^{m_{4N}}|_V \xrightarrow{\varphi_{4N-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} \mathcal{O}_Y^{m_2}|_V \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} \mathcal{O}_Y^{m_1}|_V \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} \mathcal{A}|_V \longrightarrow 0.$$

We need to show that sheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is coherent on $c_{\mathfrak{a}} X$, i.e., that every point $x \in c_{\mathfrak{a}} X$ has a neighbourhood $U \subset c_{\mathfrak{a}} X$ over which sheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}|_U$ has free resolutions of any finite length. If $x \in c_{\mathfrak{a}} X \setminus Y$, then we can choose U such that $U \cap Y = \emptyset$; hence, $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}|_U = 0$ trivially has free resolutions of any finite length. Now, let $x \in Y$. Shrinking V , if necessary, and applying Proposition 5.4 we can choose $U \ni x$ such that $V = Y \cap U$ and there exists a biholomorphism that maps U onto $U_0 \times K$, where $U_0 \subset X_0$ is biholomorphic to an open polydisk in \mathbb{C}^n , $K \subset \hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is open, and V is mapped onto $V_0 \times K$, where $V_0 \subset U_0$ is a complex submanifold. Thus, applying this biholomorphism we may assume that

$$U = U_0 \times K, \quad V = V_0 \times K.$$

We will need the following.

Lemma 13.1. *The trivial extension $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}_Y}$ of \mathcal{O}_Y has free resolutions of any finite length over U .*

Proof. By definition, $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}_Y}|_U$ is isomorphic to the quotient sheaf \mathcal{O}_U/I_V , where $\mathcal{O}_U := \mathcal{O}|_U$ is the sheaf of germs of holomorphic functions on U , $I_V \subset \mathcal{O}_U$ is the ideal sheaf of $V \subset U$, i.e., we have an exact sequence

$$(13.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow I_V \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_U \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{O}_Y}|_U \rightarrow 0.$$

Following the argument of the proof of Proposition 5.5, we obtain that sheaf I_V has free resolutions of any finite length over U . Then using a free resolution of I_V over U of length N , we extend (13.2) to a free resolution of $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}_Y}|_U$ of length $N+1$. Since N was chosen arbitrarily, this completes the proof. \square

Now we finish the proof of Theorem 5.10. Since sequence (13.1) is exact, the corresponding sequence of trivial extensions

$$(13.3) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_Y^{m_{4N}}|_U \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}_{4N-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}_2} \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_Y^{m_2}|_U \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}_1} \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_Y^{m_1}|_U \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}_0} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}|_U \longrightarrow 0$$

is also exact; here $\tilde{\varphi}_i := e \circ \varphi_i \circ r_{U,V}$, where $r_{U,V} : \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_Y|_U \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y|_V$ is the restriction homomorphism, and $e : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ is the canonical homomorphism that maps an analytic sheaf \mathcal{B} on V to its trivial extension $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ on U .

By Lemmas 7.15 and 7.17 in [15] sequence (13.1) truncated to the N -th term is completely exact over V (i.e., the corresponding sequence of sections is exact, see Definition 7.22 in [15]), therefore sequence (13.3) truncated to the N -th term is completely exact as well. Since by Lemma 13.1 each sheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_Y^{m_i}$ in (13.3) has free resolutions over U of any finite length, Lemma 9.3 in [15] implies that $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}|_U$ has free resolutions over U of any finite length as well. This implies that sheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is coherent on $c_{\mathfrak{a}} X$. \square

13.2. Proof of Lemma 5.13

We use the following consequence of Theorem 4.6 in [15]:

Lemma 13.2. *Let $V_{0,1}, V_{0,2} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be open and connected and $K_1, K_2 \subset \hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ be open. A map $F \in \mathcal{O}(V_{0,1} \times K_1, V_{0,2} \times K_2)$ admits presentation*

$$F(z, \omega) = (f_{\omega}(z), h(\omega)), \quad (z, \omega) \in V_{0,1} \times K_1,$$

where $f_{\omega} \in \mathcal{O}(V_{0,1}, V_{0,2})$ depend continuously on $\omega \in K_1$ and $h \in C(K_1, K_2)$.

