Parabolic induction over \mathbb{Z}_p

Tyrone Crisp

University of Maine

Maine-Québec Number Theory Conference

6 October 2018

Problem: Understand (classify?) the irreducible, complex representations of $GL_n(\mathbb{Z}/p^{\ell}\mathbb{Z})$.

 \Box Limit as $\ell \to \infty$: smooth reps of $GL_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$

 \Box ℓ = 1: solved [Frobenius, Schur, Green, Lusztig, ...]

 \Box $\ell > 1$, n = 2, 3: solved [Kloosterman, Kutzko, Nagornyi, ...]

 \Box ℓ > 1, n > 3: open, hard [Hill, Onn, Stasinski, ...]

 \Box Classifying irreps of $GL_n(\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})$ for all *n* is wild [Nagornyi]

... So why bother?

- Decompose spaces of automorphic forms [Hecke, Kloosterman, ...]
- Applications to other parts of rep theory [Bushnell-Kutzko, ...]

Problem: Understand (classify?) the irreducible, complex representations of $GL_n(\mathbb{Z}/p^{\ell}\mathbb{Z})$.

Strategy 1: induction on ℓ , via Clifford theory $(\mathbb{Z}/p^{\ell}\mathbb{Z} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^{\ell-1}\mathbb{Z})$

[Shalika, Kutzko, Hill, Nagornyi, Onn, Stasinski, ...]

Strategy 2: induction on *n*, via the "Philosophy of Cusp Forms"

 \Box $\ell = 1$: very successful [Green, Harish-Chandra, ...]

 \Box ℓ > 1: work in progress with E. Meir and U. Onn

 \Box we want to be able to use both strategies together

Philosophy of cusp forms for $GL_n(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$

Let
$$G_n = \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$$
.

For each $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k)$, $\sum \alpha_i = n$, consider subgroups

$$L_{\alpha} = \begin{bmatrix} G_{\alpha_{1}} & 0 \\ & \ddots \\ 0 & & G_{\alpha_{k}} \end{bmatrix}, \quad P_{\alpha} = \begin{bmatrix} G_{\alpha_{1}} & * \\ & \ddots \\ 0 & & G_{\alpha_{k}} \end{bmatrix}, \quad U_{\alpha} = \begin{bmatrix} 1_{\alpha_{1}} & * \\ & \ddots \\ 0 & & 1_{\alpha_{k}} \end{bmatrix}$$

Parabolic induction:

$$i_{\alpha}: \operatorname{Rep}(L_{\alpha}) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{pull back}} \operatorname{Rep}(P_{\alpha}) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{induce}} \operatorname{Rep}(G_n)$$

Parabolic restriction:

$$\mathsf{r}_{\alpha}: \mathsf{Rep}(G_n) \xrightarrow{X \mapsto X^{U_{\alpha}}} \mathsf{Rep}(L_{\alpha})$$

Cusp forms: $X \in Irr(G_n)$ having $r_{\alpha} X = 0$ for all proper α

Philosophy of cusp forms for $GL_n(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$

$$G_n = \operatorname{GL}_n, \quad n = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i, \quad L_\alpha = [*_*], \quad P_\alpha = [*_*], \quad U_\alpha = [1_1^*]$$

$$i_\alpha : \operatorname{Rep}(L_\alpha) \to \operatorname{Rep}(G_n) \quad r_\alpha : \operatorname{Rep}(G_n) \to \operatorname{Rep}(L_\alpha)$$

$$\operatorname{cusp forms:} X \in \operatorname{Irr}(G_n), r_\alpha X = 0 \text{ for all proper } \alpha$$

Theorem: [Green, Harish-Chandra] $\Box \text{ Every } X \in \operatorname{Irr}(G_n) \text{ occurs as a subrep of } i_{\alpha}(X_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes X_k) \text{ for some cusp forms } X_i \in \operatorname{Irr}(G_{\alpha_i}) \text{ (unique up to permutations)}$ $\Box \text{ End}_{G_n} \left[i_{\alpha} (X_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes X_k) \right] \cong \mathbb{C} \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{product of} \\ S_m \text{'s} \end{array} \right]$

Moral:



Over $\mathbb{Z}/p^{\ell}\mathbb{Z}$: Harder arithmetic. Same combinatorics?

