

Dirichlet-to-Neumann operators on differential forms

Mikhail Karpukhin

June 20, 2017

Workshop on geometric spectral theory

Neuchâtel, Switzerland.

McGill University

Introduction: classical Steklov problem

Laplace-Beltrami operator

- Let (M, g) be a smooth oriented connected Riemannian manifold of dimension n with smooth boundary.
- Let us consider the Laplace-Beltrami operator

$$\Delta f = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \left(\sqrt{|g|} g^{ij} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^j} \right)$$

and the following eigenvalue problem on M

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta f &= 0 \quad \text{on } M \\ \partial_n f &= \sigma f \quad \text{on } \partial M, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where n is the outward unit normal to ∂M .

- Values of σ for which a non-zero solution of (1) exists are called **Steklov eigenvalues**.

Dirichlet-to-Neumann map

- Equivalently, one can construct those numbers as eigenvalues of the **Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator** defined on $C^\infty(\partial M)$ in the following way.
- For any $u \in C^\infty(\partial M)$ there exists a unique harmonic extension $\hat{u} \in C^\infty(M)$, i.e. $\Delta \hat{u} = 0$ and $\hat{u}|_{\partial M} = u$.
- Then **DtN operator** L sends u to $\partial_n \hat{u}$.
- L is an elliptic self-adjoint Ψ DO of order 1. Therefore, Steklov eigenvalues form an increasing sequence

$$0 = \sigma_1(M, g) < \sigma_2(M, g) \leq \sigma_3(M, g) \leq \sigma_4(M, g) \leq \dots$$

Note that enumeration **starts with 1**.

Dirichlet-to-Neumann map

- Equivalently, one can construct those numbers as eigenvalues of the **Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator** defined on $C^\infty(\partial M)$ in the following way.
- For any $u \in C^\infty(\partial M)$ there exists a unique harmonic extension $\hat{u} \in C^\infty(M)$, i.e. $\Delta \hat{u} = 0$ and $\hat{u}|_{\partial M} = u$.
- Then **DtN operator** L sends u to $\partial_n \hat{u}$.
- L is an elliptic self-adjoint Ψ DO of order 1. Therefore, Steklov eigenvalues form an increasing sequence

$$0 = \sigma_1(M, g) < \sigma_2(M, g) \leq \sigma_3(M, g) \leq \sigma_4(M, g) \leq \dots$$

Note that enumeration **starts with 1**.

Dirichlet-to-Neumann map

- Equivalently, one can construct those numbers as eigenvalues of the **Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator** defined on $C^\infty(\partial M)$ in the following way.
- For any $u \in C^\infty(\partial M)$ there exists a unique harmonic extension $\hat{u} \in C^\infty(M)$, i.e. $\Delta \hat{u} = 0$ and $\hat{u}|_{\partial M} = u$.
- Then **DtN operator** L sends u to $\partial_n \hat{u}$.
- L is an elliptic self-adjoint Ψ DO of order 1. Therefore, Steklov eigenvalues form an increasing sequence

$$0 = \sigma_1(M, g) < \sigma_2(M, g) \leq \sigma_3(M, g) \leq \sigma_4(M, g) \leq \dots$$

Note that enumeration **starts with 1**.

Dirichlet-to-Neumann map

- Equivalently, one can construct those numbers as eigenvalues of the **Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator** defined on $C^\infty(\partial M)$ in the following way.
- For any $u \in C^\infty(\partial M)$ there exists a unique harmonic extension $\hat{u} \in C^\infty(M)$, i.e. $\Delta \hat{u} = 0$ and $\hat{u}|_{\partial M} = u$.
- Then **DtN operator** L sends u to $\partial_n \hat{u}$.
- L is an elliptic self-adjoint Ψ DO of order 1. Therefore, Steklov eigenvalues form an increasing sequence

$$0 = \sigma_1(M, g) < \sigma_2(M, g) \leq \sigma_3(M, g) \leq \sigma_4(M, g) \leq \dots$$

Note that enumeration **starts with 1**.