Proof. Let $\pi^1 : V_{0,2} \times K_2 \rightarrow V_{0,2}$, $\pi^2 : V_{0,2} \times K_2 \rightarrow K_2$ be the natural projections. By Theorem 4.6 in [15], $(\pi^2 \circ F)(\cdot, \omega) \equiv \text{const}$ for all $\omega \in K_1$. Thus, we define $h \in C(K_1, K_2)$ as $h(\omega) := (\pi^2 \circ F)(\cdot, \omega)$, $\omega \in K_1$, and $f_{\omega}(z) := (\pi^1 \circ F)(z, \omega)$, $(z, \omega) \in V_{0,1} \times K_1$. \square

Now, suppose $\varphi_i \in \mathcal{O}(V, V_i \times K_i)$, where $V_i \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ are open and connected, $K_i \subset \hat{G}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ are open ($i = 1, 2$), are coordinate maps of an open subset $V \subset Y$. Let $F := \varphi_2 \circ \varphi_1^{-1}$. By the above lemma, $F(z, \omega) = (f_{\omega}(z), h(\omega))$ ($(z, \omega) \in V_1 \times K_1$), where $f_{\omega} \in \mathcal{O}(V_1, V_2)$ depend continuously on ω and $h \in C(K_1, K_2)$. Since F is a biholomorphism, $h : K_1 \rightarrow K_2$ is a homeomorphism. Then replacing F , if necessary, by the holomorphic map $G \circ F$, where $G(z, \omega) := (z, h^{-1}(w))$, $(z, \omega) \in V_2 \times K_2$, we may assume without loss of generality that $K_2 = K_1 =: K$ and that $h = \text{Id}$.

In order to prove the lemma it suffices to show that for each $p \in C^{\infty}(V_{0,2} \times K)$ its pullback $F^* p \in C^{\infty}(V_{0,1} \times K)$. Indeed, we have $(F^* p)(z, \omega) = p(f_{\omega}(z), \omega)$. Since $V_1 \ni z \mapsto f_{\omega}(z) = F(z, \cdot)$ is a holomorphic and, hence, a C^{∞} function taking values in the Fréchet space $C(K)$, the required result follows by the chain rule. \square

13.3. Proof of Lemma 5.14

(For similar arguments, see [11].)

Lemma 13.3. *For an open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\gamma\}$ of Y there exists an open cover $\mathcal{V} = \{\hat{\Pi}(V_{0,\alpha}, K_{\alpha,\beta}) : V_{0,\alpha} \subset X_0, K_{\alpha,\beta} \subset \hat{G}_a\}$ of $c_a X$ such that $\{V_{0,\alpha}\}$ is a locally finite open cover of X_0 , for each α the number of distinct sets $K_{\alpha,\beta}$ is finite and $\cup_\beta \hat{\Pi}(V_{0,\alpha}, K_{\alpha,\beta}) = \bar{p}^{-1}(V_{0,\alpha})$, and $\{Y \cap \hat{\Pi}(V_{0,\alpha}, K_{\alpha,\beta})\}$ is a refinement of \mathcal{U} .*

Proof. By the definition of the relative topology of Y , there exists a collection $\tilde{\mathcal{U}} = \{\tilde{U}_\gamma\}$ of open subsets of $c_a X$ such that $U_\gamma = \tilde{U}_\gamma \cap Y$ for all γ . Further, since $Y \subset c_a X$ is closed, $\tilde{\mathcal{U}} \cup \{c_a X \setminus Y\}$ is an open cover of $c_a X$. By the definition of topology on $c_a X$ the latter cover admits a refinement by sets of the form $\hat{\Pi}(V_0, K)$, where $V_0 \subset X_0$, $K \subset \hat{G}_a$ are open. Since $c_a X$ has compact fibres, we may choose this refinement $\{\hat{\Pi}(V_{0,\alpha}, K_{\alpha,\beta})\}$ so that $\{V_{0,\alpha}\}$ is a locally finite open cover of X_0 and for each α the number of distinct sets $K_{\alpha,\beta}$ is finite and $\cup_\beta \hat{\Pi}(V_{0,\alpha}, K_{\alpha,\beta}) = \bar{p}^{-1}(V_{0,\alpha})$. By our construction, $\{Y \cap \hat{\Pi}(V_{0,\alpha}, K_{\alpha,\beta})\}$ is a refinement of \mathcal{U} . \square

