

Nonlinear maps commuting with the λ -Aluthge transform under certain operations

Mostafa Mbekhta

Université de Lille, France

Quebec-Laval 2018

(The talk is based on joint work with F. Chabbabi)

This work was supported in part by the Labex CEMPI
(ANR-11-LABX-0007-01)

I. Introduction

We shall introduce the definitions needed in the talk. Let H and $\mathcal{B}(H)$ be a complex Hilbert space and algebra of all bounded linear operators on H , respectively.

I. Introduction

We shall introduce the definitions needed in the talk. Let H and $\mathcal{B}(H)$ be a complex Hilbert space and algebra of all bounded linear operators on H , respectively.

For $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, we denote the module of T by $|T| = (T^*T)^{1/2}$ and we shall always write, without further mention, $T = V|T|$ to be the unique polar decomposition of T , where V is a partial isometry satisfying $\mathcal{N}(V) = \mathcal{N}(T)$, where $\mathcal{N}(T)$ is the kernel of T .

I. Introduction

We shall introduce the definitions needed in the talk. Let H and $\mathcal{B}(H)$ be a complex Hilbert space and algebra of all bounded linear operators on H , respectively.

For $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, we denote the module of T by $|T| = (T^*T)^{1/2}$ and we shall always write, without further mention, $T = V|T|$ to be the unique polar decomposition of T , where V is a partial isometry satisfying $\mathcal{N}(V) = \mathcal{N}(T)$, where $\mathcal{N}(T)$ is the kernel of T .

The Aluthge transform introduced by Aluthge, as

$$\Delta(T) = |T|^{\frac{1}{2}}V|T|^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad T \in \mathcal{B}(H),$$

to extend some properties of hyponormal operators.

Later, Okubo introduced a more general notion called λ -Aluthge transform which has also been studied in detail.

For $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, the λ -Aluthge transform is defined by,

$$\Delta_\lambda(T) = |T|^\lambda V |T|^{1-\lambda}, \quad T \in \mathcal{B}(H).$$

Notice that $\Delta_0(T) = V|T| = T$, and $\Delta_1(T) = |T|V$ which is known as Duggal's transform.

The interest of the Aluthge transform lies in the fact that it respects many properties of the original operator. For example,

$$\sigma_*(\Delta_\lambda(T)) = \sigma_*(T), \text{ for every } T \in \mathcal{B}(H), \quad (1)$$

where σ_* runs over a large family of spectra.

The interest of the Aluthge transform lies in the fact that it respects many properties of the original operator. For example,

$$\sigma_*(\Delta_\lambda(T)) = \sigma_*(T), \text{ for every } T \in \mathcal{B}(H), \quad (1)$$

where σ_* runs over a large family of spectra.

Another important property is that $Lat(T)$, the lattice of T -invariant subspaces of H , is nontrivial if and only if $Lat(\Delta_\lambda(T))$ is nontrivial.

Next, we shall introduce some operator classes which will be used in the talk.

Definition

Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$.

- (i) T is normal if $T^*T = TT^*$,
- (ii) T is quasi-normal if $T^*TT = TT^*T$,
- (iii) T is subnormal if T has a normal extension,
- (iv) for $p > 0$, T is p -hyponormal if $(T^*T)^p \geq (TT^*)^p$.

Next, we shall introduce some operator classes which will be used in the talk.

Definition

Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$.

- (i) T is normal if $T^*T = TT^*$,
- (ii) T is quasi-normal if $T^*TT = TT^*T$,
- (iii) T is subnormal if T has a normal extension,
- (iv) for $p > 0$, T is p -hyponormal if $(T^*T)^p \geq (TT^*)^p$.

Next, we shall introduce some operator classes which will be used in the talk.

Definition

Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$.

- (i) T is normal if $T^*T = TT^*$,
- (ii) T is quasi-normal if $T^*TT = TT^*T$,
- (iii) T is subnormal if T has a normal extension,
- (iv) for $p > 0$, T is p -hyponormal if $(T^*T)^p \geq (TT^*)^p$.

In particular,

- (a) for $p = 1$, T is said hyponormal, and
- (b) for $p = \frac{1}{2}$, T is said semi-hyponormal.

Next, we shall introduce some operator classes which will be used in the talk.

Definition

Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$.

- (i) T is normal if $T^*T = TT^*$,
- (ii) T is quasi-normal if $T^*TT = TT^*T$,
- (iii) T is subnormal if T has a normal extension,
- (iv) for $p > 0$, T is p -hyponormal if $(T^*T)^p \geq (TT^*)^p$.

In particular,

- (a) for $p = 1$, T is said hyponormal, and
- (b) for $p = \frac{1}{2}$, T is said semi-hyponormal.

The following inclusion relations are well known and they are proper.

$\{\text{Normal}\} \subset \{\text{quasi-normal}\} \subset \{\text{subnormal}\} \subset \{\text{hyponormal}\} \subset \{\text{semi-hyponormal}\} \subset \{\text{normaloid}\}$.

We remark that every quasi-normal operators is a fixed point for λ -Aluthge transform as follows:

We remark that every quasi-normal operators is a fixed point for λ -Aluthge transform as follows:

Let $T = V|T| \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ be the polar decomposition. Then

$$T \text{ is quasi-normal} \iff |T|V = V|T| \iff \Delta_\lambda(T) = T.$$

We remark that every quasi-normal operators is a fixed point for λ -Aluthge transform as follows:

Let $T = V|T| \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ be the polar decomposition. Then

$$T \text{ is quasi-normal} \iff |T|V = V|T| \iff \Delta_\lambda(T) = T.$$

We remark that every quasi-normal operators is a fixed point for λ -Aluthge transform as follows:

Let $T = V|T| \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ be the polar decomposition. Then

$$T \text{ is quasi-normal} \iff |T|V = V|T| \iff \Delta_\lambda(T) = T.$$

Aluthge transform has been defined in the paper discussed on hyponormal operators by A. Aluthge, as follows:

Theorem(Aluthge 1990)

For $p \in]0, 1]$, let $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ be a p -hyponormal operator. Then the following assertions hold:

- (i) $\Delta(T)$ is $(p + \frac{1}{2})$ -hyponormal if $0 < p \leq \frac{1}{2}$;
- (ii) $\Delta(T)$ is hyponormal if $\frac{1}{2} < p \leq 1$.

