## Rank and Bias in Families of Hyperelliptic Curves
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## Hyperelliptic Curves

Define a hyperelliptic curve of genus $g$ over $\mathbb{Q}(T)$ :

$$
\mathcal{X}: y^{2}=f(x, T)=x^{2 g+1}+A_{2 g}(T) x^{2 g}+\cdots+A_{1}(T) x+A_{0}(T) .
$$
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Goal: Construct families of hyperelliptic curves with high rank.

## Hyperelliptic curves with moderately large rank over $\mathbb{Q}(T)$

## Moderate-Rank Family

## Theorem (HLKM, 2018)
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- Close to current record of $4 g+7$.
- No height matrix or basis computation.

This generalizes a construction of Arms, Lozano-Robledo, and Miller in the elliptic surface case.

## Idea of Construction

Define a genus $g$ curve

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{X}: y^{2}=f(x, T)=x^{2 g+1} T^{2}+2 g(x) T-h(x) \\
g(x)=x^{2 g+1}+\sum_{i=0}^{2 g} a_{i} x^{i} \\
h(x)=(A-1) x^{2 g+1}+\sum_{i=0}^{2 g} A_{i} x^{i}
\end{gathered}
$$

The discriminant of the quadratic polynomial is

$$
D_{T}(x):=g(x)^{2}+x^{2 g+1} h(x) .
$$
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$$

Therefore, $-A_{1, \chi}(p)$ is $p\left(\frac{x}{p}\right)$ summed over the roots of $D_{t}(x)$. To maximize the sum, we make each $x$ a perfect square.
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- Choose roots $\rho_{i}^{2}$ of $D_{t}(x)$ so that

$$
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- Equate coefficients in

$$
D_{t}(x)=A \prod_{i=1}^{4 g+2}\left(x-\rho_{i}^{2}\right)=g(x)^{2}+x^{2 g+1} h(x) .
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- Solve the nonlinear system for the coefficients of $g, h$.
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## Idea of the Construction

$$
-A_{1, \chi}(p)=p \sum_{\substack{x \bmod p^{D_{t}(x) \equiv 0}}}\left(\frac{x^{2 g+1}}{p}\right)
$$

## Idea of the Construction

$$
\begin{aligned}
-A_{1, \chi}(p) & =p \sum_{\substack{x \\
\bmod ^{2}(x) \equiv 0}}\left(\frac{x^{2 g+1}}{p}\right) \\
& =p \cdot\left(\# \text { of perfect-square roots of } D_{t}(x)\right) \\
& =p \cdot(4 g+2)
\end{aligned}
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## Idea of the Construction

$$
\begin{aligned}
-A_{1, \chi}(p) & =p \sum_{\substack{x \bmod p \\
D_{t}(x)=0}}\left(\frac{x^{2 g+1}}{p}\right) \\
& =p \cdot\left(\# \text { of perfect-square roots of } D_{t}(x)\right) \\
& =p \cdot(4 g+2) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by the Generalized Nagao Conjecture

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{X} \sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p} \cdot p \cdot(4 g+2) \log p=4 g+2=\operatorname{rank} J_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathbb{Q}(T)) .
$$

## Future Work

- Find a linearly independent basis.
- Generalizing another technique in Arms, Lozano-Robledo, and Miller.
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For one-parameter families of elliptic curves $\mathcal{E}$, the second moment $A_{2, \varepsilon}(p)$ is

$$
A_{2, \mathcal{E}}(p)=p^{2}+O\left(p^{3 / 2}\right) .
$$

## Bias Conjecture (Miller)

The largest lower order term in the second moment expansion that does not average to 0 is on average negative.

Goal: Find as many hyperelliptic families with as much bias as possible.

## The Bias Family

## Theorem (HLKM 2018)

Consider $\mathcal{X}: y^{2}=x^{n}+x^{h} T^{k}$. If $\operatorname{gcd}(k, n-h, p-1)=1$, then

$$
A_{2, x}(p)= \begin{cases}(\operatorname{gcd}(n-h, p-1)-1)\left(p^{2}-p\right) & h \text { even } \\ \operatorname{gcd}(n-h, p-1)\left(p^{2}-p\right) & h \text { odd }(-) \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$
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The second moment is periodic in $k$ with period $(n-h)$.

## Calculations Part 2
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## Calculations Part 2
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\begin{aligned}
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Thus, this reduces to calculating the second moment of $y^{2}=x^{n}+x^{h} T$, which is straightforward.
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