Upper bounds

The most known general upper bound is due to Hersch, Payne and Schiffer. It states that for a manifold homeomorphic to a disk one has

$$\sigma_{p+1}\sigma_{q+1}L^2(\partial M) \leq \begin{cases} \pi^2(p+q-1)^2 & \text{if } p+q \text{ is odd} \\ \pi^2(p+q)^2 & \text{if } p+q \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

Upper bounds

The most known general upper bound is due to Hersch, Payne and Schiffer. It states that for a manifold homeomorphic to a disk one has

$$\sigma_{p+1}\sigma_{q+1}L^2(\partial M) \leq \begin{cases} \pi^2(p+q-1)^2 & \text{if } p+q \text{ is odd} \\ \pi^2(p+q)^2 & \text{if } p+q \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

Upper bounds

For an orientable surface of genus γ with k boundary components one has the following inequality due to A. Girouard and I. Polterovich

$$\sigma_{p+1}\sigma_{q+1}L^2(\partial M) \leq \begin{cases} \pi^2(p+q-1)^2(\gamma+k)^2 & \text{if } p+q \text{ is odd} \\ \pi^2(p+q)^2(\gamma+k)^2 & \text{if } p+q \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

There are several other results for surfaces due to Fraser-Schoen, Hassannezhad, Kokarev and others.

Theorem (K., 2015)

For any orientable Riemannian surface of genus γ with k boundary components one has

$$\sigma_{p+1}\sigma_{q+1}L^2(\partial M) \leq \begin{cases} \pi^2(p+q+2\gamma+2k-3)^2 & \text{if } p+q \text{ is odd} \\ \pi^2(p+q+2\gamma+2k-2)^2 & \text{if } p+q \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

Theorem (Yang, Yu, 2015)

Let M be an oriented Riemannian n -dimensional manifold with boundary. Then one has the inequality

$$\mu_{q+b_{n-2}(M)}^{(n-2)} \sigma_{p+1} \leq \lambda_{p+q+b_{n-1}(M)}(\partial M).$$

DtN map on differential forms

Boundary data of a differential form

Let $i: \partial M \rightarrow M$ be the inclusion map.

- $\omega|_{\partial M} = i^*\omega + dn \wedge i_n\omega.$
- Thus there are **four** components of boundary data associated with ω :

$$i^*\omega, \quad i^*\delta\omega, \quad i_n\omega, \quad i_nd\omega.$$

- Which are Dirichlet? Which are Neumann?

Boundary data of a differential form

Let $i: \partial M \rightarrow M$ be the inclusion map.

- $\omega|_{\partial M} = i^*\omega + dn \wedge i_n\omega$.
- Thus there are **four** components of boundary data associated with ω :

$$i^*\omega, \quad i^*\delta\omega, \quad i_n\omega, \quad i_nd\omega.$$

- Which are Dirichlet? Which are Neumann?

Boundary data of a differential form

Let $i: \partial M \rightarrow M$ be the inclusion map.

- $\omega|_{\partial M} = i^*\omega + dn \wedge i_n\omega$.
- Thus there are **four** components of boundary data associated with ω :

$$i^*\omega, \quad i^*\delta\omega, \quad i_n\omega, \quad i_nd\omega.$$

- Which are Dirichlet? Which are Neumann?

Separation

Dirichlet

$$i^*\omega$$

Neumann

$$i_n d\omega$$

Separation

Dirichlet

$$i^*\omega$$

Neumann

$$i_n d\omega$$

Harmonic extension is **not unique!**

Separation

Dirichlet

$i^*\omega, i^*\delta\omega$

Neumann

$i_n\omega, i_n d\omega$

$i_n\omega$ $i^*\delta\omega$

Separation

Dirichlet

$$i^*\omega, i^*\delta\omega$$

Relative

$$i^*\omega, i_n\omega$$

Neumann

$$i_n\omega, i_nd\omega$$

Absolute

$$i^*\delta\omega, i_n\omega$$

$$i_n\omega \quad i^*\delta\omega$$


Definitions of DtN maps

Dirichlet-to-Neumann D

$$\begin{cases} \Delta\omega_D = 0 \\ i^*\omega_D = \phi, \boxed{i_n\omega_D = 0} \end{cases}$$

$$D\phi = i_n d\omega_D$$

Relative-to-Absolute R

$$\begin{cases} x \in M & \Delta\omega_R = 0 \\ x \in \partial M & i^*\omega_R = \phi, \boxed{i^*\delta\omega_R = 0} \end{cases}$$

$$R\phi = i_n d\omega_R$$

Properties of D

- D was introduced by Raulot and Savo
- D is a self-adjoint elliptic Ψ DO of order 1 and thus has discrete spectrum on each of the spaces $\Omega^p(\partial M)$

$$0 \leq \mu_1^{(p)} \leq \mu_2^{(p)} \leq \mu_3^{(p)} \leq \dots$$

- Rayleigh quotient

$$R_D[\phi] = \frac{\int_M |d\omega_D|^2 + |\delta\omega_D|^2}{\int_{\partial M} |\phi|^2}$$