We remark that every quasi-normal operators is a fixed point for λ -Aluthge transform as follows:

Let $T = V|T| \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ be the polar decomposition. Then

$$T \text{ is quasi-normal} \iff |T|V = V|T| \iff \Delta_\lambda(T) = T.$$

Aluthge transform has been defined in the paper discussed on hyponormal operators by A. Aluthge, as follows:

Theorem(Aluthge 1990)

For $p \in]0, 1]$, let $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ be a p -hyponormal operator. Then the following assertions hold:

- (i) $\Delta(T)$ is $(p + \frac{1}{2})$ -hyponormal if $0 < p \leq \frac{1}{2}$;
- (ii) $\Delta(T)$ is hyponormal if $\frac{1}{2} < p \leq 1$.

We remark that every quasi-normal operators is a fixed point for λ -Aluthge transform as follows:

Let $T = V|T| \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ be the polar decomposition. Then

$$T \text{ is quasi-normal} \iff |T|V = V|T| \iff \Delta_\lambda(T) = T.$$

Aluthge transform has been defined in the paper discussed on hyponormal operators by A. Aluthge, as follows:

Theorem(Aluthge 1990)

For $p \in]0, 1]$, let $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ be a p -hyponormal operator. Then the following assertions hold:

- (i) $\Delta(T)$ is $(p + \frac{1}{2})$ -hyponormal if $0 < p \leq \frac{1}{2}$;
- (ii) $\Delta(T)$ is hyponormal if $\frac{1}{2} < p \leq 1$.

In particular, if T is semi-hyponormal then $\Delta(T)$ is hyponormal.

II. Main results

In this talk, we are interested in the maps, not necessarily linear, that commute with Aluthge transform in a sense that we specify.

II. Main results

In this talk, we are interested in the maps, not necessarily linear, that commute with Aluthge transform in a sense that we specify.

This work was motivated by the following result obtained by **F. Botelho ; L. Molnár and G. Nagy**. The authors described the linear bijective mapping on Von Neumann algebras which commutes with the λ -Aluthge transforms.

II. Main results

In this talk, we are interested in the maps, not necessarily linear, that commute with Aluthge transform in a sense that we specify.

This work was motivated by the following result obtained by **F. Botelho ; L. Molnár and G. Nagy**. The authors described the linear bijective mapping on Von Neumann algebras which commutes with the λ -Aluthge transforms.

We write here this general result in the context of $\mathcal{B}(H)$.

Theorem (BMN 2016)

Let H and K be two complex Hilbert spaces, with $\dim(H) \geq 2$. Let $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$ be a bijective and linear map. Then Φ satisfies $\Delta_\lambda \circ \Phi = \Phi \circ \Delta_\lambda$ if and only if there exist a unitary operator $U : H \rightarrow K$ and a constant $\alpha \neq 0$ such that $\Phi(T) = \alpha UTU^*$ for every $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$.

Theorem (BMN 2016)

Let H and K be two complex Hilbert spaces, with $\dim(H) \geq 2$. Let $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$ be a bijective and linear map. Then Φ satisfies $\Delta_\lambda \circ \Phi = \Phi \circ \Delta_\lambda$ if and only if there exist a unitary operator $U : H \rightarrow K$ and a constant $\alpha \neq 0$ such that $\Phi(T) = \alpha UTU^*$ for every $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$.

Theorem (BMN 2016)

Let H and K be two complex Hilbert spaces, with $\dim(H) \geq 2$. Let $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$ be a bijective and linear map. Then Φ satisfies $\Delta_\lambda \circ \Phi = \Phi \circ \Delta_\lambda$ if and only if there exist a unitary operator $U : H \rightarrow K$ and a constant $\alpha \neq 0$ such that $\Phi(T) = \alpha UTU^*$ for every $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$.

We improve the above result of BMN, replacing linearity by additivity for the map Φ . Also, we give new proof, based on maps that preserve the set of nilpotent operators. More precisely, we show that:

Theorem (BMN 2016)

Let H and K be two complex Hilbert spaces, with $\dim(H) \geq 2$. Let $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$ be a bijective and linear map. Then Φ satisfies $\Delta_\lambda \circ \Phi = \Phi \circ \Delta_\lambda$ if and only if there exist a unitary operator $U : H \rightarrow K$ and a constant $\alpha \neq 0$ such that $\Phi(T) = \alpha UTU^*$ for every $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$.

We improve the above result of BMN, replacing linearity by additivity for the map Φ . Also, we give new proof, based on maps that preserve the set of nilpotent operators. More precisely, we show that:

Theorem A (F. Chabbabi, M.M. 2017)

If H, K are two Hilbert spaces of infinite dimensional and $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$ be a bijective additive map and $\lambda \in]0, 1[$. Then $\Delta_\lambda \circ \Phi = \Phi \circ \Delta_\lambda$ if and only if there exist a unitary or anti-unitary operator $U : H \rightarrow K$ and $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, such that $\Phi(T) = \alpha UTU^*$ for every $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$.

For the proof of this Theorem, we need the following Theorem, interesting in itself, which shows the link between the nilpotence of T and $\Delta_\lambda(T)$.

For the proof of this Theorem, we need the following Theorem, interesting in itself, which shows the link between the nilpotence of T and $\Delta_\lambda(T)$.

Theorem

Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, $\lambda \in]0, 1]$ and $d \geq 1$ be an integer number . The following assertions are equivalent :

For the proof of this Theorem, we need the following Theorem, interesting in itself, which shows the link between the nilpotence of T and $\Delta_\lambda(T)$.

Theorem

Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, $\lambda \in]0, 1]$ and $d \geq 1$ be an integer number . The following assertions are equivalent :

(i) $T^{d+1} = 0$;

For the proof of this Theorem, we need the following Theorem, interesting in itself, which shows the link between the nilpotence of T and $\Delta_\lambda(T)$.

Theorem

Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, $\lambda \in]0, 1]$ and $d \geq 1$ be an integer number . The following assertions are equivalent :

- (i) $T^{d+1} = 0$;
- (ii) $(\Delta_\lambda(T))^d = 0$;

For the proof of this Theorem, we need the following Theorem, interesting in itself, which shows the link between the nilpotence of T and $\Delta_\lambda(T)$.

