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It is the average value of $\log |P|$ over the unit $n$-torus.

## The one-variable case

If $P(x)=A \prod_{j=1}^{d}\left(x-\alpha_{j}\right)$, then Jensen's formula implies

## The one-variable case

If $P(x)=A \prod_{j=1}^{d}\left(x-\alpha_{j}\right)$, then Jensen's formula implies

$$
\mathfrak{m}(P)=\int_{0}^{1} \log \left|P\left(e^{2 \pi i \theta}\right)\right| d \theta=\log |A|+\sum_{\substack{j \\\left|\alpha_{j}\right|>1}} \log \left|\alpha_{j}\right| .
$$

## The one-variable case

If $P(x)=A \prod_{j=1}^{d}\left(x-\alpha_{j}\right)$, then Jensen's formula implies

$$
\mathfrak{m}(P)=\int_{0}^{1} \log \left|P\left(e^{2 \pi i \theta}\right)\right| d \theta=\log |A|+\sum_{\substack{j \\\left|\alpha_{j}\right|>1}} \log \left|\alpha_{j}\right| .
$$



## The one-variable case

If $P(x)=A \prod_{j=1}^{d}\left(x-\alpha_{j}\right)$, then Jensen's formula implies

$$
\mathfrak{m}(P)=\int_{0}^{1} \log \left|P\left(e^{2 \pi i \theta}\right)\right| d \theta=\log |A|+\sum_{\substack{j \\\left|\alpha_{j}\right|>1}} \log \left|\alpha_{j}\right| .
$$



## The one-variable case

If $P(x)=A \prod_{j=1}^{d}\left(x-\alpha_{j}\right)$, then Jensen's formula implies

$$
\mathfrak{m}(P)=\int_{0}^{1} \log \left|P\left(e^{2 \pi i \theta}\right)\right| d \theta=\log |A|+\sum_{\substack{j \\\left|\alpha_{j}\right|>1}} \log \left|\alpha_{j}\right| .
$$



This also means that polynomials with integer coefficients have Mahler measure greater than or equal to zero.
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- The Mahler measure of $P(x)$ is related to heights. For an algebraic integer $\alpha$ with logarithmic Weil height $h(\alpha)$,

$$
\mathfrak{m}\left(f_{\alpha}\right)=[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha): \mathbb{Q}] h(\alpha)
$$

## Not just Number theory, it's everywhere!

The Mahler measure makes an appearance in the following areas

- Knot theory
- Hyperbolic Geometry
- Arithmetic Dynamics
- Height functions


## More variables, more problems

In general, calculating the Mahler measure of multi-variable polynomials is much more difficult than the univariate case. However, there are more intriguing results concerning such polynomials that suggest that something deeper is in play.
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We have the Boyd-Lawton formula for any rational function $P \in \mathbb{C}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{x}:$

$$
\lim _{k_{2} \rightarrow \infty} \cdots \lim _{k_{n} \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{m}\left(P\left(x, x^{k_{2}}, \ldots, x^{k_{n}}\right)\right)=\mathfrak{m}\left(P\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right)
$$

where the $k_{i}$ 's vary independently.
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\mathfrak{m}(1+x+y)=\frac{3 \sqrt{3}}{4 \pi} L(\chi-3,2)=L^{\prime}(\chi-3,-1) \\
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\mathfrak{m}\left(1+x+\left(\frac{1-v}{1+v}\right)\left(\frac{1-w}{1+w}\right)(1+y) z\right)=\frac{93}{\pi^{4}} \zeta(5)=124 \zeta^{\prime}(-4)
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Rogers and Zudilin, 2010:

$$
\mathfrak{m}\left(x+\frac{1}{x}+y+\frac{1}{y}+8\right)=\frac{24}{\pi^{2}} L\left(E_{24 a 3}, 2\right)=4 L^{\prime}\left(E_{24 a 3}, 0\right)
$$
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For example Boyd conducted many numerical experiments on polynomials of the type

$$
A(x)+B(x) y+C(x) z
$$

where $A, B$ and $C$ are products of cyclotomic polynomials.
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## Theorem (Lalín \& N., 2022++)

Let $P\left(x, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$ be a polynomial over $\mathbb{C}$ in the variables $x, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}$. Let $g(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ be such that all the roots have absolute value greater than or equal to one, let $k$ be an integer such that $k>\operatorname{deg}(g)$ and let $f(x)=\lambda x^{k} \bar{g}\left(x^{-1}\right)$, where $\lambda$ is a complex number with absolute value one. We denote by $\widetilde{P}$ the rational function obtained by replacing $x$ by $f(x) / g(x)$ in $P$. Then

$$
\mathfrak{m}(P)=\mathfrak{m}(\widetilde{P})
$$

- For eg., with $P=x+1+(x-1)(y+z)$, we get

$$
\mathfrak{m}(f+g+(f-g)(y+z))=\frac{28}{5 \pi^{2}} \zeta(3)+\mathfrak{m}(g) .
$$
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\begin{aligned}
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Apply the result to each variable above to get highly non-trivial identities

- Using this theorem, we can obtain the Mahler measure of polynomials with much more complicated geometry


## Further questions

- Trying to understand what the $\frac{f}{g}$ transformation means geometrically and how it preserves the $L$-value.
- Are there any other such transformations that do not change the Mahler measure.
- If the Mahler measure of two polynomials is the same, does that mean they must differ by such a transformation?
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