

**Entire functions mapping given countable dense
real sets onto each other bijectively**
(preliminary report)

Mohamed Cheddadi (masters' student)

Paul Gauthier* (extinguished professor)

In celebration of Thomas Ransford's 60th birthday

May 21, 2018, Université Laval

ORDER

An **order** is a way of giving meaning to an expression of the form

$$x < y.$$

Examples: On people,

Height, weight, age and income **are** orders.

Nationality, religion, color and gender **are not.** orders.

ORDER ISOMORPHISMS

Every well-ordered set is order-isomorphic to a unique ordinal. Note: \mathbb{Q} not well-ordered.

Definition. An ordered set is **dense**, if between every two elements, there is a third. Note: \mathbb{Q} is dense.

Cantor 1895

If A and B are countable dense ordered sets without first elements, then there is an **order isomorphism**

$$f : A \rightarrow B.$$

Corollary

If A and B are countable dense subsets of \mathbb{R} , then there is a **homeomorphism**

$$f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad \text{with} \quad f(A) = B.$$

Franklin 1925

If A and B are countable dense subsets of \mathbb{R} , then there is a **bianalytic mapping**

$$f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \text{with} \quad f(A) = B.$$

Franklin 1925 (again)

For A and B countable dense subsets of \mathbb{R} , there exists a **bianalytic** map $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, such that:

$f(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathbb{R}$;

f restricts to a bijection of A onto B (hence, $f(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}$).

Morayne 1987

If A and B are countable dense subsets of \mathbb{C}^n (respectively \mathbb{R}^n), $n > 1$, there is a measure preserving biholomorphic mapping of \mathbb{C}^n (respectively bianalytic mapping of \mathbb{R}^n) which maps A to B .

Rosay-Rudin 1988

Same result for \mathbb{C}^n only.

Remarks

Franklin's proof invokes the statement that the uniform limit of analytic functions is analytic, which is false.

For \mathbb{C}^1 , Morayne, Rosay-Rudin results are false.

For $n = 1$, Morayne conclusion \Rightarrow Franklin,
but Morayne proof fails for $n = 1$.

Theorem. For A and B countable dense subsets of \mathbb{R} , there exists an **entire** function f such that:

$$f(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathbb{R};$$

f restricts to a bijection of A onto B (hence, $f(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}$).

Proof. $A = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots\}$; $B = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots\}$.

$$f(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(z + \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j h_j(z) \right) = z + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j h_j(z),$$

$$h_1 = 1; \quad \text{and} \quad h_n(z) = e^{-z^2} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (z - \alpha_k), \quad \text{for } n = 2, 3, \dots,$$

λ_j 's small \Rightarrow f entire and $f'(x) > 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$,

λ_j 's real $\Rightarrow f(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathbb{R}$.

$$h_n(z) = 0, \quad \text{iff } z = \alpha_k, \quad k = 2, \dots, n-1.$$

Choose λ_n so $f_n(\alpha_n) = \beta_n$.

□

I OVERSIMPLIFIED

Choose enumerations $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$ and $B = \{b_1, b_2, \dots\}$. The sequences $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ are rearrangements of $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ chosen recursively.

First, choose $\alpha_1, \lambda_1, \beta_1, \beta_2 \neq \beta_1$, so $f_1(\alpha_1) = \beta_1$.

Suppose we have respectively distinct

$$\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2n-1}; \quad \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{2n-1}; \quad \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{2n}$$

$$\alpha_{2k-1} = (\text{first } a_i) \in A \setminus \{\alpha_j : j < 2k - 1\}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n$$

$$\beta_{2k} = (\text{first } b_i) \in B \setminus \{\beta_j : j < 2k\}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n$$

$$f(\alpha_j) = \beta_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, 2n - 1$$

Choose

$$\alpha_{2n}, \lambda_{2n},$$

$$\beta_{2n+1}, \alpha_{2n+1}, \lambda_{2n+1},$$

$$\beta_{2(n+1)}$$

with

$$f_{2n}(\alpha_{2n}) = \beta_{2n} \quad f_{2n+1}(\alpha_{2n+1}) = \beta_{2n+1}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\alpha_1 & \lambda_1 & \beta_1 \\
- & - & \beta_2 \\
- & - & - \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\alpha_{2n-1} & \lambda_{2n-1} & \beta_{2n-1} \\
[\alpha_{2n} & \lambda_{2n}] & \beta_{2n} \\
\alpha_{2n+1} & [\lambda_{2n+1} & \beta_{2n+1}] \\
- & - & \beta_{2(n+1)}
\end{array}$$

How to find $[\alpha_{2n}, \lambda_{2n}]$ such that

$$\beta_{2n} = f_{2n}(\alpha_{2n}) = \alpha_{2n} + \sum_{j=1}^{2n-1} \lambda_j h_j(\alpha_{2n}) + \lambda_{2n} h_{2n}(\alpha_{2n}) = f_{2n-1}(\alpha_{2n}) + \lambda_{2n} h_{2n}(\alpha_{2n}).$$

Put

$$g(x, y) = f_{2n-1}(x) + y h_{2n}(x).$$

Fix y_n small. Show $g(\cdot, y_n) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ surjective. So, $\exists \alpha$ with $g(\alpha, y_n) = \beta_{2n}$. Implicit function theorem implies, there is $(\alpha_{2n}, \lambda_{2n})$ near (α, y_n) , with $g(\alpha_{2n}, \lambda_{2n}) = \beta_{2n}$ and $\alpha_{2n} \in A$.

Theorem (again) $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}$ countable dense. There is f entire which restricts to bijection $A \rightarrow B$.

Can impose further conditions on f .

1. Interpolation: $f(a_n) = b_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, for increasing sequences without limit points.
2. Growth: $M(f, r) > \rho(r)$, given $\rho(r) > 0$ continuous,
3. Universality: translates of f are dense in space of entire functions.

Lemma. Suppose E approximation subset of \mathbb{C} disjoint from \mathbb{R} ; $\{c_n\}$ sequence of distinct complex numbers tending to ∞ disjoint from E and \mathbb{R} ; $\{d_n\}$ arbitrary sequence in \mathbb{C} ; $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$, $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$, strictly increasing sequences of real numbers tending to ∞ , as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and ϵ positive continuous functions on \mathbb{C} . Then, for every function $g \in A(E)$, there exists an entire function Φ , such that $|\Phi - g| < \epsilon$ on E ; $M(\Phi, r) > 1/\epsilon(r)$; $\Phi(c_n) = d_n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$; Φ maps \mathbb{R} bijectively onto \mathbb{R} ; $\Phi' > 0$ on \mathbb{R} and $\Phi(a_n) = b_n$, $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$.

Lemma. Same hypotheses, there exists entire function H , which tends to 0 as $z \rightarrow \infty$ on E , whose zeros are precisely the points a_n , $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ and the points c_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$, and such that both $|H|$ and $|H'|$ dominated by ϵ on \mathbb{R} .

Replace

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j h_j(z)$$

by

$$f(z) = \Phi(z) + H(z) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j h_j(z).$$

Most populous confederacy of Iroquois:

Wendat = Wyandot = Huron

In Canada, they are mainly here in Québec city.

TIAWENHK

MERCI