The open cover \mathcal{V} introduced in the lemma admits a subordinate partition of unity $\{\nu_{\alpha,\beta}\}$, $\nu_{\alpha,\beta} := \bar{p}^* \rho_\alpha \cdot \pi_\alpha^* \mu_{\alpha,\beta}$, where $\{\rho_\alpha\} \subset C^\infty(V_{0,\alpha})$ is a partition of unity subordinate to cover $\{V_{0,\alpha}\}$ of X_0 , $\{\mu_{\alpha,\beta}\} \subset C(\bar{p}^{-1}(x_\alpha))$, $x_\alpha \in V_{0,\alpha}$ is fixed, is a partition of unity subordinate to cover $\{\hat{\Pi}(V_{0,\alpha}, K_{\alpha,\beta}) \cap \bar{p}^{-1}(x_\alpha)\}_\beta$ of $\bar{p}^{-1}(x_\alpha)$ and $\pi_\alpha : \bar{p}^{-1}(V_{0,\alpha}) \rightarrow \bar{p}^{-1}(x_\alpha)$ is the continuous projection defined in local coordinates (x, ω) on $\bar{p}^{-1}(V_{0,\alpha})$ ($\cong V_{0,\alpha} \times \hat{G}_a$) as $\pi_\alpha(x, \omega) := (x_\alpha, \omega)$. By definition $\nu_{\alpha,\beta} \in C^\infty(\hat{\Pi}(V_{0,\alpha}, K_{\alpha,\beta}))$; hence, the restriction of $\{\nu_{\alpha,\beta}\}$ to Y is a C^∞ partition of unity subordinate to \mathcal{U} (see Subsection 5.5). \square

13.4. Proof of Theorem 5.18

Lemma 13.4. *Let $U_0 \subset X_0$, $K \subset \hat{G}_a$ be open, $f \in \mathcal{O}(U_0 \times K)$ be such that $\nabla_z f(z, \eta) \neq 0$ for all $(z, \eta) \in Z_f := \{(z, \eta) \in U_0 \times K : f(z, \eta) = 0\}$.*

If $g \in \mathcal{O}(U_0 \times K)$ vanishes on Z_f , then $h := g/f \in \mathcal{O}(U_0 \times K)$.

(The proof follows straightforwardly from Proposition 5.4.)

Proof of Theorem 5.18. By Proposition 5.1, M_X is homeomorphic to the maximal ideal space of $\mathcal{O}(c_a X)$. It follows from Theorem 2.10 that algebra $\mathcal{O}(c_a X)$ separates points of $c_a X$, therefore we have a continuous injection $c_a X \hookrightarrow M_X$ defined via point evaluation homomorphisms. Let us show that this map is surjective.

The transpose to the pullback homomorphism $\bar{p}^* : \mathcal{O}(X_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(c_a X)$ is a map $\bar{p}_* : M_X \rightarrow M_{X_0}$, where the latter is the maximal ideal space of algebra $\mathcal{O}(X_0)$. Since X_0 is Stein, M_{X_0} can be naturally identified with X_0 (see, e.g., [34]) so that $\bar{p}_*|_{c_a X} = \bar{p}$. Hence, for $\varphi \in M_X$ there exists a point $x_0 \in X_0$ such that $\bar{p}_*(\varphi) = \delta_{x_0}$, the evaluation homomorphism at point x_0 .

Next, there exists a function $h \in \mathcal{O}(X_0)$ such that $X_0^{n-1} := \{x \in X_0 : h(x) = 0\}$, $n := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} X$, is a non-singular complex hypersurface, $dh(z) \neq 0$ for each $z \in X_0^{n-1}$,

and $x_0 \in X_0^{n-1}$, see [25]. We set $X^{n-1} := p^{-1}(X_0^{n-1})$ and $c_{\mathfrak{a}} X^{n-1} := \bar{p}^{-1}(X_0^{n-1})$. Now, if $f \in \mathcal{O}(c_{\mathfrak{a}} X)$ is identically zero on $c_{\mathfrak{a}} X^{n-1}$, then $\varphi(f) = 0$. Indeed, by Lemma 13.4, the function $\tilde{f} := f/\bar{p}^* h \in \mathcal{O}(c_{\mathfrak{a}} X)$, hence,

$$\varphi(f) = \varphi(\tilde{f})\varphi(\bar{p}^* h) = \varphi(\tilde{f})\delta_{x_0}(h) = 0.$$