Properties of D

- D was introduced by Raulot and Savo
- D is a self-adjoint elliptic Ψ DO of order 1 and thus has discrete spectrum on each of the spaces $\Omega^p(\partial M)$

$$0 \leq \mu_1^{(p)} \leq \mu_2^{(p)} \leq \mu_3^{(p)} \leq \dots$$

- Rayleigh quotient

$$R_D[\phi] = \frac{\int_M |d\omega_D|^2 + |\delta\omega_D|^2}{\int_{\partial M} |\phi|^2}$$

Properties of D

- D was introduced by Raulot and Savo
- D is a self-adjoint elliptic Ψ DO of order 1 and thus has discrete spectrum on each of the spaces $\Omega^p(\partial M)$

$$0 \leq \mu_1^{(p)} \leq \mu_2^{(p)} \leq \mu_3^{(p)} \leq \dots$$

- Rayleigh quotient

$$R_D[\phi] = \frac{\int_M |d\omega_D|^2 + |\delta\omega_D|^2}{\int_{\partial M} |\phi|^2}$$

Operator similar to R (specifically $*R$) was introduced by Belishev and Sharafutdinov in the context of inverse problem for Maxwell equation. However, its spectral properties were not investigated.

Theorem (K. 2017)

R is identically zero on the space of exact forms $\mathcal{E}^p(\partial M)$.
Restricted to the space of co-closed forms $\mathcal{C}^p(\partial M)$ it is a positive self-adjoint operator with discrete spectrum

$$0 \leq \sigma_1^{(p)} \leq \sigma_2^{(p)} \leq \sigma_3^{(p)} \leq \dots$$

Theorem (K. 2017)

R is identically zero on the space of exact forms $\mathcal{E}^p(\partial M)$.
Restricted to the space of co-closed forms $\mathcal{C}^p(\partial M)$ it is a positive self-adjoint operator with discrete spectrum

$$0 \leq \sigma_1^{(p)} \leq \sigma_2^{(p)} \leq \sigma_3^{(p)} \leq \dots$$

The Rayleigh quotient is given by

$$R_R[\phi] = \frac{\int_M |d\omega_R|^2}{\int_{\partial M} |\phi|^2}$$

Further properties of R

Let us denote by $\tilde{\mu}_i^{(p)}$ and $\tilde{\sigma}_i^{(p)}$ the i -th **non-zero** eigenvalue of D and R respectively.

Theorem (K. 2017)

For all $i \geq 0$

$$\tilde{\mu}_i^{(p)} \leq \tilde{\sigma}_i^{(p)}.$$

Further properties of R

Theorem (K. 2017)

For all $i \geq 0$

$$\tilde{\mu}_i^{(p)} \leq \tilde{\sigma}_i^{(p)}.$$

Rayleigh quotient for $\tilde{\mu}$

$$\frac{\int_M |d\omega_D|^2 + |\delta\omega_D|^2}{\int_{\partial M} |\phi|^2}$$

Rayleigh quotient for $\tilde{\sigma}$

$$\frac{\int_M |d\omega_R|^2}{\int_{\partial M} |\phi|^2}$$

Theorem (Yang, Yu, 2015)

Let M be an oriented Riemannian n -dimensional manifold with boundary. Then one has the inequality

$$\tilde{\mu}_q^{(n-2)} \tilde{\mu}_p^{(0)} \leq \lambda_{p+q+b_{n-1}(M)}(\partial M).$$

Theorem (K. 2017)

Let M be an oriented Riemannian n -dimensional manifold with boundary. Then for any $0 \leq p \leq n - 2$ one has the inequality

$$\tilde{\sigma}_m^{(n-2-p)} \tilde{\sigma}_r^{(p)} \leq \lambda_{I_p+m+r+b_{n-p-1}(M)-1}^{(p)},$$

where

- $\lambda_i^{(p)}$ is the p -th eigenvalue of Hodge Laplacian on $C^p(\partial M)$
- $I_q = \dim \ker R^{(q)} = \dim \operatorname{im} \{i^* : H^q(M) \rightarrow H^q(\partial M)\}$