Theorem

Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, $\lambda \in]0, 1]$ and $d \geq 1$ be an integer number . The following assertions are equivalent :

- (i) $T^{d+1} = 0$;
- (ii) $(\Delta_\lambda(T))^d = 0$;
- (iii) $(\Delta_\lambda^{(k)}(T))^{d-k+1} = 0$, for $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, d\}$;

For the proof of this Theorem, we need the following Theorem, interesting in itself, which shows the link between the nilpotence of T and $\Delta_\lambda(T)$.

Theorem

Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, $\lambda \in]0, 1]$ and $d \geq 1$ be an integer number . The following assertions are equivalent :

- (i) $T^{d+1} = 0$;
- (ii) $(\Delta_\lambda(T))^d = 0$;
- (iii) $(\Delta_\lambda^{(k)}(T))^{d-k+1} = 0$, for $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, d\}$;
- (iv) $\Delta_\lambda^{(d)}(T) = 0$.

For the proof of this Theorem, we need the following Theorem, interesting in itself, which shows the link between the nilpotence of T and $\Delta_\lambda(T)$.

Theorem

Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, $\lambda \in]0, 1]$ and $d \geq 1$ be an integer number . The following assertions are equivalent :

- (i) $T^{d+1} = 0$;
- (ii) $(\Delta_\lambda(T))^d = 0$;
- (iii) $(\Delta_\lambda^{(k)}(T))^{d-k+1} = 0$, for $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, d\}$;
- (iv) $\Delta_\lambda^{(d)}(T) = 0$.

For the proof of this Theorem, we need the following Theorem, interesting in itself, which shows the link between the nilpotence of T and $\Delta_\lambda(T)$.

Theorem

Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, $\lambda \in]0, 1]$ and $d \geq 1$ be an integer number . The following assertions are equivalent :

- (i) $T^{d+1} = 0$;
- (ii) $(\Delta_\lambda(T))^d = 0$;
- (iii) $(\Delta_\lambda^{(k)}(T))^{d-k+1} = 0$, for $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, d\}$;
- (iv) $\Delta_\lambda^{(d)}(T) = 0$.

In particular, T is nilpotent of order $d + 1$ if and only if $\Delta_\lambda(T)$ is nilpotent of order d .

As a direct consequence of this theorem, we obtain the following :

As a direct consequence of this theorem, we obtain the following :

Corollary

Let $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$ be a bijective additive map. If Φ commutes with the λ -Aluthge transform for some $\lambda \in]0, 1]$, then we have

$$T^d = 0 \quad \iff \quad (\Phi(T))^d = 0.$$

As a direct consequence of this theorem, we obtain the following :

Corollary

Let $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$ be a bijective additive map. If Φ commutes with the λ -Aluthge transform for some $\lambda \in]0, 1]$, then we have

$$T^d = 0 \quad \iff \quad (\Phi(T))^d = 0.$$

As a direct consequence of this theorem, we obtain the following :

Corollary

Let $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$ be a bijective additive map. If Φ commutes with the λ -Aluthge transform for some $\lambda \in]0, 1]$, then we have

$$T^d = 0 \quad \iff \quad (\Phi(T))^d = 0.$$

That is Φ preserves strongly nilpotent operators in both directions.

As a direct consequence of this theorem, we obtain the following :

Corollary

Let $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$ be a bijective additive map. If Φ commutes with the λ -Aluthge transform for some $\lambda \in]0, 1]$, then we have

$$T^d = 0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad (\Phi(T))^d = 0.$$

That is Φ preserves strongly nilpotent operators in both directions. Now, to conclude, we use the form of additive maps that preserve the nilpotent operators.

Now, we give a complete form of the bijective (not necessarily linear) maps $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$, where H, K are Hilbert spaces of dimension greater than 2, that satisfy

$$\Delta_\lambda(\Phi(A) \star \Phi(B)) = \Phi(\Delta_\lambda(A \star B)) \text{ for all } A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H),$$

where the operation $A \star B$ means one of the following :

Now, we give a complete form of the bijective (not necessarily linear) maps $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$, where H, K are Hilbert spaces of dimension greater than 2, that satisfy

$$\Delta_\lambda(\Phi(A) \star \Phi(B)) = \Phi(\Delta_\lambda(A \star B)) \text{ for all } A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H),$$

where the operation $A \star B$ means one of the following :

- 1 $A \star B = AB$, the standard product.

Now, we give a complete form of the bijective (not necessarily linear) maps $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$, where H, K are Hilbert spaces of dimension greater than 2, that satisfy

$$\Delta_\lambda(\Phi(A) \star \Phi(B)) = \Phi(\Delta_\lambda(A \star B)) \text{ for all } A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H),$$

where the operation $A \star B$ means one of the following :

- 1 $A \star B = AB$, the standard product.
- 2 $A \star B = A \circ B = \frac{1}{2}(AB + BA)$, the jordan product.

Now, we give a complete form of the bijective (not necessarily linear) maps $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$, where H, K are Hilbert spaces of dimension greater than 2, that satisfy

$$\Delta_\lambda(\Phi(A) \star \Phi(B)) = \Phi(\Delta_\lambda(A \star B)) \text{ for all } A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H),$$

where the operation $A \star B$ means one of the following :

- 1 $A \star B = AB$, the standard product.
- 2 $A \star B = A \circ B = \frac{1}{2}(AB + BA)$, the jordan product.
- 3 $A \star B = A \circ B^* = \frac{1}{2}(AB^* + B^*A)$, the star Jordan product .

Now, we give a complete form of the bijective (not necessarily linear) maps $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$, where H, K are Hilbert spaces of dimension greater than 2, that satisfy

$$\Delta_\lambda(\Phi(A) \star \Phi(B)) = \Phi(\Delta_\lambda(A \star B)) \text{ for all } A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H),$$

where the operation $A \star B$ means one of the following :

- 1 $A \star B = AB$, the standard product.
- 2 $A \star B = A \circ B = \frac{1}{2}(AB + BA)$, the jordan product.
- 3 $A \star B = A \circ B^* = \frac{1}{2}(AB^* + B^*A)$, the star Jordan product .
- 4 $A \star B = A^n B A^m$ with $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $n + m \geq 1$, the (n, m) -Jordan triple product.