Thus, there exists a homomorphism φ_1 of the quotient algebra $\mathcal{O}(c_{\mathfrak{a}} X)/I_{c_{\mathfrak{a}} X^{n-1}}$, where $I_{c_{\mathfrak{a}} X^{n-1}}$ is the ideal of holomorphic functions in $\mathcal{O}(c_{\mathfrak{a}} X)$ vanishing on $c_{\mathfrak{a}} X^{n-1}$, such that $\varphi = \varphi_1 \circ q_1$, where $q_1: \mathcal{O}(c_{\mathfrak{a}} X) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(c_{\mathfrak{a}} X)/I_{c_{\mathfrak{a}} X^{n-1}}$ is the quotient homomorphism. According to Theorem 2.10, we have a natural isomorphism

$$\mathcal{O}(c_{\mathfrak{a}} X)/I_{c_{\mathfrak{a}} X^{n-1}} \cong \mathcal{O}(c_{\mathfrak{a}} X^{n-1})$$

defined by restrictions of functions in $\mathcal{O}(c_{\mathfrak{a}} X)$ to $c_{\mathfrak{a}} X^{n-1}$; hence φ_1 can be identified with an element of the maximal ideal space of algebra $\mathcal{O}(c_{\mathfrak{a}} X^{n-1})$.

Starting with $c_{\mathfrak{a}} X^{n-1}$ instead of $c_{\mathfrak{a}} X$ we proceed similarly to define flags of complex submanifolds $X_0^k \subset X_0$, $X^k \subset X$, $c_{\mathfrak{a}} X^k \subset c_{\mathfrak{a}} X$ of codimension $n - k$ and homomorphisms $\varphi_{n-k}: \mathcal{O}(c_{\mathfrak{a}} X^k) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\varphi_{n-k-1} = \varphi_{n-k} \circ q_{n-k}$ ($0 \leq k \leq n-1$), where $q_{n-k}: \mathcal{O}(c_{\mathfrak{a}} X^{k+1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(c_{\mathfrak{a}} X^{k+1})/I_{c_{\mathfrak{a}} X^k} = \mathcal{O}(c_{\mathfrak{a}} X^k)$ are the quotient homomorphisms.

By the definition, φ_n is an element of the maximal ideal space of algebra $\mathcal{O}(c_{\mathfrak{a}} X^0)$, where $X_0^0 = \{x_0, x_1, \dots\}$ is a discrete set. Clearly, $\mathcal{O}(c_{\mathfrak{a}} X^0) \cong \sqcup_{i \geq 0} C(\bar{p}^{-1}(x_i))$. Moreover, if $f \in I_{x_0} \subset \mathcal{O}(c_{\mathfrak{a}} X^0)$, the ideal of functions vanishing on $\bar{p}^{-1}(x_0)$, then $f = f \cdot \bar{p}^* g_{x_0}$, where $g_{x_0} \in \mathcal{O}(X_0^0)$, $g_{x_0}(x_i) = 1 - \delta_{0i}$ (Kronecker delta), so that

$$\varphi_n(f) = \varphi_n(f)\varphi_n(\bar{p}^* g_{x_0}) = \varphi_n(f)\varphi(\bar{p}^* g) = \varphi_n(f)g_{x_0}(x_0) = 0;$$

here $g \in \mathcal{O}(X_0)$ is such that $g|_{X_0^0} = g_{x_0}$.

Thus, there exists a homomorphism

$$\varphi_{n+1}: \mathcal{O}(c_{\mathfrak{a}} X^0)/I_{x_0} = C(\bar{p}^{-1}(x_0)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

such that $\varphi_n = \varphi_{n+1} \circ q_{n+1}$, where $q_{n+1}: \mathcal{O}(c_{\mathfrak{a}} X^0) \rightarrow C(\bar{p}^{-1}(x_0))$ is the quotient homomorphism. Since $\bar{p}^{-1}(x_0)$ is compact Hausdorff, the maximal ideal space of $C(\bar{p}^{-1}(x_0))$ is homeomorphic to $\bar{p}^{-1}(x_0)$. In particular, $\varphi_{n+1} = \delta_{\omega}$ for some $\omega \in \bar{p}^{-1}(x_0)$.

Finally, we have

$$\varphi(f) = \varphi_{n+1}(f|_{\bar{p}^{-1}(x_0)}) = f(\omega) = \delta_{\omega}(f), \quad f \in \mathcal{O}(c_{\mathfrak{a}} X),$$

as required. This shows that the natural map $c_{\mathfrak{a}} X \rightarrow M_X$ is a continuous bijection. It is easily seen that this map is a homeomorphism since $c_{\mathfrak{a}} X$ is locally compact and $X_0 \rightarrow M_{X_0}$ is a homeomorphism.