For $n = 2p + 2$ and $r = m$

$$\left(\tilde{\sigma}_m^{(p)}\right)^2 \leq \lambda_{I_p+2m+b_{p+1}(M)-1}^{(p)}$$

Theorem (K. 2017)

Let M be an oriented Riemannian n -dimensional manifold with boundary. Then for any $0 \leq p \leq n - 2$ one has the inequality

$$\tilde{\sigma}_m^{(n-2-p)} \tilde{\sigma}_r^{(p)} \leq \lambda_{I_p+m+r+b_{n-p-1}(M)-1}^{(p)},$$

where

- $\lambda_i^{(p)}$ is the p -th eigenvalue of Hodge Laplacian on $C^p(\partial M)$
- $I_q = \dim \ker R^{(q)} = \dim \operatorname{im}\{i^* : H^q(M) \rightarrow H^q(\partial M)\}$

For $n = 2p + 2$ and $r = m$

$$\left(\tilde{\sigma}_m^{(p)}\right)^2 \leq \lambda_{I_p+2m+b_{p+1}(M)-1}^{(p)}$$

Theorem (K. 2017)

Let M be an oriented Riemannian n -dimensional manifold with boundary. Then for any $0 \leq p \leq n - 2$ one has the inequality

$$\tilde{\sigma}_m^{(n-2-p)} \tilde{\sigma}_r^{(p)} \leq \lambda_{I_p+m+r+b_{n-p-1}(M)-1}^{(p)},$$

where

- $\lambda_i^{(p)}$ is the p -th eigenvalue of Hodge Laplacian on $C^p(\partial M)$
- $I_q = \dim \ker R^{(q)} = \dim \operatorname{im}\{i^* : H^q(M) \rightarrow H^q(\partial M)\}$

For $n = 2p + 2$ and $r = m$

$$\left(\tilde{\sigma}_m^{(p)}\right)^2 \leq \lambda_{I_p+2m+b_{p+1}(M)-1}^{(p)}$$

Geometric optimisation problem

Classical Steklov problem

- The spectrum is invariant with respect to conformal changes of metric which are constant on the boundary.
- For a fixed surface M and a fixed metric on ∂M the eigenvalues $\sigma_i(M)$ are bounded by a constant independent of the metric inside of M .

Classical Steklov problem

- The spectrum is invariant with respect to conformal changes of metric which are constant on the boundary.
- For a fixed surface M and a fixed metric on ∂M the eigenvalues $\sigma_i(M)$ are bounded by a constant independent of the metric inside of M .

Classical Steklov problem

- The spectrum is invariant with respect to conformal changes of metric which are constant on the boundary.
- For a fixed surface M and a fixed metric on ∂M the eigenvalues $\sigma_i(M)$ are bounded by a constant independent of the metric inside of M .

New optimisation problem

Eigenvalues $\sigma_i^{(p)}$ for $n = 2p + 2$ possess similar properties.

- Conformal invariance follows from the invariance of

$$\int_M |d\omega_R|^2 dv_g$$

- Boundedness follows from

$$\left(\tilde{\sigma}_m^{(p)}\right)^2 \leq \lambda_{I_p+2m+b_{p+1}(M)-1}^{(p)}.$$

New optimisation problem

Eigenvalues $\sigma_i^{(p)}$ for $n = 2p + 2$ possess similar properties.

- Conformal invariance follows from the invariance of

$$\int_M |d\omega_R|^2 dv_g$$

- Boundedness follows from

$$\left(\tilde{\sigma}_m^{(p)}\right)^2 \leq \lambda_{I_p+2m+b_{p+1}(M)-1}^{(p)}.$$

New optimisation problem

Eigenvalues $\sigma_i^{(p)}$ for $n = 2p + 2$ possess similar properties.

- Conformal invariance follows from the invariance of

$$\int_M |d\omega_R|^2 dv_g$$

- Boundedness follows from

$$\left(\tilde{\sigma}_m^{(p)}\right)^2 \leq \lambda'_{I_p+2m+b_{p+1}(M)-1}^{(p)}.$$

New optimisation problem

We propose the following higher dimensional geometric optimisation problem

- Fix M and metric h on ∂M
- For all i find the supremum of $\tilde{\sigma}_m^{(p)}$ among all metrics g with $i^*g = h$

Theorem

The metric on euclidean ball \mathbb{B}^{2p+2} maximises $\tilde{\sigma}_m^{(p)}$ for $p \leq \frac{1}{2} \binom{2p+2}{p+1}$ among all metrics restricting to a canonical metric on $\partial\mathbb{B}^{2p+2} = \mathbb{S}^{2p+1}$.