Now, we give a complete form of the bijective (not necessarily linear) maps $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$, where H, K are Hilbert spaces of dimension greater than 2, that satisfy

$$\Delta_\lambda(\Phi(A) \star \Phi(B)) = \Phi(\Delta_\lambda(A \star B)) \text{ for all } A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H),$$

where the operation $A \star B$ means one of the following :

- ① $A \star B = AB$, the standard product.
- ② $A \star B = A \circ B = \frac{1}{2}(AB + BA)$, the jordan product.
- ③ $A \star B = A \circ B^* = \frac{1}{2}(AB^* + B^*A)$, the star Jordan product .
- ④ $A \star B = A^n B A^m$ with $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $n + m \geq 1$, the (n, m) -Jordan triple product.
- ⑤ $A \star B = A + \omega B$, $0 \neq \omega \in \mathbb{C}$.

We state several theorems concerning maps, not necessarily linear, which commute with the λ -Aluthge transform, in a certain sense.

We state several theorems concerning maps, not necessarily linear, which commute with the λ -Aluthge transform, in a certain sense.

Theorem (F. Chabbabi 2017)

Let H and K be two complex Hilbert spaces with $\dim(H) \geq 2$. Let $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$ be a bijective map. Then Φ satisfies

$$\Delta_\lambda(\Phi(A)\Phi(B)) = \Phi(\Delta_\lambda(AB)) \text{ for every } A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H),$$

if and only if, there exists a unitary or anti-unitary operator $U : H \rightarrow K$ such that

$$\Phi(T) = UTU^* \quad \text{for every } T \in \mathcal{B}(H).$$

For $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, the Jordan-product, $A \circ B$ is defined as follows:

$$A \circ B = \frac{1}{2}(AB + BA).$$

We have

For $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, the Jordan-product, $A \circ B$ is defined as follows:

$$A \circ B = \frac{1}{2}(AB + BA).$$

We have

Theorem (F. Chabbabi and M.M. 2017)

Let H and K be two complex Hilbert spaces with $\dim(H) \geq 2$. Let $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$ be a bijective map. Then Φ satisfies

$$\Delta_\lambda(\Phi(A) \circ \Phi(B)) = \Phi(\Delta_\lambda(A \circ B)) \text{ for every } A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H),$$

if and only if there exists a unitary or anti-unitary operator $U : H \rightarrow K$ such that

$$\Phi(T) = UTU^* \quad \text{for every } T \in \mathcal{B}(H).$$

For ω -addition, we have :

Theorem (F. Chabbabi and M.M.)

Let $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$ be a bijective map and ω be a non-zero complex number. Then Φ satisfies

$$\Delta_\lambda(\Phi(S) + \omega\Phi(T)) = \Phi(\Delta_\lambda(S + \omega T)), \quad \text{for all } S, T \in \mathcal{B}(H),$$
if and only if there exist a unitary or anti-unitary operator $U : H \rightarrow K$ and $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, such that Φ has the following form :

$$\Phi(T) = \alpha UTU^* \quad \text{for every } T \in \mathcal{B}(H).$$

For ω -addition, we have :

Theorem (F. Chabbabi and M.M.)

Let $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$ be a bijective map and ω be a non-zero complex number. Then Φ satisfies

$$\Delta_\lambda(\Phi(S) + \omega\Phi(T)) = \Phi(\Delta_\lambda(S + \omega T)), \quad \text{for all } S, T \in \mathcal{B}(H),$$
if and only if there exist a unitary or anti-unitary operator $U : H \rightarrow K$ and $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, such that Φ has the following form :

$$\Phi(T) = \alpha UTU^* \quad \text{for every } T \in \mathcal{B}(H).$$

For ω -addition, we have :

Theorem (F. Chabbabi and M.M.)

Let $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$ be a bijective map and ω be a non-zero complex number. Then Φ satisfies

$$\Delta_\lambda(\Phi(S) + \omega\Phi(T)) = \Phi(\Delta_\lambda(S + \omega T)), \quad \text{for all } S, T \in \mathcal{B}(H),$$
if and only if there exist a unitary or anti-unitary operator $U : H \rightarrow K$ and $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, such that Φ has the following form :

$$\Phi(T) = \alpha UTU^* \quad \text{for every } T \in \mathcal{B}(H).$$

Remark

If $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ then Φ is linear or anti-linear; both cases can hold. On the other hand, if ω is not real, then Φ is necessarily linear and U is a unitary operator.

Now, for n and m non-negative integers with $n + m \geq 1$, consider the operation $\mathcal{B}(H) \times \mathcal{B}(H) \ni (A, B) \mapsto A \star B = A^n B A^m$,
 (n, m) –Jordan-Triple product.

Now, for n and m non-negative integers with $n + m \geq 1$, consider the operation $\mathcal{B}(H) \times \mathcal{B}(H) \ni (A, B) \mapsto A \star B = A^n B A^m$,
 (n, m) –Jordan-Triple product.

Recall that for $n = 1$ and $m = 1$, $A \star B = ABA$, is usually called triple product (or Jordan triple product) of A and B . Then we have :

Now, for n and m non-negative integers with $n + m \geq 1$, consider the operation $\mathcal{B}(H) \times \mathcal{B}(H) \ni (A, B) \mapsto A \star B = A^n B A^m$,
 (n, m) –Jordan-Triple product.

Recall that for $n = 1$ and $m = 1$, $A \star B = ABA$, is usually called triple product (or Jordan triple product) of A and B . Then we have :

Theorem (F. Chabbabi and M.M.)

Let H and K be two complex Hilbert spaces with $\dim H \geq 2$. Let $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$ be a bijective map. Then Φ satisfies $\Delta_\lambda(\Phi(A)^n \Phi(B) \Phi(A)^m) = \Phi(\Delta_\lambda(A^n B A^m))$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, if and only if there exist a unitary or anti-unitary operator $U : H \rightarrow K$ and a constant $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, with $\alpha^{n+m} = 1$, such that

$$\Phi(T) = \alpha U T U^* \quad \text{for every } T \in \mathcal{B}(H).$$

Now, for n and m non-negative integers with $n + m \geq 1$, consider the operation $\mathcal{B}(H) \times \mathcal{B}(H) \ni (A, B) \mapsto A \star B = A^n B A^m$,
 (n, m) –Jordan-Triple product.

Recall that for $n = 1$ and $m = 1$, $A \star B = ABA$, is usually called triple product (or Jordan triple product) of A and B . Then we have :

Theorem (F. Chabbabi and M.M.)