The proof of the theorem is complete. \square

References

- [1] BESICOVITCH, A. S.: *Almost periodic functions*. Dover, New York, 1955.
- [2] BOHR, H.: *Almost periodic functions*. Chelsea Publishing Co., New York, 1947.
- [3] BOGESS, A.: *CR manifolds and the tangential Cauchy–Riemann complex*. Studies in Advanced Mathematics, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1991.
- [4] BREDON, G. E.: *Sheaf theory*. Graduate texts in Mathematics 170, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.
- [5] BRUDNYI, A.: Projections in the space H^∞ and the corona theorem for coverings of bordered Riemann surfaces. *Ark. Mat.* **42** (2004), no. 1, 31–59.
- [6] BRUDNYI, A.: On holomorphic functions of slow growth on coverings of strongly pseudoconvex manifolds. *J. Funct. Anal.* **249** (2007), no. 2, 354–371.
- [7] BRUDNYI, A.: Hartogs type theorems on coverings of Stein manifolds. *Internat. J. Math.* **17** (2006), no. 3, 339–349.
- [8] BRUDNYI, A.: Representation of holomorphic functions on coverings of pseudoconvex domains in Stein manifolds via integral formulas on these domains. *J. Funct. Anal.* **231** (2006), no. 2, 418–437.
- [9] BRUDNYI, A.: Holomorphic L^p -functions on coverings of strongly pseudoconvex manifolds. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **137** (2009), no. 1, 227–234.
- [10] BRUDNYI, A.: Grauert- and Lax–Halmos-type theorems and extension of matrices with entries in H^∞ . *J. Funct. Anal.* **206** (2006), 87–108.
- [11] BRUDNYI, A.: Banach-valued holomorphic functions on the maximal ideal space of H^∞ . *Invent. Math.* **193** (2013), no. 1, 187–227.
- [12] BRUDNYI, A.: Holomorphic Banach vector bundles on the maximal ideal space of H^∞ and the operator corona problem of Sz.–Nagy. *Adv. Math.* **232** (2013), 121–141.
- [13] BRUDNYI, A. AND KINZEBULATOV, D.: Holomorphic almost periodic functions on coverings of complex manifolds. *New York J. Math.* **17a** (2011), 267–300.
- [14] BRUDNYI, A. AND KINZEBULATOV, D.: Holomorphic semi-almost periodic functions. *Integr. Equ. Operat. Theory* **66** (2010), no. 3, 293–325.
- [15] BRUDNYI, A. AND KINZEBULATOV, D.: Towards Oka–Cartan theory for algebras of holomorphic functions on coverings of Stein manifolds I. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* **31** (2015), no. 3, 989–1032.
- [16] BUNGART, L.: Holomorphic functions with values in locally convex spaces and applications to integral formulas. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **111** (1964), 317–344; Errata, *ibid.* **113** (1964), 547.
- [17] DEMAILLY, J. P.: *Complex analytic and differential geometry*. Grenoble, 2009.
- [18] FAVOROV, S.: Zeros of holomorphic almost periodic functions. *J. Anal. Math.* **84** (2001), 51–66.
- [19] FAVOROV, S.: Almost periodic divisors, holomorphic functions, and holomorphic mappings. *Bull. Sci. Math.* **127** (2003), no. 10, 859–883.
- [20] FAVOROV, S. AND RASHKOVSKII, A.: Holomorphic almost periodic functions. *Acta Appl. Math.* **65** (2001), no. 1–3, 217–235.
- [21] FAVOROV, S., RASHKOVSKII, A. AND RONKIN L.: Almost periodic divisors in a strip. *J. Anal. Math.* **74** (1998), 325–345.