Philosophy of cusp forms for $GL_n(\mathbb{Z}/p^{\ell}\mathbb{Z})$?

$$G_n^{\ell} = \mathsf{GL}_n^{\ell}, \quad n = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i, \quad L_{\alpha}^{\ell} = [*_*], \quad P_{\alpha}^{\ell} = [*_*], \quad U_{\alpha}^{\ell} = [*_1^*]$$

superscript ℓ means "over $\mathbb{Z}/p^{\ell}\mathbb{Z}$ ", where $\ell\geq 1$

Parabolic induction? $\operatorname{Rep}(L_{\alpha}^{\ell}) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{pull back}} \operatorname{Rep}(P_{\alpha}^{\ell}) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{induce}} \operatorname{Rep}(G_{n}^{\ell})$ still makes sense ... but the resulting reps are too big.



Proposal for "parabolic induction" over $\mathbb{Z}/p^{\ell}\mathbb{Z}$

$$G_n^{\ell} = \mathsf{GL}_n^{\ell}, \quad n = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i, \quad L_{\alpha}^{\ell} = [*_*], \quad P_{\alpha}^{\ell} = [*_*], \quad U_{\alpha}^{\ell} = [1_1^*]$$

Parabolic induction? [CMO, cf. Dat] i_{α}^{ℓ} : Rep $(L_{\alpha}^{\ell}) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(G_{n}^{\ell})$

$$\mathsf{i}^\ell_\alpha X := \mathsf{Image}\left[\mathsf{ind}_{(P^\ell_\alpha)^{\mathsf{t}}}^{G^\ell_n} X \xrightarrow{\int_{U^\ell_\alpha}} \mathsf{ind}_{\mathsf{rdertwiner}}^{G^\ell_n} \mathsf{ind}_{P^\ell_\alpha}^{G^\ell_n} X\right]$$

 \Box For $\ell = 1$, new $i_{\alpha}^1 = old i_{\alpha}$ [Howlett-Lehrer]

 \Box i^ℓ_α is compatible with Clifford theory upon changing $\ell:$ e.g.,



 $\Box \exists$ an adjoint restriction functor r_{α}^{ℓ} , thus a notion of cusp forms.

Philosophy of cusp forms for $GL_n(\mathbb{Z}/p^{\ell}\mathbb{Z})$?

Conjecture: (analogue of Green's theorem for all $\ell \geq 1$)

 \Box Every $X \in Irr(G_n^{\ell})$ occurs as a subrep of $i_{\alpha}^{\ell}(X_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes X_k)$ for some cusp forms $X_i \in Irr(G_{\alpha_i}^{\ell})$ (unique up to permutations)

$$\Box \operatorname{End}_{G_n^{\ell}} \left[\operatorname{i}_{\alpha}^{\ell} \left(X_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes X_k \right) \right] \cong \mathbb{C} \left[\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{product of} \\ S_m \operatorname{'s} \end{array} \right]$$

Theorem: It's enough to verify the conjecture for <u>nilpotent</u> representations (with \mathbb{Z}_p replaced by a general ring of integers).

(<u>nilpotence</u>: Clifford-theoretic condition involving restriction to the minimal congruence subgroup, $\ker(G_n^{\ell} \twoheadrightarrow G_n^{\ell-1}) \cong M_n(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}))$

Theorem: For
$$\alpha = (1, ..., 1)$$
:
End_{*G_n* $\left[i_{\alpha}^{\ell} \left(X_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes X_n \right) \right] \cong \mathbb{C} \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{product of} \\ S_m \text{'s} \end{array} \right]$}

Coda: equivariant homology of Bruhat-Tits buildings

G: p-adic reductive group (e.g., $GL_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$)

Theorem: [Higson-Nistor, Schneider, Bernstein, Keller]

 $H^{G}_{*}(BT(G))$: equivariant homology of Bruhat-Tits building \approx geometry + rep thy of cmpct sbgrps

$$HP_*(Rep(G))$$
 : periodic
cyclic homology of $Rep(G)$
 \approx cohomology of $Irr(G)$

Question: how does parabolic induction fit into this picture?

Theorem: For $G = SL_2$, $L = [*_*]$ (and perhaps more generally):

 \simeq

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \mathsf{H}^{L}_{*}(\mathsf{BT}(L)) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathsf{HP}_{*}(\mathsf{Rep}(L)) \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \texttt{assemblage of } i^{\ell}_{\alpha} \mathsf{s} \\ \downarrow \\ \mathsf{H}^{G}_{*}(\mathsf{BT}(G)) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathsf{HP}_{*}(\mathsf{Rep}(G)) \end{array}$$