Let H and K be two complex Hilbert spaces with $\dim H \geq 2$. Let $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$ be a bijective map. Then Φ satisfies $\Delta_\lambda(\Phi(A)^n \Phi(B) \Phi(A)^m) = \Phi(\Delta_\lambda(A^n B A^m))$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, if and only if there exist a unitary or anti-unitary operator $U : H \rightarrow K$ and a constant $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, with $\alpha^{n+m} = 1$, such that

$$\Phi(T) = \alpha U T U^* \quad \text{for every } T \in \mathcal{B}(H).$$

Now, for n and m non-negative integers with $n + m \geq 1$, consider the operation $\mathcal{B}(H) \times \mathcal{B}(H) \ni (A, B) \mapsto A \star B = A^n B A^m$,
 (n, m) –Jordan-Triple product.

Recall that for $n = 1$ and $m = 1$, $A \star B = ABA$, is usually called triple product (or Jordan triple product) of A and B . Then we have :

Theorem (F. Chabbabi and M.M.)

Let H and K be two complex Hilbert spaces with $\dim H \geq 2$. Let $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$ be a bijective map. Then Φ satisfies $\Delta_\lambda(\Phi(A)^n \Phi(B) \Phi(A)^m) = \Phi(\Delta_\lambda(A^n B A^m))$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, if and only if there exist a unitary or anti-unitary operator $U : H \rightarrow K$ and a constant $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, with $\alpha^{n+m} = 1$, such that

$$\Phi(T) = \alpha U T U^* \quad \text{for every } T \in \mathcal{B}(H).$$

Remark

Even if the hypothesis on the map Φ is purely algebraic, the conclusion gives automatically the continuity of the map. Also, the linearity of Φ is not assumed, we get it automatically.

Proof of the last theorem for $n + m \geq 1$

Now we give the Sketch of the proof of this last theorem. We start with some notations and some intermediate results.

Proof of the last theorem for $n + m \geq 1$

Now we give the Sketch of the proof of this last theorem. We start with some notations and some intermediate results.

For $x, y \in H$, we denote by $x \otimes y$ the at most rank one operator defined by

$$(x \otimes y)u = \langle u, y \rangle x \text{ for } u \in H.$$

It is easy to show that every rank one operator has the previous form and that $x \otimes y$ is an orthogonal projection, if and only if $x = y$ and $\|x\| = 1$.

Proof of the last theorem for $n + m \geq 1$

Now we give the Sketch of the proof of this last theorem. We start with some notations and some intermediate results.

For $x, y \in H$, we denote by $x \otimes y$ the at most rank one operator defined by

$$(x \otimes y)u = \langle u, y \rangle x \text{ for } u \in H.$$

It is easy to show that every rank one operator has the previous form and that $x \otimes y$ is an orthogonal projection, if and only if $x = y$ and $\|x\| = 1$.

Lemma 1

Let $x, y \in H$ be nonzero vectors. We have

$$\Delta_\lambda(x \otimes y) = \frac{\langle x, y \rangle}{\|y\|^2} (y \otimes y) \text{ for every } \lambda \in]0, 1[.$$

Lemma 2

Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $n \geq 2$ be a fixed integer number. Suppose that

$$\langle T^n x, x \rangle = (\langle Tx, x \rangle)^n \quad \text{for all unit vectors } x \in H.$$

Then T is a scalar multiple of the identity.

Lemma 2

Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $n \geq 2$ be a fixed integer number. Suppose that

$$\langle T^n x, x \rangle = (\langle Tx, x \rangle)^n \quad \text{for all unit vectors } x \in H.$$

Then T is a scalar multiple of the identity.

Lemma 2

Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $n \geq 2$ be a fixed integer number. Suppose that

$$\langle T^n x, x \rangle = (\langle Tx, x \rangle)^n \quad \text{for all unit vectors } x \in H.$$

Then T is a scalar multiple of the identity.

In the next we will denote by

$$\mathcal{U}_k(H) = \{U \in \mathcal{B}(H); \quad U \text{ unitary and } U^k = I\}.$$

Lemma 3

Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $k \geq 2$ be an integer, suppose that T and T^* are one-to-one. For every $\lambda \in]0, 1]$, we have the following

$$\Delta_\lambda(T^k) = T \iff T \in \mathcal{U}_{k-1}(H).$$

For a Hilbert space H and an integer number $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we denote by

$$\mathcal{Q}_k(H) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(H); \text{ such that } T \text{ quasi-normal and } T^{k+1} = T\}.$$

The following lemma gives a discretion of $\mathcal{Q}_k(H)$.

Lemma

Assume that $T \in \mathcal{Q}_k(H)$, then T^k is an orthogonal projection on $\mathcal{R}(T)$.

In rest of the talk, H and K are two Hilbert spaces with $\dim(H) \geq 2$, and $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$ is a bijective map satisfying

$$(*) \quad \Delta_\lambda(\Phi(A)^n \Phi(B) \Phi(A)^m) = \Phi(\Delta_\lambda(A^n B A^m)) \text{ for all } A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$$

In rest of the talk, H and K are two Hilbert spaces with $\dim(H) \geq 2$, and $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$ is a bijective map satisfying

$$(*) \quad \Delta_\lambda(\Phi(A)^n \Phi(B) \Phi(A)^m) = \Phi(\Delta_\lambda(A^n B A^m)) \text{ for all } A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$$

In rest of the talk, H and K are two Hilbert spaces with $\dim(H) \geq 2$, and $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$ is a bijective map satisfying

$$(*) \quad \Delta_\lambda(\Phi(A)^n \Phi(B) \Phi(A)^m) = \Phi(\Delta_\lambda(A^n B A^m)) \quad \text{for all } A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$$

Lemma 4

Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $k \geq 2$ be an integer, suppose that T and T^* are one-to-one. For every $\lambda \in]0, 1]$, we have the following

$$\Delta_\lambda(T^k) = T \iff T \in \mathcal{U}_{k-1}(H).$$

Corollary 5

Let $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$ be a bijective map satisfying $(*)$ with $n \neq 0$ and $m \neq 0$, then $\Phi(0) = 0$, and $\Phi(I) \in \mathcal{U}_{n+m}(H)$, and

Corollary 5

Let $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$ be a bijective map satisfying $(*)$ with $n \neq 0$ and $m \neq 0$, then $\Phi(0) = 0$, and $\Phi(I) \in \mathcal{U}_{n+m}(H)$, and

- (i) $\Phi(0) = 0$, and $\Phi(I) \in \mathcal{U}_{n+m}(H)$;

Corollary 5

Let $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$ be a bijective map satisfying $(*)$ with $n \neq 0$ and $m \neq 0$, then $\Phi(0) = 0$, and $\Phi(I) \in \mathcal{U}_{n+m}(H)$, and