- [22] FAVOROV, S., RASHKOVSKII, A. AND RONKIN L.: Almost periodic currents and holomorphic chains. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **327** (1998), no. 5, 445–449.
- [23] FREUDENTHAL, H.: Über die Enden topologischer Räume und Gruppen. *Math. Z.* **33** (1931), no. 1, 692–713.
- [24] FORSTER, O.: *Lectures on Riemann surfaces*. Graduates Texts in Mathematics 81, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1981.
- [25] FORSTNERIČ, F.: Noncritical holomorphic functions on Stein manifolds. *Acta Math.* **191** (2003), no. 2, 143–189.
- [26] FORSTNERIČ, F.: *Stein manifolds and holomorphic mappings. The homotopy principle in complex analysis*. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics 56, Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [27] GANELIN, T.: *Uniform algebras*. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1969.
- [28] GLEASON, A.: The abstract theorem of Cauchy–Weil. *Pacific J. Math.* **12** (1962), 511–525.
- [29] GRAUERT, H. AND REMMERT, R.: *Theory of Stein spaces*. Die Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften 236, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1979.
- [30] GRIFFITHS, P. AND HARRIS, J.: *Principles of algebraic geometry*. Wiley Classics Library, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1994.
- [31] GRIGORYAN, S.: Generalized analytic functions. *Russian Math. Surveys* **49** (1994), no. 2, 1–40.
- [32] GROMOV, M., HENKIN G. AND SHUBIN M.: Holomorphic L^2 functions on coverings of pseudoconvex manifolds. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **8** (1998), no. 3, 552–585.
- [33] GUNNING, R.: *Introduction to holomorphic functions of several variables. III. Homological theory*. The Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole Mathematics Series, Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole Advanced Books & Software, Monterey, CA, 1990.
- [34] GUNNING, R. AND ROSSI, H.: *Analytic functions of several complex variables*. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1965.
- [35] HENKIN, G. AND LEITERER, J.: *Theory of functions on complex manifolds*. Monographs in Mathematics 79, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1984.
- [36] HARVEY, R. AND LAWSON, G.: On boundaries of complex analytic varieties. I. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **102** (1975), no. 2, 223–290.
- [37] HIRZEBRUCH, F.: *Topological methods in algebraic geometry*. Die Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften 131, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1966.
- [38] JESSEN, B. AND TORNEHAVE, H.: Mean motions and zeros of almost periodic functions. *Acta Math.* **77** (1945), 137–279.
- [39] KANTOROVICH, L. V. AND AKILOV, G. P.: *Functional analysis*. Pergamon Press, Oxford-Elmsford, NY, 1982.
- [40] LÁRUSSON, F.: Holomorphic functions of slow growth on nested covering spaces of compact manifolds. *Canad. J. Math.* **52** (2000), no. 5, 982–998.
- [41] LEITERER, J.: Banach coherent analytic Fréchet sheaves. *Math. Nachr.* **85** (1978), 91–109.
- [42] LEMPERT, L. AND PATYI, I.: Analytic sheaves in Banach spaces. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **40** (2007), no. 3, 453–486.
- [43] LEVIN, B.: *Distribution of zeros of entire functions*. Translations of Mathematical Monographs 5, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1980.

- [44] LIN, V.: Liouville coverings of complex spaces, and amenable groups. *Math. USSR-Sb.* **60** (1988), no. 1, 197–216.
- [45] LYONS, T. AND SULLIVAN, D.: Function theory, random paths and covering spaces. *J. Differential Geom.* **19** (1984), no. 2, 299–323.
- [46] MAAK, W.: Eine neue Definition der fastperiodischen Funktionen. *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg* **11** (1935), no. 1, 240–244.
- [47] VON NEUMANN, J.: Almost periodic functions in a group. I. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **36** (1934), no. 3, 445–492.
- [48] RONKIN, L.: Jessen’s theorem for holomorphic almost periodic functions in tube domains. *Sibirskii Mat. Zh.* **28** (1987), no. 3, 199–204.
- [49] SARASON, D.: Toeplitz operators with semi-almost periodic symbols. *Duke Math J.* **44** (1977), no. 2, 357–364.
- [50] SHABAT, B.: *Introduction to complex analysis. Part II. Functions of several variables.* Translations of Mathematical Monographs 110, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1992.
- [51] SHUBIN, M.: Almost periodic functions and partial differential operators. *Russian Math. Surveys* **33** (1978), 1–52.
- [52] TORNEHAVE, H.: On the zeros of entire almost periodic function. *Math. Fys. Medd. Danske Vid. Selsk.* **42** (1989), no. 3, 125–142.
- [53] VESENTINI, E.: Holomorphic almost periodic functions and positive-definite functions on Siegel domains. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)* **102** (1975), 177–202.
- [54] WEIL, A.: *L’intégration dans les groupes topologiques et ses applications.* Actual. Sci. Ind. 869, Hermann, Paris, 1940.
- [55] ZAIDENBERG, M., KREIN, S. G., KUCHMENT, P. AND PANKOV, A.: Banach bundles and linear operators. *Russian Math. Surveys* **30** (1975), 115–175.

Received September 24, 2013; revised November 12, 2013.

ALEXANDER BRUDNYI: Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Calgary, 2500 University Drive NW, Calgary, Alberta T2N 1N4, Canada.

E-mail: abrudnyi@ucalgary.ca

DAMIR KINZEBULATOV: The Fields Institute, 222 College Street, Toronto, Ontario M5T 3J1, Canada.

E-mail: damir.kinzebulatov@utoronto.ca