Open Questions

- Prove that the inequality

$$\left(\tilde{\sigma}_m^{(p)}\right)^2 \leq \lambda_{I_p+2m+b_{p+1}(M)-1}^{(p)}$$

is sharp for all m .

- Rigidity result for the previous theorem.
- Maximiser for \mathbb{S}^{2p} .

Open Questions

- Prove that the inequality

$$\left(\tilde{\sigma}_m^{(p)}\right)^2 \leq \lambda_{I_p+2m+b_{p+1}(M)-1}^{(p)}$$

is sharp for all m .

- Rigidity result for the previous theorem.
- Maximiser for \mathbb{S}^{2p} .

Open Questions

- Prove that the inequality

$$\left(\tilde{\sigma}_m^{(p)}\right)^2 \leq \lambda_{I_p+2m+b_{p+1}(M)-1}^{(p)}$$

is sharp for all m .

- Rigidity result for the previous theorem.
- Maximiser for \mathbb{S}^{2p} .

- Let M be a simply connected surface. Let u be a function on ∂M , orthogonal to a constant function.
- Extend it to a harmonic function \hat{u} on M .
- Then the 1-form $*d\hat{u}$ is closed. Since M is simply connected, there exists a unique function \hat{v} , such that $d\hat{u} = *d\hat{v}$ and $v = \hat{v}|_{\partial M}$ is orthogonal to a constant function. The function \hat{v} is called harmonic conjugate to \hat{u} .
- Linear map $u \mapsto v$ is often referred to as Hilbert transform.

- Let M be a simply connected surface. Let u be a function on ∂M , orthogonal to a constant function.
- Extend it to a harmonic function \hat{u} on M .
- Then the 1-form $*d\hat{u}$ is closed. Since M is simply connected, there exists a unique function \hat{v} , such that $d\hat{u} = *d\hat{v}$ and $v = \hat{v}|_{\partial M}$ is orthogonal to a constant function. The function \hat{v} is called harmonic conjugate to \hat{u} .
- Linear map $u \mapsto v$ is often referred to as Hilbert transform.

- Let M be a simply connected surface. Let u be a function on ∂M , orthogonal to a constant function.
- Extend it to a harmonic function \hat{u} on M .
- Then the 1-form $*d\hat{u}$ is closed. Since M is simply connected, there exists a unique function \hat{v} , such that $d\hat{u} = *d\hat{v}$ and $v = \hat{v}|_{\partial M}$ is orthogonal to a constant function. The function \hat{v} is called harmonic conjugate to \hat{u} .
- Linear map $u \mapsto v$ is often referred to as Hilbert transform.

- Let M be a simply connected surface. Let u be a function on ∂M , orthogonal to a constant function.
- Extend it to a harmonic function \hat{u} on M .
- Then the 1-form $*d\hat{u}$ is closed. Since M is simply connected, there exists a unique function \hat{v} , such that $d\hat{u} = *d\hat{v}$ and $v = \hat{v}|_{\partial M}$ is orthogonal to a constant function. The function \hat{v} is called harmonic conjugate to \hat{u} .
- Linear map $u \mapsto v$ is often referred to as Hilbert transform.

$$\int_M \langle d\hat{v}, d\hat{v} \rangle = \int_{\partial M} v \partial_n \hat{v} \leq \left(\int_{\partial M} v^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\partial M} \langle i_n d\hat{v}, i_n d\hat{v} \rangle \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Since $*d\hat{v} = d\hat{u}$ the latter factor equals $\left(\int_{\partial M} \langle du, du \rangle \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$

Putting everything together we arrive at

$$R_S[u]R_S[v] \leq R_{\partial M}[u]$$

$$\int_M \langle d\hat{v}, d\hat{v} \rangle = \int_{\partial M} v \partial_n \hat{v} \leq \left(\int_{\partial M} v^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\partial M} \langle i_n d\hat{v}, i_n d\hat{v} \rangle \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Since $*d\hat{v} = d\hat{u}$ the latter factor equals $\left(\int_{\partial M} \langle du, du \rangle \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$

Putting everything together we arrive at

$$R_S[u] R_S[v] \leq R_{\partial M}[u]$$