- (i) $\Phi(0) = 0$, and $\Phi(I) \in \mathcal{U}_{n+m}(H)$;
- (ii) Φ preserves the set of invertible elements in both directions;

Corollary 5

Let $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$ be a bijective map satisfying $(*)$ with $n \neq 0$ and $m \neq 0$, then $\Phi(0) = 0$, and $\Phi(I) \in \mathcal{U}_{n+m}(H)$, and

- (i) $\Phi(0) = 0$, and $\Phi(I) \in \mathcal{U}_{n+m}(H)$;
- (ii) Φ preserves the set of invertible elements in both directions;
- (iii) $A \in \mathcal{U}_{n+m}(H) \iff \Phi(A) \in \mathcal{U}_{n+m}(K)$;

Corollary 5

Let $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$ be a bijective map satisfying (*) with $n \neq 0$ and $m \neq 0$, then $\Phi(0) = 0$, and $\Phi(I) \in \mathcal{U}_{n+m}(H)$, and

- (i) $\Phi(0) = 0$, and $\Phi(I) \in \mathcal{U}_{n+m}(H)$;
- (ii) Φ preserves the set of invertible elements in both directions;
- (iii) $A \in \mathcal{U}_{n+m}(H) \iff \Phi(A) \in \mathcal{U}_{n+m}(K)$;
- (iv) $\Phi(I) = \alpha I$ with $\alpha^{n+m} = 1$.

Corollary 5

Let $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$ be a bijective map satisfying (*) with $n \neq 0$ and $m \neq 0$, then $\Phi(0) = 0$, and $\Phi(I) \in \mathcal{U}_{n+m}(H)$, and

- (i) $\Phi(0) = 0$, and $\Phi(I) \in \mathcal{U}_{n+m}(H)$;
- (ii) Φ preserves the set of invertible elements in both directions;
- (iii) $A \in \mathcal{U}_{n+m}(H) \iff \Phi(A) \in \mathcal{U}_{n+m}(K)$;
- (iv) $\Phi(I) = \alpha I$ with $\alpha^{n+m} = 1$.

Corollary 5

Let $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$ be a bijective map satisfying $(*)$ with $n \neq 0$ and $m \neq 0$, then $\Phi(0) = 0$, and $\Phi(I) \in \mathcal{U}_{n+m}(H)$, and

- (i) $\Phi(0) = 0$, and $\Phi(I) \in \mathcal{U}_{n+m}(H)$;
- (ii) Φ preserves the set of invertible elements in both directions;
- (iii) $A \in \mathcal{U}_{n+m}(H) \iff \Phi(A) \in \mathcal{U}_{n+m}(K)$;
- (iv) $\Phi(I) = \alpha I$ with $\alpha^{n+m} = 1$.

Corollary 6

Let Φ be a bijective map satisfying $(*)$, assume that $n = 0$ or $m = 0$. Then

Corollary 5

Let $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$ be a bijective map satisfying $(*)$ with $n \neq 0$ and $m \neq 0$, then $\Phi(0) = 0$, and $\Phi(I) \in \mathcal{U}_{n+m}(H)$, and

- (i) $\Phi(0) = 0$, and $\Phi(I) \in \mathcal{U}_{n+m}(H)$;
- (ii) Φ preserves the set of invertible elements in both directions;
- (iii) $A \in \mathcal{U}_{n+m}(H) \iff \Phi(A) \in \mathcal{U}_{n+m}(K)$;
- (iv) $\Phi(I) = \alpha I$ with $\alpha^{n+m} = 1$.

Corollary 6

Let Φ be a bijective map satisfying $(*)$, assume that $n = 0$ or $m = 0$. Then

- (i) For every $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ we have
 $A \in \mathcal{Q}_{n+m}(H) \iff \Phi(A) \in \mathcal{Q}_{n+m}(K)$.

Corollary 5

Let $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$ be a bijective map satisfying $(*)$ with $n \neq 0$ and $m \neq 0$, then $\Phi(0) = 0$, and $\Phi(I) \in \mathcal{U}_{n+m}(H)$, and

- (i) $\Phi(0) = 0$, and $\Phi(I) \in \mathcal{U}_{n+m}(H)$;
- (ii) Φ preserves the set of invertible elements in both directions;
- (iii) $A \in \mathcal{U}_{n+m}(H) \iff \Phi(A) \in \mathcal{U}_{n+m}(K)$;
- (iv) $\Phi(I) = \alpha I$ with $\alpha^{n+m} = 1$.

Corollary 6

Let Φ be a bijective map satisfying $(*)$, assume that $n = 0$ or $m = 0$. Then

- (i) For every $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ we have
 $A \in \mathcal{Q}_{n+m}(H) \iff \Phi(A) \in \mathcal{Q}_{n+m}(K)$.
- (ii) $\Phi(I) = \alpha I$ with $\alpha^{n+m} = 1$.

In the rest of the talk, without loss of generality, we can assume $\Phi(I) = I$. As a direct consequence of the preceding lemmas, we have the following corollary.

In the rest of the talk, without loss of generality, we can assume $\Phi(I) = I$. As a direct consequence of the preceding lemmas, we have the following corollary.

Corollary

Let Φ be a bijective map satisfying (*). Then

In the rest of the talk, without loss of generality, we can assume $\Phi(I) = I$. As a direct consequence of the preceding lemmas, we have the following corollary.

Corollary

Let Φ be a bijective map satisfying (*). Then

- 1 Φ commutes with Δ_λ , in particular it sends the quasi-normal operator to quasi-normal operators.

In the rest of the talk, without loss of generality, we can assume $\Phi(I) = I$. As a direct consequence of the preceding lemmas, we have the following corollary.

Corollary

Let Φ be a bijective map satisfying (*). Then

- 1 Φ commutes with Δ_λ , in particular it sends the quasi-normal operator to quasi-normal operators.
- 2 Φ preserves the set of orthogonal projections, and the set of rank one projections in both directions.

In the rest of the talk, without loss of generality, we can assume $\Phi(I) = I$. As a direct consequence of the preceding lemmas, we have the following corollary.

Corollary

Let Φ be a bijective map satisfying (*). Then

- 1 Φ commutes with Δ_λ , in particular it sends the quasi-normal operator to quasi-normal operators.
- 2 Φ preserves the set of orthogonal projections, and the set of rank one projections in both directions.
- 3 $\Phi(P + Q) = \Phi(P) + \Phi(Q)$ for all orthogonal projections P, Q such that $P \perp Q$.

In the following lemma we introduce a function $h : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, which will be used later to prove the linearity of Φ .

Theorem

There exists a bijective function $h : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

In the following lemma we introduce a function $h : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, which will be used later to prove the linearity of Φ .

Theorem

There exists a bijective function $h : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

- (i) $h(0) = 0$ and $h(1) = 1$;

In the following lemma we introduce a function $h : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, which will be used later to prove the linearity of Φ .

Theorem

There exists a bijective function $h : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

- (i) $h(0) = 0$ and $h(1) = 1$;
- (ii) $h(\alpha\beta) = h(\alpha)h(\beta)$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$.

In the following lemma we introduce a function $h : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, which will be used later to prove the linearity of Φ .

Theorem

There exists a bijective function $h : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

- (i) $h(0) = 0$ and $h(1) = 1$;
- (ii) $h(\alpha\beta) = h(\alpha)h(\beta)$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$.
- (iii) $\Phi(\alpha A) = h(\alpha)\Phi(A)$ for all quasi-normal $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$;

In the following lemma we introduce a function $h : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, which will be used later to prove the linearity of Φ .

Theorem

There exists a bijective function $h : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

- (i) $h(0) = 0$ and $h(1) = 1$;
- (ii) $h(\alpha\beta) = h(\alpha)h(\beta)$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$.
- (iii) $\Phi(\alpha A) = h(\alpha)\Phi(A)$ for all quasi-normal $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$;
- (iv) for all unit vectors $x \in H, y \in K$ such that $\Phi(x \otimes x) = y \otimes y$, we have

$$\langle \Phi(A)y, y \rangle = h(\langle Ax, x \rangle) \quad \text{for every } A \in \mathcal{B}(H),$$

In the following lemma we introduce a function $h : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, which will be used later to prove the linearity of Φ .

Theorem

There exists a bijective function $h : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

- (i) $h(0) = 0$ and $h(1) = 1$;
- (ii) $h(\alpha\beta) = h(\alpha)h(\beta)$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$.
- (iii) $\Phi(\alpha A) = h(\alpha)\Phi(A)$ for all quasi-normal $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$;
- (iv) for all unit vectors $x \in H, y \in K$ such that $\Phi(x \otimes x) = y \otimes y$, we have

$$\langle \Phi(A)y, y \rangle = h(\langle Ax, x \rangle) \quad \text{for every } A \in \mathcal{B}(H),$$

- (v) Let $P = x \otimes x, P' = x' \otimes x'$ be two rank one orthogonal projections such that $P \perp P'$. Then

$$\Phi(\alpha P + \beta P') = h(\alpha)\Phi(P) + h(\beta)\Phi(P'), \quad \text{for every } \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Proof of Theorem

We divide the proof in several steps.

Proof of Theorem

We divide the proof in several steps.

Step 1. The function h is additive.

Proof of Theorem

We divide the proof in several steps.

Step 1. The function h is additive.

Step 2. h is continuous and it is the identity or the complex conjugation map.

Proof of Theorem

We divide the proof in several steps.

Step 1. The function h is additive.

Step 2. h is continuous and it is the identity or the complex conjugation map. Indeed, let \mathcal{E} be a bounded subset in \mathbb{C} and $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that $\mathcal{E} \subset W(A)$, where $W(A) = \{ \langle Ax, x \rangle; \|x\| = 1 \}$ is the numerical range of A . By (iv) of last theorem, we have

$$h(\mathcal{E}) \subset h(W(A)) = W(\Phi(A)),$$

Proof of Theorem

We divide the proof in several steps.

Step 1. The function h is additive.

Step 2. h is continuous and it is the identity or the complex conjugation map. Indeed, let \mathcal{E} be a bounded subset in \mathbb{C} and $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that $\mathcal{E} \subset W(A)$, where $W(A) = \{ \langle Ax, x \rangle; \|x\| = 1 \}$ is the numerical range of A . By (iv) of last theorem, we have

$$h(\mathcal{E}) \subset h(W(A)) = W(\Phi(A)),$$

Now, $W(\Phi(A))$ is bounded and thus h is bounded on the bounded subset. Which implies that h is continuous, since it is automorphism. We derive that h is a continuous automorphism over the complex field \mathbb{C} . It follows that h is the identity or the complex conjugation map.

Step 3. The map Φ is linear or anti-linear.

Step 3. The map Φ is linear or anti-linear.

Let $y \in K$ and $x \in H$ be unit such that $y \otimes y = \Phi(x \otimes x)$. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ be arbitrary. Using, Step 1, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \Phi(A + B)y, y \rangle &= h(\langle (A + B)x, x \rangle) \\ &= h(\langle Ax, x \rangle + \langle Bx, x \rangle) \\ &= h(\langle Ax, x \rangle) + h(\langle Bx, x \rangle) \\ &= \langle \Phi(A)y, y \rangle + \langle \Phi(B)y, y \rangle \\ &= \langle (\Phi(A) + \Phi(B))y, y \rangle,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \Phi(\alpha A)y, y \rangle &= h(\langle \alpha Ax, x \rangle) \\ &= h(\alpha)h(\langle Ax, x \rangle) \\ &= h(\alpha) \langle \Phi(A)y, y \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we conclude that for all unit vectors $y \in K$,

$$\langle \Phi(A + B)y, y \rangle = \langle (\Phi(A) + \Phi(B))y, y \rangle \quad \text{and}$$

$$\langle \Phi(\alpha A)y, y \rangle = h(\alpha) \langle \Phi(A)y, y \rangle,$$

It follows that

$$\Phi(A + B) = \Phi(A) + \Phi(B) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(\alpha A) = h(\alpha)\Phi(A), \quad \forall A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H).$$

Therefore Φ is **linear or anti-linear** since h is the identity or the complex conjugation.

Step 4. There exists a unitary or anti-unitary operator $U \in \mathcal{B}(H, K)$, such that $\Phi(T) = UTU^*$ for every $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$.

Step 4. There exists a unitary or anti-unitary operator $U \in \mathcal{B}(H, K)$, such that $\Phi(T) = UTU^*$ for every $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$.

Since Φ commute with λ -Aluthge transform, unital and linear or anti-linear, in particular Φ is additive, by Theorem A , we have

$$\Phi(T) = UTU^* \quad \text{for all } T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$$

for some unitary or anti-unitary operator $U \in \mathcal{B}(H, K)$.

The proof of theorem is complete.

Spectral radius via Aluthge transform

For $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, the spectrum of T is denoted by $\sigma(T)$ and its spectral radius by $r(T)$.

Yamazaki established the following interesting formula for the spectral radius

Theorem (Yamazaki)

If $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\Delta_\lambda^n(T)\| = r(T),$$

where $\Delta_\lambda^{(n)}$ is the n -th iterate of Δ_λ , i.e.; $\Delta_\lambda^{(n+1)}(T) = \Delta_\lambda(\Delta_\lambda^{(n)}(T))$,
 $\Delta_\lambda^0(T) = T$.

In this section, we use Rota's Theorem, in order to obtain new formulas of spectral radius via λ -Aluthge transformation.

In this section, we use Rota's Theorem, in order to obtain new formulas of spectral radius via λ -Aluthge transformation.

Theorem (F.Chabbabi, M.M)

If $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, then for every $n \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} r(T) &= \inf\{\|\Delta_\lambda^{(n)}(XTX^{-1})\|, X \in \mathcal{B}(H) \text{ invertible}\} \\ &= \inf\{\|\Delta_\lambda^{(n)}(e^A T e^{-A})\|, A \in \mathcal{B}(H) \text{ self adjoint}\}. \end{aligned}$$

In this section, we use Rota's Theorem, in order to obtain new formulas of spectral radius via λ -Aluthge transformation.

Theorem (F.Chabbabi, M.M)

If $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, then for every $n \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} r(T) &= \inf\{\|\Delta_\lambda^{(n)}(XTX^{-1})\|, X \in \mathcal{B}(H) \text{ invertible}\} \\ &= \inf\{\|\Delta_\lambda^{(n)}(e^A T e^{-A})\|, A \in \mathcal{B}(H) \text{ self adjoint}\}. \end{aligned}$$

In this section, we use Rota's Theorem, in order to obtain new formulas of spectral radius via λ -Aluthge transformation.

Theorem (F.Chabbabi, M.M)

If $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, then for every $n \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} r(T) &= \inf\{\|\Delta_\lambda^{(n)}(XTX^{-1})\|, X \in \mathcal{B}(H) \text{ invertible}\} \\ &= \inf\{\|\Delta_\lambda^{(n)}(e^A T e^{-A})\|, A \in \mathcal{B}(H) \text{ self adjoint}\}. \end{aligned}$$

An operator T is said to be normaloid if $r(T) = \|T\|$.

As an immediate consequence of the theorem, we obtain the following corollary which is a characterization of normaloid operators via λ -Aluthge transformation.

As an immediate consequence of the theorem, we obtain the following corollary which is a characterization of normaloid operators via λ -Aluthge transformation.

Corollary

If $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, then the following assertions are equivalent :

- (i) T is normaloid;
- (ii) $\|T\| \leq \|\Delta_\lambda(XTX^{-1})\|$, for all invertible $X \in \mathcal{B}(H)$;
- (iii) $\|T\| \leq \|\Delta_\lambda^{(n)}(XTX^{-1})\|$, for all invertible $X \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and for all natural number n .

Theorem (F.Chabbabi, M.M)

Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$. Then

$$r(T) = \lim_k \|\Delta_\lambda(T^k)\|^{1/k}$$

Theorem (F.Chabbabi, M.M)

Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$. Then

$$r(T) = \lim_k \|\Delta_\lambda(T^k)\|^{1/k}$$

Theorem (F.Chabbabi, M.M)

Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$. Then

$$r(T) = \lim_k \|\Delta_\lambda(T^k)\|^{1/k}$$

As direct consequence of Theorem, we get :



Corollary




If $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, then the following assertions are equivalent :





- (i) T is normaloid;
- (ii) $\|T\|^k = \|\Delta_\lambda(T^k)\|$, for all natural number k ;
- (iii) $\|T\|^k = \|\Delta_\lambda^{(n)}(T^k)\|$, for every natural number k, n .








F. BOTELHO; L. MOLNÁR; G. NAGY, Linear bijections on von Neumann factors commuting with λ -Aluthge transform, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **48** (2016), 74–84.

-  F. BOTELHO; L. MOLNÁR; G. NAGY, Linear bijections on von Neumann factors commuting with λ -Aluthge transform, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **48** (2016), 74–84.
-  F. CHABBABI, Product commuting maps with the λ -Aluthge transform, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **449** (2017), 589–600.

-  F. BOTELHO; L. MOLNÁR; G. NAGY, Linear bijections on von Neumann factors commuting with λ -Aluthge transform, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **48** (2016), 74–84.
-  F. CHABBABI, Product commuting maps with the λ -Aluthge transform, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **449** (2017), 589–600.
-  F. CHABBABI AND M. MBEKHTA, Jordan product maps commuting with the λ -Aluthge transform, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **450** (2017), 293–313.

-  F. BOTELHO; L. MOLNÁR; G. NAGY, Linear bijections on von Neumann factors commuting with λ -Aluthge transform, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **48** (2016), 74–84.
-  F. CHABBABI, Product commuting maps with the λ -Aluthge transform, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **449** (2017), 589–600.
-  F. CHABBABI AND M. MBEKHTA, Jordan product maps commuting with the λ -Aluthge transform, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **450** (2017), 293–313.
-  F. CHABBABI AND M. MBEKHTA, General product nonlinear maps commuting with the λ -Aluthge transform, *Mediterr. J. Math.* **14** (2017), 14–42.

-  F. BOTELHO; L. MOLNÁR; G. NAGY, Linear bijections on von Neumann factors commuting with λ -Aluthge transform, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **48** (2016), 74–84.
-  F. CHABBABI, Product commuting maps with the λ -Aluthge transform, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **449** (2017), 589–600.
-  F. CHABBABI AND M.MBEKHTA, Jordan product maps commuting with the λ -Aluthge transform, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **450** (2017), 293–313.
-  F. CHABBABI AND M.MBEKHTA, General product nonlinear maps commuting with the λ -Aluthge transform, *Mediterr. J. Math.* **14** (2017), 14–42.
-  F. CHABBABI AND M.MBEKHTA, Nonlinear maps commuting with the λ -Aluthge Transform under (n, m) –Jordan-Triple product, 2017, (preprint).